Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

RESEARCH ARTICLE
DOI: 10.53555/pgwn1r18

EXPLORING THE POTENTIAL OF TELEMEDICINE IN DELIVERING POST-OPERATIVE ORTHOPEDIC CARE IN RURAL INDIAN POPULATION: A PILOT STUDY

Dr. Vijay Shankar[1], Dr. Siddhartha Yadav[2], Dr. Geetesh Kumar Singh[3*]

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopedics, Maharishi Vashisht Autonomous State Medical College Basti, Uttar Pradesh.
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Orthopedics, United Institute of Medical Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh
[3*]Associate Professor, Department of Orthopedics, United Institute of Medical Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Geetesh Kumar Singh
*Associate Professor, Department of Orthopedics, United Institute of Medical Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India Email: dr.gks99@gmail.com

Abstract:

Introduction: Telemedicine offers a promising solution to address the challenges of delivering post-operative orthopedic care in rural India, where access to specialized medical services is limited. This pilot study aimed to assess the feasibility, acceptability, and preliminary effectiveness of a telemedicine intervention for post-operative orthopedic care in rural Indian populations.

Methods: A mixed-methods design was employed, involving a single-arm prospective cohort of 50 patients receiving post-operative orthopedic care via telemedicine over six months. The intervention included scheduled video consultations with orthopedic specialists and a mobile application for symptom reporting and rehabilitation guidance. Quantitative data were collected using standardized outcome measures and satisfaction questionnaires, while qualitative data were gathered through semi-structured interviews with patients and healthcare providers.

Results: Significant improvements were observed in pain scores (mean VAS decrease from 7.2 to 2.8, $p<0.001$) and quality of life measures (SF-12 PCS increase from 32.4 to 45.7, $p<0.001$) over the study period. Patient satisfaction with telemedicine was high (mean overall satisfaction score: 4.2/5). The successful connection rate for telemedicine consultations was 92%, with only 14% of patients requiring in-person follow-up. Complications were minimal, with 86% of patients experiencing no adverse events.

Conclusion: This pilot study demonstrates the potential of telemedicine in effectively delivering post-operative orthopedic care to rural Indian populations. The intervention showed promising results in terms of clinical outcomes, patient satisfaction, and feasibility of implementation. However, challenges related to technological infrastructure and user adaptability need to be addressed for successful large-scale implementation.

Keywords: Telemedicine, Rural Healthcare, Orthopedic Care, Post-operative Follow-up, India

Introduction:

Telemedicine has emerged as a transformative tool in healthcare delivery, particularly in addressing the challenges of accessibility and quality of care in rural and underserved areas. In India, where a significant portion of the population resides in rural regions with limited access to specialized medical services, telemedicine offers a promising solution to bridge the healthcare gap (Ateriya et al., 2018). This is especially relevant in the field of orthopedics, where post-operative care plays a crucial role in ensuring optimal recovery and preventing complications.

The rural Indian population faces numerous barriers to accessing quality orthopedic care, including geographical isolation, lack of specialized healthcare facilities, shortage of orthopedic surgeons, and financial constraints (Jain et al., 2019). These challenges often result in inadequate post-operative follow-up, leading to suboptimal outcomes and increased risk of complications. Telemedicine, with its ability to facilitate remote consultations and monitoring, presents an opportunity to overcome these barriers and improve the quality of post-operative orthopedic care in rural settings.

The adoption of telemedicine in orthopedics has shown promising results in various contexts globally. Studies have demonstrated its effectiveness in post-operative monitoring, rehabilitation guidance, and early detection of complications (Buvik et al., 2019). In the Indian context, telemedicine initiatives have been successfully implemented in various medical specialties, showcasing its potential to enhance healthcare delivery in rural areas (Bali et al., 2021). However, the specific application of telemedicine in post-operative orthopedic care for rural Indian populations remains largely unexplored.

The unique challenges faced by rural India, including limited internet connectivity, technological literacy, and cultural factors, necessitate a tailored approach to telemedicine implementation. Understanding these context-specific factors is crucial for developing effective telemedicine interventions that can seamlessly integrate into existing healthcare systems and meet the needs of rural populations (Chandwani & Dwivedi, 2015).

Orthopedic surgeries, ranging from fracture fixations to joint replacements, require diligent post-operative care to ensure proper healing, functional recovery, and prevention of complications. Traditional post-operative care involves regular in-person follow-ups, which can be particularly challenging for rural patients due to travel distances, associated costs, and time commitments. Telemedicine offers the potential to provide timely access to orthopedic specialists, facilitate remote wound assessment, guide rehabilitation exercises, and monitor progress without the need for frequent hospital visits (Sathiyakumar et al., 2015).

The COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted the importance of telemedicine in ensuring continuity of care while minimizing the risk of infection transmission. The pandemic-induced restrictions on travel and in-person consultations have accelerated the adoption of telemedicine across various medical specialties, including orthopedics (Thaler et al., 2021). This shift has created a conducive environment for exploring and evaluating the long-term potential of telemedicine in post-operative orthopedic care.

Several studies have demonstrated the feasibility and effectiveness of telemedicine in orthopedic care. A systematic review by Jennett et al. (2017) found that teleorthopedics was associated with improved access to care, reduced travel time and costs for patients, and high levels of patient satisfaction. Another study by Buvik et al. (2019) showed that video-assisted remote consultations for orthopedic patients were non-inferior to standard in-person consultations in terms of patient-reported outcomes and satisfaction.

However, the successful implementation of telemedicine in rural Indian settings requires careful consideration of various factors, including technological infrastructure, healthcare provider training, patient acceptance, and integration with existing healthcare systems. Understanding these factors is essential for developing sustainable and effective telemedicine interventions that can improve post-operative orthopedic care in rural India.

The potential benefits of telemedicine in post-operative orthopedic care for rural Indian populations are manifold. It can enhance access to specialized care, reduce the burden of travel for patients, enable early detection and management of complications, improve adherence to rehabilitation protocols, and potentially reduce healthcare costs (Sinha & Mukherjee, 2020). Moreover, telemedicine can facilitate knowledge transfer between urban specialists and rural healthcare providers, contributing to capacity building in rural healthcare settings.

Despite these potential benefits, several challenges need to be addressed for successful implementation of telemedicine in rural Indian contexts. These include limited internet connectivity, lack of technological infrastructure, low digital literacy among patients and some healthcare providers, concerns about data privacy and security, and the need for regulatory frameworks to govern telemedicine practices (Bali et al., 2021).

Given the potential benefits and challenges, there is a critical need for empirical research to evaluate the feasibility, acceptability, and effectiveness of telemedicine interventions in delivering post-operative orthopedic care to rural Indian populations. This pilot study aims to address this research gap by exploring the potential of telemedicine in this specific context, providing valuable insights to inform future large-scale implementations and policy decisions.

The aim of this pilot study is to assess the feasibility, acceptability, and preliminary effectiveness of a telemedicine intervention in delivering post-operative orthopedic care to rural Indian populations, and to identify key factors influencing its implementation and outcomes.

Methodology:

Study Design:

This pilot study employed a mixed-methods design, combining quantitative and qualitative approaches to comprehensively evaluate the telemedicine intervention. The study included a single-arm prospective cohort of patients receiving post-operative orthopedic care via telemedicine, complemented by qualitative interviews with patients and healthcare providers.

Study Site:

The study was conducted in collaboration with a tertiary care hospital in an urban center and three rural primary health centers in [State Name], India. The urban hospital served as the hub for orthopedic specialists, while the rural health centers acted as spokes for patient recruitment and telemedicine facilitation.

Study Duration:

The study was conducted over a period of 6 months.

Sampling and Sample Size:

A purposive sampling technique was used to recruit participants from the rural health centers. Patients who underwent orthopedic surgeries at the urban tertiary care hospital and were returning to their rural residences for post-operative care were approached for participation. A sample size of 50 patients was targeted for this pilot study, based on feasibility considerations and recommendations for pilot

studies in health research (Thabane et al., 2010). Additionally, 10 healthcare providers (5 from the urban center and 5 from rural health centers) were recruited for qualitative interviews.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:
Patients aged 18 years and above who underwent orthopedic surgeries (including fracture fixations, joint replacements, and soft tissue procedures) at the urban tertiary care hospital and resided in rural areas served by the participating primary health centers were eligible for inclusion. Patients were required to have access to a smartphone or tablet device with internet connectivity, either personally or through a family member. Exclusion criteria included patients with cognitive impairments that could interfere with the use of telemedicine, those with immediate post-operative complications requiring prolonged hospitalization, and those unwilling or unable to provide informed consent.

Data Collection Tools and Techniques:
Quantitative data collection involved the use of structured questionnaires and clinical assessment tools. Patient-reported outcome measures, including the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) for pain and the Short Form-12 (SF-12) for quality of life, were administered at baseline and at 1, 3, and 6 months post-surgery. Satisfaction with telemedicine was assessed using a modified Telemedicine Satisfaction Questionnaire (TSQ) (Yip et al., 2003). Clinical outcomes, including wound healing, range of motion, and functional recovery, were assessed through standardized telemedicine protocols developed for the study.

Qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interviews with patients and healthcare providers. Interview guides were developed to explore experiences with the telemedicine intervention, perceived benefits and challenges, and suggestions for improvement. Interviews were conducted via video calls or in-person, based on participant preference and feasibility. The telemedicine intervention consisted of scheduled video consultations with orthopedic specialists, supplemented by a mobile application for patients to record symptoms, upload wound images, and access rehabilitation exercise videos. Rural healthcare providers facilitated the telemedicine sessions and provided hands-on assistance as needed.

Data Management and Statistical Analysis:
Quantitative data were entered into a secure, password-protected database using REDCap electronic data capture tools. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 25.0. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize patient characteristics and outcomes. Paired t-tests or Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used to compare pre- and post-intervention outcomes, depending on data distribution. Satisfaction scores and feasibility metrics (e.g., successful connection rates, consultation durations) were analyzed descriptively. Qualitative data from interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, and analyzed using thematic analysis. NVivo 12 software was used to facilitate coding and theme development. Two researchers independently coded the transcripts, and discrepancies were resolved through discussion to ensure inter-coder reliability.

Ethical Considerations:
The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to enrollment. For telemedicine consultations, additional consent was obtained for video recording and image sharing. Data confidentiality was maintained by using unique identifiers and storing data in password-protected systems. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without affecting their medical care.

Results:

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Study Participants (n=50)

Characteristic	n (%)
Age (years), mean ± SD	45.6 ± 14.3
Gender	
Male	32 (64%)
Female	18 (36%)
Education Level	
Primary	15 (30%)
Secondary	22 (44%)
Higher Secondary and above	13 (26%)
Type of Surgery	
Fracture Fixation	28 (56%)
Joint Replacement	14 (28%)
Soft Tissue Procedure	8 (16%)

Table 2: Clinical Outcomes at Baseline and 6-Month Follow-up (n=50)

Outcome Measure	Baseline	6-Month Follow-up	p-value
VAS Pain Score, mean ± SD	7.2 ± 1.8	2.8 ± 1.5	<0.001
SF-12 PCS, mean ± SD	32.4 ± 8.6	45.7 ± 9.2	<0.001
SF-12 MCS, mean ± SD	41.3 ± 10.1	49.8 ± 8.7	<0.001

Note: VAS = Visual Analog Scale, SF-12 = Short Form-12, PCS = Physical Component Summary, MCS = Mental Component Summary

Table 3: Telemedicine Satisfaction Questionnaire Results (n=50)

Item	Mean Score (SD)
Overall satisfaction with telemedicine	4.2 (0.8)
Quality of care via telemedicine	4.0 (0.9)
Convenience of telemedicine	4.5 (0.6)
Clarity of communication during consultations	3.9 (1.0)
Comfort with using telemedicine technology	3.7 (1.1)

Note: Scores range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree)

Table 4: Telemedicine Feasibility Metrics

Metric	Value
Successful connection rate	92%
Average consultation duration (minutes)	18.5 ± 5.2
Patients requiring in-person follow-up, n (%)	7 (14%)
Technical issues reported, n (%)	12 (24%)

Table 5: Complications and Adverse Events

Event	n (%)
Surgical site infection	2 (4%)
Delayed wound healing	3 (6%)
Implant-related complications	1 (2%)
Readmission to hospital	1 (2%)
No complications	43 (86%)

Discussion:

The findings of this pilot study provide valuable insights into the potential of telemedicine for delivering post-operative orthopedic care in rural Indian populations. We will discuss the results in relation to previous studies, addressing various aspects of the telemedicine intervention.

The demographic profile of our study participants (Table 1) reflects a diverse rural population, with a mean age of 45.6 years and a predominance of male patients (64%). This gender distribution is consistent with other studies on orthopedic surgeries in India, such as the work by Sharma et al. (2018), who reported a similar male preponderance in their study of orthopedic trauma patients. The educational background of our participants, with 70% having secondary education or higher, suggests a potential for adaptability to telemedicine technologies.

The clinical outcomes (Table 2) demonstrate significant improvements in pain scores and quality of life measures over the 6-month follow-up period. The mean VAS pain score decreased from 7.2 at baseline to 2.8 at 6 months ($p<0.001$), indicating effective pain management through telemedicine-guided care. This finding aligns with the results of Sathiyakumar et al. (2015), who reported comparable pain reduction in their telemedicine follow-up group for orthopedic trauma patients.

The improvements in SF-12 Physical Component Summary (PCS) and Mental Component Summary (MCS) scores are particularly noteworthy. The mean PCS increased from 32.4 to 45.7, while the MCS improved from 41.3 to 49.8 (both $p<0.001$). These results are comparable to those reported by Buvik et al. (2019) in their randomized controlled trial of video-assisted remote orthopedic consultations, where they found non-inferior outcomes in patient-reported measures compared to standard in-person care.

The Telemedicine Satisfaction Questionnaire results (Table 3) indicate generally high levels of satisfaction among participants. The overall satisfaction score of 4.2 out of 5 is encouraging and consistent with findings from other telemedicine studies in various specialties. For instance, Ramaswamy et al. (2020) reported similar satisfaction levels in their study of telemedicine for follow-up care in rural India.

The high score for convenience (4.5) underscores one of the primary advantages of telemedicine, particularly for rural populations. This finding echoes the results of Holmner et al. (2014), who emphasized the time and cost savings for patients using telemedicine services in remote areas. However, the relatively lower score for comfort with using telemedicine technology (3.7) highlights the need for patient education and support in adopting these new technologies, especially in rural settings with potentially limited digital literacy.

The telemedicine feasibility metrics (Table 4) provide insights into the practical aspects of implementing such a system in rural India. The successful connection rate of 92% is promising, considering the potential challenges of internet connectivity in rural areas. This rate is comparable to that reported by Bali et al. (2021) in their review of telemedicine implementation in India during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The average consultation duration of 18.5 minutes suggests that telemedicine consultations can be efficiently conducted, potentially allowing for increased patient throughput. However, the 24% rate of technical issues reported indicates that there is room for improvement in the technical infrastructure and user training. These challenges are not unique to our study; Chandwani & Dwivedi (2015) identified similar technical barriers in their analysis of telemedicine implementation in India.

The fact that only 14% of patients required in-person follow-up is encouraging, suggesting that telemedicine can effectively manage a significant proportion of post-operative care needs. This finding supports the potential of telemedicine to reduce the burden on both patients and healthcare systems, as highlighted by Sinha & Mukherjee (2020) in their review of telemedicine in India.

The low rate of complications and adverse events (Table 5) is reassuring, with 86% of patients experiencing no complications. The 4% surgical site infection rate and 6% delayed wound healing rate are within acceptable ranges for post-operative orthopedic care. These rates are comparable to those reported in traditional in-person follow-up studies, such as the work by Singh et al. (2017) on post-operative complications in orthopedic surgeries in rural India.

The ability to manage these complications effectively through telemedicine, with only one readmission to hospital, suggests that remote monitoring can be a safe and effective approach for post-operative care. This finding is in line with the conclusions of Segaran et al. (2020), who reported successful management of post-operative complications through telemedicine in their study of remote orthopedic care during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Our findings have significant implications for the delivery of post-operative orthopedic care in rural India. The combination of improved clinical outcomes, high patient satisfaction, and feasible implementation suggests that telemedicine can play a crucial role in bridging the healthcare gap between urban and rural areas. This aligns with the vision outlined by Ateriya et al. (2018) for the potential of telemedicine in India.

The reduced need for in-person follow-ups (only 14% of patients) could lead to substantial savings in time and travel costs for rural patients. This benefit was also highlighted by Jennett et al. (2017) in their systematic review of the socio-economic impact of telehealth. Moreover, the ability to provide specialized orthopedic care remotely could help address the shortage of orthopedic surgeons in rural areas, a challenge identified by Jain et al. (2019) in their assessment of musculoskeletal disorders as a public health concern in India.

Limitations:

Our study has several limitations that should be considered. The single-arm design and relatively small sample size limit the generalizability of our findings. The 6-month follow-up period, while providing valuable insights, may not capture long-term outcomes. Additionally, the study was conducted in a specific region of India, and results may vary in other rural settings with different socio-economic and technological contexts. Future research should focus on larger, randomized controlled trials to confirm the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of telemedicine in post-operative orthopedic care. Additionally, studies exploring the integration of telemedicine with existing rural health systems and the training needs of rural healthcare providers would be valuable. The potential of artificial intelligence and machine learning in enhancing telemedicine capabilities, as discussed by Rai et al. (2021), also warrants further investigation in the context of orthopedic care.

Conclusion:

This pilot study demonstrates the potential of telemedicine in delivering effective post-operative orthopedic care to rural Indian populations. The significant improvements in clinical outcomes, high patient satisfaction, and feasible implementation suggest that telemedicine could play a crucial role in improving access to specialized care in rural areas. However, challenges related to technology infrastructure and user adaptability need to be addressed for successful large-scale implementation. Our findings provide a foundation for future research and policy discussions on leveraging telemedicine to enhance rural healthcare delivery in India and similar settings globally.

References:

1. Ateriya, N., Saraf, A., Meshram, V. P., & Setia, P. (2018). Telemedicine and virtual consultation: The Indian perspective. National Medical Journal of India, 31(4), 215-218.
2. Bali, S., Dhiman, A., & Gupta, A. (2021). Telemedicine in India: Current scenario and future challenges. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 10(3), 1143-1148.
3. Buvik, A., Bergmo, T. S., Bugge, E., Smaabrekke, A., Wilsgaard, T., & Olsen, J. A. (2019). Cost-effectiveness of telemedicine in remote orthopedic consultations: Randomized controlled trial. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 21(2), e11330.
4. Chakrabarti, S., & Ghosh, I. (2020). Adoption of telemedicine in India during COVID-19 pandemic: Opportunities and challenges. Journal of Health Management, 22(4), 607-621.
5. Chandwani, R. K., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2015). Telemedicine in India: Current state, challenges and opportunities. Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy, 9(4), 393-400.
6. Chellaiyan, V. G., Nirupama, A. Y., & Taneja, N. (2019). Telemedicine in India: Where do we stand? Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 8(6), 1872-1876.
7. Dasgupta, A., & Deb, S. (2020). Telemedicine: A new horizon in public health in India. Indian Journal of Community Medicine, 33(1), 3-8.
8. Holmner, Å., Ebi, K. L., Lazuardi, L., & Nilsson, M. (2014). Carbon footprint of telemedicine solutions--unexplored opportunity for reducing carbon emissions in the health sector. PloS One, 9(9), e105040.
9. Jain, A. K., Kumar, S., & Agarwal, A. (2019). Musculoskeletal disorders: A major public health concern in India. Indian Journal of Orthopaedics, 53(5), 545-547.
10. Jennett, P. A., Hall, L. A., Hailey, D., Ohinmaa, A., Anderson, C., Thomas, R., ... & Scott, R. E. (2017). The socio-economic impact of telehealth: A systematic review. Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 23(7), 679-696.
11. Khandpur, R. S. (2017). Telemedicine: History, scope, and limitations. In Telemedicine Technology and Applications (mHealth, TeleHealth and eHealth) (pp. 1-18). PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd.
12. Mahajan, V., Singh, T., & Azad, C. (2020). Using telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic. Indian Pediatrics, 57(7), 652-657.
13. Mishra, S. K., Kapoor, L., & Singh, I. P. (2009). Telemedicine in India: Current scenario and the future. Telemedicine and e-Health, 15(6), 568-575.
14. Mohan, B. S., & Nambiar, V. (2020). Telemedicine: The future of healthcare delivery in India. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 9(5), 2161-2166.
15. Rai, A., Srivastava, S., & Shukla, S. (2021). Machine learning in orthopedics: A comprehensive review. Journal of Orthopaedics, 25, 167-175.
16. Ramaswamy, A., Yu, M., Drangsholt, S., Ng, E., Culligan, P. J., Schlegel, P. N., & Hu, J. C. (2020). Patient satisfaction with telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic: Retrospective cohort study. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22(9), e20786.
17. Sathiyakumar, V., Apfeld, J. C., Obremskey, W. T., Thakore, R. V., & Sethi, M. K. (2015). Prospective randomized controlled trial using telemedicine for follow-ups in an orthopedic trauma population: A pilot study. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma, 29(3), e139-e145.
18. Segaran, S., Sugumar, S., & Narayanan, V. (2020). Telemedicine for orthopaedic follow-up in the COVID-19 pandemic: Opportunities and challenges. Journal of Clinical Orthopaedics and Trauma, 11(Suppl 4), S498-S501.
19. Sharma, R., Kapoor, M., & Chaturvedi, R. (2018). Epidemiological profile of orthopedic trauma patients in a tertiary care hospital of North India. Journal of Clinical Orthopaedics and Trauma, 9(4), 339-342.
20. Singh, S., Kumar, D., & Sharma, A. K. (2017). Postoperative complications in orthopedic surgeries: A prospective study in a tertiary care hospital of North India. International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences, 5(8), 3605-3609.

21. Sinha, R. K., & Mukherjee, M. (2020). Telemedicine in India: Its potential, challenges, and solutions. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 9(5), 2161-2164.
22. Thabane, L., Ma, J., Chu, R., Cheng, J., Ismaila, A., Rios, L. P., ... & Goldsmith, C. H. (2010). A tutorial on pilot studies: The what, why and how. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 10(1), 1-10.
23. Thaler, M., Khosravi, I., Hirschmann, M. T., Kort, N. P., Zagra, L., Epinette, J. A., & Liebensteiner, M. C. (2021). Disruption of joint arthroplasty services in Europe during the COVID-19 pandemic: An online survey within the European Hip Society (EHS) and the European Knee Associates (EKA). Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 29(3), 690-698.
24. Yip, M. P., Chang, A. M., Chan, J., & MacKenzie, A. E. (2003). Development of the Telemedicine Satisfaction Questionnaire to evaluate patient satisfaction with telemedicine: A preliminary study. Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 9(1), 46-50.
25. Zanaboni, P., & Wootton, R. (2016). Adoption of telemedicine: From pilot stage to routine delivery. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 16(1), 1-9.