



## EFFECTS OF ACTIVITY BASED SCAPULAR MOBILIZATION ON UPPER LIMB FUNCTION IN CHILDREN WITH ERB'S PALSY

Amina Bibi<sup>1\*</sup>, Anam Rehman<sup>2</sup>, Shafaq Naeem<sup>3</sup>, Faiza Altaf<sup>4</sup>, Kanwal Fatima<sup>5</sup>, Areej Aslam<sup>6</sup>,  
Muhammad Izhar Ahmed<sup>7</sup>, Mariya Tariq<sup>8</sup>, Muhammad Usama Sohail<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>MS PPT, Lecturer, M. Islam Medical and Dental College, Gujranwala Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Lecturer, Foundation University College of Physical Therapy (FUCP), Foundation University,  
Islamabad Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>MS Woman Health Physical Therapy (WHPT), Senior Lecturer, M. Islam Medical and Dental  
College, Kamoke Gujranwala Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>MS-OMPT, DPT, Lecturer, University of Management and Technology, Sialkot Pakistan

<sup>5</sup>DPT, MS-OMPT, Lecturer, Department of Allied Health Sciences, University of Sargodha,  
Sargodha Pakistan

<sup>6</sup>DPT, MS-PPT, Senior Physiotherapist at Hope Rehab and Physio Centre, Lahore Pakistan

<sup>7</sup>DPT, MS Biomedical Engineering, Physiotherapist, National Taiwan University of Science and  
Technology, Taiwan

<sup>8</sup>DPT, MS-WHPT, Senior Lecturer, Shalamar Institute of Allied Health Sciences, Lahore Pakistan

<sup>9</sup>Senior Lecturer, PSRD College of Rehabilitation Science, Lahore Pakistan

**\*Corresponding Author:** Amina Bibi,

\*MS PPT, Lecturer, M. Islam Medical and Dental College, Gujranwala Pakistan

Email: amnaghous2@gmail.com

### Abstract

An ailment known as Erb's palsy affects neuromuscular system causes weakness or paralysis of the affected arm, limited range of motion and possible deformities. The specific muscles affected are those controlled by the C5 and C6 nerve roots. Scapular mobilization refers to therapeutic techniques or exercises aimed at improving the mobility, stability, and function of the scapula (shoulder blade). The objective of the study was to determine the effects of activity based scapular mobilization techniques on upper limb function in children with Erb's palsy. It was randomized clinical trial and carried out in social security hospital Gujranwala and M.Islam Teaching Hospital Kamoke District Gujranwala. Sample size of 34 patients were taken. Non probability convenient sampling technique was used. Before being randomly chosen to either the scapular mobilization technique group or the activity based scapular mobilization, participants who met the eligibility requirements gave their consent. Mallet score and Box and Block test were employed to assess the upper extremity function, respectively. Standard Goniometer was used to assess shoulder range of motion. SPSS 25 was used to analyze the data. The mean age of children in EG was  $7.37 \pm 1.369$  and in CG was  $7.27 \pm 1.237$ . Independent sample t-test of BBT and extension with p-value was  $< 0.05$ , accepting the alternate hypothesis i.e. activity based scapular mobilization is effective. However, p-value mallet score and adduction were  $> 0.05$  showed that both the treatments were equally effective to improve these ranges. Mann Whitney U test p-value on flexion, abduction, IR, ER was  $< 0.05$  accepting the

alternate hypothesis showed that activity based scapular mobilization is more effective. The study found that both the activity based scapular mobilization exercises and manual scapular mobilization are effective to increase ROM of shoulder joint, strength, reducing disability and gain functional movements of upper limb in children with Erb's palsy. However, activity based scapular mobilization exercises combined with manual mobilization are more effective than general mobilization technique.

**Key words:** Erb's palsy, Mallet Score, Box and block test and scapular mobilizations

## **Introduction**

Erb's palsy, also known as nerve root compression of the fifth cervical (C5) and first thoracic (T1) nerves, is characterized by injury to the C5, C6, and occasionally C7 nerves (1). Obstetric brachial plexus injury (OBPI) is the medical term for brachial plexus injuries that occur after childbirth and can result in paralysis of the upper extremities. Based on the location of the lesion, there are three forms of OBPI: lesions on the upper and lower plexus C5-C6, lesions on the upper and lower plexus C5-T1, which is a mixture of the two, and lesions on both C5-T1(2). The most common kind of OBPP, accounting for 50–60% of cases (3), is classic Erb palsy, a paralysis of the shoulder's external rotation and abduction caused by involvement of the C5–C6 nerve roots in the upper brachial plexus. This issue usually manifests in the early stages of development as an internal rotation deformity of the afflicted shoulder (4). The lateral cord produces the musculocutaneous nerve, the posterior cord produces the ulnar nerve, and the radial and axillary nerves come from the lateral cord. The lateral and medial cords come together to produce the median nerve(5). Birth injury to the brachial plexus is a challenge associated with childbirth, and its prevalence varies by country. There are major health hazards for children who have birth injuries to the brachial plexus. In addition, families along with the community at large experience socioeconomic consequences. There are two types of brachial plexus birth palsies that fall into this category: lower plexus injury (also called Klumpke's palsy) and upper plexus damage (also called Erb's palsy). Injury types that are less severe typically heal effectively with therapy and eventually fade away on their own. However, major and complex diseases will need a complete treatment plan in order to be managed (6). The most prevalent kind of brachial palsy, Erb's palsy, affecting muscles innervated by the C5 and C6 roots of the brachial plexus. Elbow pronation, sagging shoulders, an immobile affected arm placed in adduction, internal shoulder rotation, and a unilateral Moro reflex response are its defining characteristics. Dorsal extension of the wrist is usually seen, with the palm pointing upward. Klumpke's palsy, on the other hand, affects only the hand muscles stimulated by the C8 and T1 roots. Strong upward arm lifting that widens the axillary angle causes Klumpke palsy, which strains the lower roots (C8, T1, maybe C7). Parturition and serious injuries that cause an abducted arm to twist could cause this. Hand weakness caused by brachial plexus lower(7, 8). Obstetric palsy (OP) can be treated with both surgical and non-surgical techniques(9). Physical therapy appears to be crucial to conservative treatment, functioning in concert with other professions. It contains several tools and techniques that have been demonstrated to be useful in the treatment of obstetric paralysis, such as splints, massages, electrotherapy, kinesiotherapy, and special neuromuscular rehabilitation techniques like the Vojta method and Neuro-Developmental therapy (NDT-Bobath). Recent years have seen a rise in the popularity of Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy (CIMT), which seems to be especially effective at restoring motor function and competency. The goal of this physiotherapy or occupational therapy approach is to limit the use of the injured limb to tasks that must be completed on a daily basis. A special splint is placed on the uninjured limb, preventing any movement (9). Physiotherapists have been using manual treatment in the last few years to help patients with primary AC improve their shoulder function and range of motion, either with or without therapeutic activity. The process of manually performing a continuous mobilization to the scapulothoracic joint in four directions is known as scapular mobilizations. It is a type of manual therapy that is commonly used to treat shoulder musculoskeletal disorders. It works by moving joints or blockages in a way that stretches the muscles and increases the scapulothoracic distance. It is currently unclear from a therapeutic perspective how using a passive scapular joint mobilizations

technique could improve shoulder function or range of motion, despite the biomechanical foundations. (10, 11).

### Materials and Methods

It was a Randomized controlled trial RCT (trial registry number NCT06198218). The sample size was 34 after adding 10% attrition rate (12). It was Non probability Convenience sampling technique. The study was conducted in Social Security hospital Gujranwala Physiotherapy Department and M. Islam teaching Hospital Physiotherapy Department, Kamoke, District Gujranwala. After BASR's approval of the synopsis, the study was finished in six months. Inclusion Criteria included patients having age ranging from 5 to 9 years (13), Diagnosed as obs. Erb's palsy (14, 15), Limited range of motion (16) F (0-60°), E (10-20°), Abd. (20-50°), Add. (10-25°), IR (10-50°), ER (0-35°), Both male and female involved (17). Exclusion Criteria include Children with Erb's palsy associated with other comorbidities (15), Other paralytic conditions (hemiplegia) (18), Previous history of surgery and trauma (arthroscopy, fixations) (16), Any skin condition (Allergies, infection) and Local corticosteroids injections into the effected shoulder over last 3 months, No present Fracture/contractures, Neurological disorders with muscle weakness in shoulder joint. Data Collection Tools Goniometry, Mallet score and Box and Block test

### Results

The results of descriptive statistics of age showed minimum age is 5 and maximum age is 9 in experimental and control group. The mean age of participants in experimental group is  $7.37 \pm 1.369$  whereas mean age of participants in control group was  $7.27 \pm 1.237$ . The frequency distribution of gender showed Out of 15 patients in experimental group, 7 are male and 8 were females while in control group 9 were males and 6 were females. The Normality tables showed that the significance value for BBT was .365 and extension was 0.80 which is greater than 0.05. hence the data was normally distributed so parametric tests were used for intergroup and within group analysis i.e. independent t-test and paired sample t-test respectively. While the data for flexion was 0.00, for adduction was .012, abduction was .000, IR was .000, ER was .003 and mallet score was .000 scale was Not normally distributed because significance value was less than 0.05 so non-parametric tests were used i.e. Mann Whitney U-test and Wilcoxon signed rank test.

**Table 1: Wilcoxon Test within Group Comparison of Group A and Group B**

Total	Group A (Experimental)				P-Value	Group B (Control)				P-Value
	Pre-Treatment		Post-Treatment			Pre-Treatment		Post-Treatment		
	Median	Mean Rank	Median	Mean Rank		Median	Mean Rank	Median	Mean Rank	
Flexion	32.00	8.00	118.00	.00	.001	29.00	8.00	82.00	.00	.001
Abduction	29.20	8.00	97.67	.00	.001	24.00	8.00	75.00	.00	.001
Adduction	20.86	8.00	64.00	.00	.001	19.33	8.00	47.67	.00	.001
Internal Rotation	14.44	8.00	59.00	.00	.001	15.40	8.88	42.50	2.25	.002
External Rotation	19.00	8.00	60.20	.00	.001	10.00	7.50	49.00	.00	.001
Mallet score	2.00	8.00	3.600	.00	.000	1.769	8.00	2.846	.00	.000

**Table 2: Mann Whitney test for Between group comparison of Group A and Group B**

Total	Pre-Treatment		P-Value	Post-Treatment		P-Value
	Group A	Group B		Group A	Group B	

	Median	Mean Rank	Median	Mean Rank		Median	Mean Rank	Median	Mean Rank	
Flexion	33.00	.00	30.00	8.00	.512	118.00	.00	82.00	8.00	.001
Abduction	30.00	.00	24.00	8.00	.106	98.00	.00	75.00	8.00	.000
Adduction	20.86	.00	20.00	8.00	.305	64.00	.00	50.00	8.00	.006
Internal Rotation	15.00	.00	15.00	8.00	.902	59.00	.00	40.00	8.00	.001
External Rotation	19.00	0.00	10.00	8.00	.436	55.00	.00	49.00	8.00	.002
Mallet-score	2.00	.00	2.00	8.00	.512	4.00	.00	3.00	8.00	.007

**Table 3: Across the group comparison of Extension and BBT (independent sample test).**

Variables		Activity based mobilization	Manual mobilization	Mean difference	P value
		Mean ±SD	Mean ±SD		
Pre _treatment of extension	of	27.27±5.284	24.67±6.373	2.600	.249
Post_treatment of extension	of	54.53±5.475	40.33±7.603	14.200	.001
Pre-treatment of BBT		6.87±1.922	5.80±1.699	1.067	.569
Post-treatment of BBT		14.67±2.257	10.20±1.320	4.467	.002

**Table 4: Within group comparison of Extension and BBT using (Paired Sample Test)**

Group	Scapular mobilization	Manual mobilization	P value
	Mean± SD	Mean ±SD	
Activity based mobilization	27.27±5.28	54.53±5.47	0.00
Manual mobilization	24.67±67.37	40.33±7.603	0.00
Groups	Pre-treatment of BBT	Post treatment of BBT	P-value
Activity based mobilization	6.87±1.922	14.67±2.27	0.00
Manual mobilization	5.80±1.699	10.20±1.320	0.00

## DISCUSSION

The study discovered that in children with Erb's palsy, both activity-based scapular mobilization exercises and manual scapular mobilization together increase shoulder joint range of motion (ROM), reduce impairment, and improve upper limb function. On the other hand, manual mobilization in conjunction with activity-based scapular mobilization exercises proves to be more efficacious than general mobilizations techniques.

In (2022), Emad R. Abdelaziz et al. examined thirty infants of both sexes, ages one to three, who had Erb's palsy. They were split into two groups of fifteen children each at random. Group A receives a comprehensive physical treatment course. In addition to receiving reciprocal electrical stimulation of the triceps and biceps muscles, Group B receives the same. Both groups got computerized electromyography both before and after therapy. Both groups show improved functional rehabilitation of the shoulder joint in kids with brachial plexus palsy, but Group B recovered more quickly (17). Results of previous study shows that greater effects of reciprocal electrical stimulation in group B as compared to group A, but in current study shows that group which receive conventional physical therapy with activity based scapular mobilization shows more improvement than group B.

In (2023), Ahmed et al. carried out a study on mirror treatment for individuals with Erb's palsy who lack fine motor skills. 30 children, ages 5 to 9, suffering from Erb's palsy took part in this study. Two groups of subjects were randomly assigned. Each group had a predetermined schedule, but the study

group underwent three weekly sessions of an hour-long mirror treatment. After treatment, there was a noticeable improvement in the study group receiving mirror therapy (13). The previous study showed that group was treated with mirror therapy and shows a remarkable improvement like our current study shows that activity based scapular mobilization show more improvement than another group.

In (2021), Torrey et al. examined the application of a dynamic elbow brace designed specifically for treating brachial plexus in infants. They came to the conclusion that the brace offered chances for targeted muscle activation, leading to gains in strength, functional mobility, and motor control. An excellent outcome was achieved by using a customized dynamic elbow brace in conjunction with a thorough treatment plan, which proved to be an effective therapeutic equipment(19). Authors concluded that customized dynamic elbow brace help gaining strength and motor control in brachial plexus injury similar to current study that show strength, functional mobility ad normal ranges improved by using activity based scapular mobilization.

In (2022), Marie-Ange et al. prospective cohort research conducted to evaluate functional outcomes by parents of neonates and infants with brachial plexus injury. Three groups were created out of the 69 examples. While the first group engaged in modest, repeated, and passive mobilizations exercises. To the other group (tactile sense activation). To the third group (interactive activities with bright balloons and colorful objects to improve gripping skills). In any case where professional physiotherapy would not be practical, they concluded that loving parents would provide an excellent substitute (20). Authors concluded that physical therapy exercises help child to enhance sensations and improving gripping skills by parents help similar to the current study that shoulder ranges, strength, reducing disability and gaining normal functions in activity based scapular mobilization is improved.

## **CONCLUSION: ???????**

## **REFERENCES**

1. RAHAT S, AHMAD S, BUSHRA SJPJoM, Sciences H. Role of Physical Therapy in Improving the Functional Outcome of Infants with Erb's palsy due to Neurapraxia and Axonotmesis. 2019;13(3):815-8.
2. Fadhilah IM PA, Rachmatika B. Comprehensive Physiotherapy Program for Children with Erb's Paralysis Cases: Case Report. Urecol Journal. Part G: Multidisciplinary Research. 2022 Jun 23;2(1):1-6.
3. Gonçalves RV AR, Ferreira VK. Effect of reaching training combined with electrical stimulation in infants with brachial plexus palsy: A single subject design. Fisioterapia e Pesquisa. 2021 Jun 18;28:32-8.
4. Thamer S KN, Toraih E, Thabet AM, Abdelgawad A. Tendon Transfers to Restore Shoulder Function for Obstetrical Brachial Plexus Palsy: A Systematic Review of the Literature. JBJS reviews. 2023 Jan 1;11(1):e22.
5. Hsu PC CK, Mezian K, Naňka O, Wu WT, Yang YC, Meng S, Ricci V, Özçakar L. Sonographic pearls for imaging the brachial plexus and its pathologies. Diagnostics. 2020 May 20;10(5):324.
6. Yarfı C EC, Banson AN, Kortei NK, Ofori EK. Prevalence and predisposing factors of brachial plexus birth palsy in a regional hospital in Ghana: a five year retrospective study. Pan African Medical Journal. 2019 Apr 29;32(1).
7. Barbosa AM ME, Arantes AP, da Silva RC. Early intervention in obstetric brachial palsy: a review Intervenção precoce na paralisia braquial obstetrica: uma revisão. Brazilian Journal of Development. 2021 Aug;7(8):83605-16.
8. Basma J MMBPPIA, Clinical Syndromes, and Trauma. Contemporary Neurosurgery. 2022 May 15;44(7):1-5.
9. Bekou EA IP, Chasapis G, Kottaras I, Kottaras A, Lytras D. Comparison of the efficacy of electrotherapy and neuromuscular retraining techniques in restoring function of children with obstetric palsy. International Journal of Advanced Research in Medicine. 2021;3(1):419-22.

10. Olguín-Huerta C A-QF, Moncada-Ramírez V, Estrella-Flores E, Cuyúl-Vásquez I, Gutiérrez-Espinoza H. Effectiveness of scapular mobilization in patients with primary adhesive capsulitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*. 2023 Jun 6;102(22).
11. Selviani I OA, Resmana R, Arisman A. The Effectiveness of Codman Pendulum Exercise and Scapular Mobilization to Reduce Pain in Frozen Shoulder Conditions. *Halaman Olahraga Nusantara: Jurnal Ilmu Keolahragaan*. 2023 May 28;6(2):554-60.
12. Patterson ADC, Ribeiro DC. The effect of shoulder mobilization on scapular and shoulder muscle activity during resisted shoulder abduction: a crossover study of asymptomatic individuals. *Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics*. 2020 Oct 1;43(8):832-44.
13. Ahmed MM KH, El-Nabie A, Abd El-Hakim W. Effect of Mirror Therapy on Fine Manual Control in Children with Erb's Palsy. *Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine*. 2023 Jul 1;92(1).
14. RAHAT S AS, BUSHRA S. Role of Physical Therapy in Improving the Functional Outcome of Infants with Erb's palsy due to Neurapraxia and Axonotmesis. *Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*. 2019;13(3):815-8.
15. Justice D CK, Rasmussen LT, Yang LJ. Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation for Infants with Neonatal Brachial Plexus Palsy: A Pilot Study. *The Open Journal of Occupational Therapy*. 2023;11(2):1-3.
16. Anees S BH, Afzal M, Yousaf MA, Amin T, Rizwan M. Effects Of Physiotherapy on Strength, Range and Function in Children with Erb's Palsy; An Experimental Study: Effects of Physiotherapy on Children with Erb's Palsy. *Pakistan BioMedical Journal*. 2022 May 31:68-72.
17. Abdelaziz ER EE, Elhendawy A, El-Bagalaty AE. Effect of Reciprocal Electrical Stimulation in Erb's Palsy Children. *The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine*. 2022 Oct 1;89(1):5561-6.
18. Zayed FH HI, Ahmed AA. Open subscapularis release for treatment of internal rotation shoulder contracture in obstetric brachial plexus palsy. *Al-Azhar Assiut Medical Journal*. 2020 Jul 1;18(3):254-60.
19. 1;33(1):E23-7. TMTIoacDEBfCWNBPPACRPPTJ.
20. Marie-Ange NY, Alexandre, M., Kennedy, M., Arnaud, M.O.S., Eric, N.E., Theophile, N.C., Jean-Gustave, T.T., Muluh, M.F., Awa, M. and Farikou, I., 2022. Obstetric Brachial Plexus Palsy of Newborns and Infants: Functional Outcomes after Rehabilitation by Their Own Parents. *Open Journal of Orthopedics*, 12(4), pp.212-224.