Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

REVIEW ARTICLE
DOI: 10.53555/jptcp.v31i6.6941

THE BURN WOUND INJURY ITS CAUSES AND MANAGEMENT

Saima Azam[1*], Muhammad Kamran Taj[2], Imran Taj[3], Sakina Khan[4], Masroora Ali Khan[5], Syed Ayesha Ali[6], Sikander Azam[7], Nazia Khan[8], Nargis Taj[9], Fahmeeda Rehman[10], Asima Safdar[11], Sumyya Jaffer[12], Syed Jamal Shah[13]

[1*,2,3,4,12,13]Center for Advanced Studies in Vaccinology and Biotechnology, University of Balochistan, Quetta, Pakistan
[5,6,10]Sardar Bahdur Khan Women's University, Quetta, Pakistan
[7]Khyber Medical University, Peshawar, Pakistan
[8]Sandeman Provincial Hospital Quetta, Pakistan
[9]Bolan University of Medical and Health Sciences, Quetta, Pakistan
[11]University of Balochistan, Quetta, Pakistan

***Corresponding author:** Saima Azam
*Email: saimaazam02@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:
Burn injuries are a significant global health concern, resulting from various sources such as thermal, electrical, chemical, and radiation exposures. These injuries can range from minor to severe, with severe cases potentially being life-threatening and leading to long-term complications. Effective management of burn injuries is essential for improving patient outcomes and involves several critical steps. Immediate first aid, such as cooling the burn with water and covering it with a clean cloth, is vital to minimize damage. Key wound care procedures, including cleaning, debridement, and appropriate dressing, are crucial for promoting healing and preventing infection. Infection control through the use of antibiotics and maintaining sterile techniques is paramount. In severe cases, surgical interventions such as escharotomy, fasciotomy, and skin grafting may be required to restore function and appearance. Supportive care, including fluid resuscitation, pain management, and nutritional support, is essential for stabilizing the patient and facilitating recovery. Rehabilitation, which includes physical therapy and psychological support, is crucial for addressing the long-term effects of burn injuries and improving the quality of life for survivors.

Keyword: Burn, Management, Patients, Treatment, Wound

INTRODUCTION:

Burn injuries are a trauma that is underappreciated and can happen to anyone, at anytime, anyplace. The majority of burn injuries are brought on by heat from hot liquids, solids, or fire (American Burn Association, 2019). However, the injuries can also be brought on by friction, cold, heat, radiation, chemical, or electric sources. Although energy transfer results in tissue damage in all burn injuries, distinct causes can be linked to various physiological and pathophysiological reactions. For instance, a flame or hot grease can instantly result in a deep burn, whereas scald injuries (i.e., those caused by hot liquids or steam) initially have a tendency to look more superficial due to the quick dilution of the source and energy. In contrast to acidic burn, colliquative necrosis is caused by alkaline substances and results in the transformation of the tissue into a liquid, viscous mass. Coagulation necrosis allows the

architecture of the dead tissue to be retained. Although the voltage is frequently used to describe the circumstances of injury, electrical injuries are distinct from other types because they can result in deep tissue damage that is greater than the visible skin injury. Tissue damage in electrical injuries is correlated with the electric field strength (amperes and tissue resistance), despite the fact that for ease of understanding the voltage is frequently used to describe the circumstances of injury (Lee, 1997).
Cold can cause thermal damage as well. Numerous factors, such as direct cellular damage from water crystallization in tissue and indirect injury from ischemia and reperfusion, contribute to the development of frostbite. These pathways cause deep tissue damage in addition to skin necrosis (Nguyen *et al.,* 2020). Depending on the etiology of the burn, different treatment approaches are recommended. For example, while deep thermal burns should be treated immediately, treating frostbite with the same approach would be inappropriate; instead, moist rewarming, possible thrombolysis, and careful waiting are the best courses of action (Nguyen *et al.,* 2020).

Classification and degree of burns

Classifying a burn injury according to its severity, depth, and size is crucial in addition to figuring out the source. Burns that only impact the epidermis are categorized as superficial (first-degree) burns; these burns cause the skin to turn red and cause short-term pain. Second-degree burns that are superficially partial in thickness hurt, weep, need dressing and wound care, and may scar, but they may not need surgery. Because some of the pain receptors have been damaged, deep partial-thickness (second-degree) burns are less painful, drier, require surgery, and leave scars. Due to destruction to the nerve endings, a full-thickness (third-degree) burn spreads through the entire dermis; yet, it usually does not cause pain and must be treated surgically unless it is very small (Jeschke *et al.,* 2011). Fourth-degree burns, on the other hand, frequently result in the loss of the burned area and damage to underlying tissues, such muscle or bone. More serious burns require meticulous therapy, which involves topical antimicrobial dressings and surgery. Although superficial and superficial partial-thickness burns typically heal without surgical intervention (Jeschke *et al.,* 2008).
Burns are distinguished as either minor or major, which is important. Typically, a minor burn is one that covers less than 10% of the total body surface area (TBSA), with superficial burns being more common. On the other hand, it is usually unclear, how much of the burn counts as a serious burn. The following criteria can be used to categorized serious burn injuries >10% TBSA in older patients, >20% TBSA in adults, and >30% TBSA in young patients. Burns may occur in addition to skin injuries due to inhaling smoke or severe physical stress to other organs (Stanojcic *et al.,* 2018).

Etiology of burn wound injury

Thermal injuries

Heat- related injuries accounts for 90% of burns, and length of the contact and temperature have an impact on the degree of damage. These include the most prevalent kind of burn damage, called scald, which accounts for over 70% of burns in youngsters and is also relatively common in older people. Usually, standard medical care can be used to cure burns from scratches that are somewhat thick. Usually contact with flame or radiant heat source cause injuries from (dry heat). Widespread in adults and usually followed by smoke inhalation side effects. Because of their depth (partial or full thickness), they usually need surgery. Making direct touch with a warm object might lead to contact injuries. Prolonged contact with an object that is fairly hot, like a radiator, can also cause heat damage, which can occasionally result in loss of consciousness (in elderly people, epileptics, drug or alcohol users, and so on). Surgery is typically necessary for deep contact burns (Schaefer and Tannan, 2022).

Burn injuries caused by electricity

Less than 5% of the burn injuries are due to the electrical accidents. The most common groups to get them are children and male manual laborers. A few factors that affect how serious an injury is are the amount of voltage and amperage, the kind of up-to-date the duration of contact, and how fast the current travels through the body. Effective conductors include blood vessels, maximum tissues, but particularly neurons. While skin's conductivity fluctuates with temperature and moisture content, bones and skin

are not good conductors. Because weak conductor tissues emit heat, electricity damages the tissues that are close by. Presumptive entry and exit sites of the body's electrical current are often observable in clinical settings. A thousand volts of electrical energy, usually present within, can cause small to serious burns at both entry and exit places. Irregular current has the potential to cause arrhythmias and other heart-related complications. High voltage (>1000 V) injuries cause large-scale tissue damage and often lead to renal failure, cardiac arrhythmia and rhabdomyolysis (breakdown of muscles). It's challenging to perform fluid resuscitation since the injuries are invisible. There is a significant mortality risk associated with this type of injury, with 15% of patients additionally suffering injuries from falls (Spies and Trohman, 2006). During a high-voltage source discharge, a straightforward arc flash can potentially cause burns. Body parts exposed to the arc's heat, such the hands and face, can get burned even though the body does not transmit current. In most cases, the burns that follow are only somewhat thick, unless the arc ignites clothing and causes deeper injuries (Fish, 2000).

Chemical injuries

Chemical damage is the cause of about 3% of burns. Typically, homes and businesses are the venues where this kind of incidence occurs. Denaturation causes this type of damage to proteins; the degree of the damage depends on the type, concentration, and duration of the chemical's connection as well as how it behaves, which can include corrosion, vesication, desiccation, oxidation and reduction, and protoplasmic poisoning. Chemicals have traditionally been categorized as acids or alkalis because, despite their similar clinical presentations, the precise mechanisms of tissue death may differ among chemical groups (Koh *et al.,* 2017). Protein denaturation and necrosis are caused by acid burns, and these effects are usually localized and transient. Conversely, gradual liquefaction necrosis from alkaline burns induces deeper tissue penetration and has more lasting effects. Addition of cement to perspiration might intensify the exothermic process and result in alkaline burns. Any surface that cement powder comes into contact with gets further dried off due to its severe hygroscopicity. The chemical is diluted and tissue damage is decreased when washing with lots of water. Typically, burns are caused by alkalis other chemicals and acids (Koh *et al.,* 2017).

Radiations

The most common types of hazardous radiation are beta, gamma, and alpha radiation. The positively charged helium ions make up alpha particles. They can only travel a few centimeters in the air due to their bulkiness, weight, and inability to penetrate the skin's keratin barrier. Nevertheless, when swallowed or inhaled, these particles can cause significant tissue damage because to their high energy and high Sv (Sievert) values. Negatively charged electron beams from beta particles can perforate tissue up to one centimeter deep, leaving behind superficial lesions that mimic sunburns, despite the fact that they can travel kilometers into the atmosphere. Atoms such as 192Ir (iryd) and 60Co (cobalt) that naturally decay can release gamma rays that can pierce through tissues to a depth of several meters and go through the atmosphere. Therefore, gamma radiation can cause significant damage to vital organs including the lungs and bone marrow. Patients develop generalized symptoms referred to as Acute Radiation Syndrome (ARS) in addition to deep gamma burns on their skin (Bhattacharya, 2010).

Epidemiology of burn wounds

Burn injuries cause permanent physical and psychological scarring and burn injuries also cause pain that, negatively affects a person's quality of life, capacity to work again, and mortality (Logsetty *et al.,* 2016; Mason *et al.,* 2016; Stone *et al.,* 2016). Despite the fact that data on burn epidemiology are necessary for resource allocation and prevention, the information that is currently available is inconsistent and variable. The majority of data comes from high-income nations and is directly tied to access to healthcare resources, environmental variations, and the resources of various healthcare systems (Rybarczyk *et al.,* 2017; Stylianou *et al.,* 2011). Fewer resources, regional restrictions, and higher costs restrict data collecting and access to healthcare in lower income nations (Padalko *et al.,* 2019). Additionally, cultural elements including open-air cooking areas, loose clothes (such as saris),

domestic violence, and dowry killings add to regional heterogeneity (Peck and Pressman, 2013; Spiwak *et al.,* 2015).

Burn injuries are still common worldwide, with 90% of cases happening in low- and middle-income areas, even if they are declining in high-income nations (Smolle *et al.,* 2017). According to the WHO, there are 11 million burn injuries of all kinds each year, 180,000 of which result in death (WHO, 2018). The incidence of burn injuries varies greatly (Smolle *et al.,* 2017). For instance, the rate of burn-related fatalities per 100,000 people varies from 14.53 in Malta to 0.02 in Cote d'Ivoire. Children who die from burns are 7 to 11 times more likely to do so in low-income countries than in high-income ones (Peck and Pressman, 2013; WHO, 2018).

There is a bimodal age distribution of all burn injuries in the USA, with the majority occurring in young children (1–15.9 years of age) and working age individuals (20–59 years of age). In any country, boys and girls are more likely than girls to suffer burns as youngsters, especially when they are very young (Greenhalgh, 2019). As people get older, this ratio shifts, and in most nations, men are hurt about twice as often as women. Up to three times as many women as males suffer burn injuries and pass away from them in Ghana and India, two countries that defy this trend (Bayuo *et al.,* 2018; Sanghavi *et al.,* 2009). According to the 2019 National Burn Repository of the American Burn Association (ABA), scalds were in second place with 31% of all injuries in the USA being flame burns overall. Electrical burn injuries (3.6%) and chemical burn injuries (3.5%) are substantially less frequent. The burns in children under the age of five are typically scald injuries that get worse with age (Tegtmeyer *et al.,* 2018). Burns among the older population are on the rise and are mostly caused by flames. But scald injuries are also sharply rising (Dissanaike and Rahimi, 2009). Finally, depending on the setting, some sensitive populations, such as those who have epilepsy, are more likely to get burn injuries (Atwell *et al.,* 2019).

Pathophysiology of burn wounds

Burns that cover more than 30% of the total body surface area (TBSA) cause significant hypovolemia, inflammatory mediator production, and release, which have a cumulative systemic effect that is known as burn shock (Demling, 1987). Burn shock is a multifaceted process that involves edema production in both traumatized and non-traumatized tissues, as well as circulatory and microcirculatory dysfunction. This pathophysiologic state is still only partially reversible, even with prompt and appropriate fluid assistance. Burn shock is actually caused by an unusual state of poor tissue perfusion, which fails to eliminate contaminants from tissues and results in insufficient delivery of oxygen and nutrients. Myocardial depression results from increased pulmonary and systemic vascular resistances despite adequate preload and good fluid resuscitation (Demling *et al.,* 1978). Thus, there is a greater chance of organ failure and a subsequent escalation of the inflammatory response (Clark, 1990).

A typical initial reaction to a heat injury is plasma extravasation, which is often followed by a sequence of hemodynamic events. The most common hemodynamic abnormalities are elevated systemic vascular resistance (SVR), which causes lower peripheral blood flow, and decreased plasma volume, cardiac output, and urine output. Burn injuries, in contrast to hemorrhages, are linked to an increase in hemoglobin and hematocrit (Lund and Reed, 1986).

Edema development is yet another typical response to burn damage. Edema develops when the volume of fluid that enters micro vessels to the volume that is filtered out exceeds. Edema formation is a biphasic process. The primary feature of the initial phase of burn injury is a marked rise in the water concentration in traumatized tissues within the first hour after the injury (Leape, 1972; Demling *et al.,* 1978). A more progressive increase in fluid flux of both burned and intact skin and soft tissues occurs in the second phase, which occurs twelve to twenty-four hours following a burn (Settle, 1982).

The rate at which tissue water content rises is very important. 90% of this shift is often seen in the first few minutes, with the original volume typically reaching double during the first hour (Arturson and Jakobsson, 1985; Leape, 1970). The degree of edema development is dependent on whether fluid resuscitation is given or not. Although fluid supplementation increases the pressure of capillaries and blood flow, further extravasation occurs after burn-induced plasma extravasation following resuscitation. Alternatively, when no fluid is given, the edema continues to be self-limited. The type

and quantity of fluid supplied as well as the type and intensity of the trauma are important factors in determining the volume of edema (Onarheim *et al.,* 1989).

Thermal attacks have a particularly large impact on cell membranes. When burn size is greater than 30% of TBSA, cellular transmembrane potentials in muscle tissues far from the site of damage are susceptible to a systemic decline. Moreover, it's been shown that tissue edema occurs in both directly and indirectly traumatized cells due to modified cell membranes and increased sodium and potassium fluxes. The membrane potential of the cellular membranes of both healthy and injured skeletal muscle shows partial depolarization from -90 mV to -70 mV and -80 mV. Cell contents of salt and water increase as soon as membrane potentials start to drop (Shires et al., 1972; Nakayama *et al.,* 1984). Patients with hemorrhagic shock also show these anomalies. The liver, heart, and endothelial cells have also shown similar alterations (Mazzoni *et al.,* 1989). Determining the cause of membrane depolarization has proven disputed. Some authors connect ATP and ATPase enzyme activity reduction to membrane depolarization. Others suggested that the primary reasons of membrane depolarization were enhanced sodium permeability in membranes and enhanced sodium-hydrogen antiport activity. Many studies have been conducted in an attempt to identify the reasons of the cellular edema observed in burn shock patients. Membrane depolarization has been related to the possibility of the presence of unknown complex circulating shock factors (Brown *et al.,* 1990; Evans *et al.,* 1991). It has been shown that resuscitation measurements cannot completely recover intracellular sodium concentration and membrane potential to normal values has provided evidence in favor of this theory. Considering that hypovolemia is not the only cause of burn-associated tissue edema, burn shock cannot be considered to be only another type of bleeding (Button *et al.*, 2001).

Burn sufferers may have high energy requirements. The resting energy expenditure, or metabolic rate, can rise to very high levels depending on the extent of the burn. Measurements show that with moderate burns (less than 10% TBSA), resting metabolic rates are quite normal. During an acute hospital stay, burn rates rapidly double from the baseline rate when the TBSA is greater than 40%. Following this progressive climb in a curvilinear pattern, the resting metabolic rate of individuals with severe burns begins to decline until, once the burn wound heals, it reaches 150% of the basal rate. Based on estimates from resting metabolic rates at six, nine, and twelve months following trauma are 140%, 120%, and 110% of basal rates, respectively (Hart *et al.*, 2000).

The damaging effects of the hyper metabolic reaction and its systemic and cellular ramifications will also have a detrimental influence on the victim's socioeconomic environment. The impaired organs include the skin, skeletal muscle, liver, heart, and immune system. Additionally, the risk of infection and wound healing are also affected (Herndon *et al.,* 1984; Morykwas *et al.,* 1999; Nwariaku *et al.,* 1996). The reintegration of survivors back into society and rehabilitation are delayed as a result of this. There was a significant and prolonged rise in the secretions of dopamine, glucagon, cortisol, and catecholamines, per several investigations. This led to that hypermetabolic response (Mlcak *et al.,* 2006; Przkora *et al.,* 2006). Several parameters have been identified that regulate metabolic response and alter glucose metabolism for up to three years after the first assault. There are a number of characteristics that, for up to three years following the initial attack, control the metabolic response and modify glucose metabolism. These mediators include complement cascades, reactive oxygen species (ROS), platelet-activating factor (PAF), tissue necrosis factor (TNF), and interleukins (IL) 1 and 6. (Sheridan, 2001; Gauglitz *et al.,* 2009). The initial and final stages comprise these metabolic controls. Following thermal injury, the 'Ebb' phase begins right away. Hypo metabolism, which involves decreased oxygen intake, hypodynamic circulation, and hyperglycemia, is a defining feature of the three-day sickness. After then, as the 'Flow' phase draws near, these elements begin to progressively increase. This hyper metabolic period can extend for up to a year (Jeschke *et al.,* 2008).

After burn injury, the metabolism of muscle protein is shifted into a state where synthesis and breakdown happen more quickly due to changes in metabolic pathways and pro-inflammatory cytokines. There is a negative whole-body and cross-leg nitrogen balance, a marker of a substantial net protein loss (Herndon *et al.,* 2001).Faster protein degradation results in a significant decrease of lean body mass (LBM) and muscular atrophy, as well as decreased strength and an extension of recovery (Bessey *et al.,* 1989). Numerous disorders and limitations result, depending on the degree of LBM

depletion. Patients who lose thirty percent or more of their lean body mass, on the other hand, are more likely to develop pressure ulcers and pneumonia, have less cough reflex, and require mechanical breathing for a longer period of time. A 20% loss of LBM is linked to immune system changes, an increase in infection rates, and a delay in wound healing. The mortality rate increases from 50% to 100% when the loss reaches 40% (Chang *et al.,* 1998).

The metabolic alterations evident in severe burns alter the metabolism of energy substrates as well. Anaerobic metabolism of glucose results in a high generation of lactate. The synthesis of glucose is increased in patients with severe burns, especially when alanine is present (Rennie, 1985). Through gluconeogenesis, amino acids are mostly used to produce glucose, leaving very few of them to perform their original role as the building blocks of body protein. The body's protein reserve is depleted as a result of increased nitrogen excretion, primarily in the form of urea. The emergence of insulin resistance in people with severe burns is a significant observation. Even with a two-fold increase in insulin levels, plasma blood sugar levels can still reach 180 mg/dl (Childs *et al.,* 1990). Persistent hyperglycemia is caused by a reduction in the inhibitory effect of insulin on hepatic glucose release, an increase in gluconeogenic substrates, an increase in hepatic glycogenolysis, and a decrease in the ability to dispose of glucose. Burns result in higher levels of gluconeogenic substrates such glycerol, alanine, and lactate due to increased adipose tissue lipolysis and skeletal muscle proteolysis. The direct effects of sympathetic activation and catecholamine on glycogenolysis enhancement in burns come second (Hunt, 2002).

Following injury, there can be a variety of modifications to heart function. While the skin's plasma volume significantly decreases, the receptors on thermally injured skin trigger a neurotic response that causes a quick cardiac output depression. It has a connection to cardiac index that drops early and then sharply rises on day three. The additional common outcomes include a persistent rise in heart rate, cardiac effort, and myocardial oxygen consumption that continue at high levels during the healing phase (Baron *et al.,* 1997). Increased cardiac stress leads to the development of myocardial depression. Usually, fluid resuscitation does not return cardiac output to normal. Hypovolemia, elevated SVR, restricted venous return, and the effects of cardiac depression medications are all reasons for this persistent depression (Michie *et al.,* 1963).

After changes in the cardiovascular system, the renal system is also impacted. The globular filtration rate (GFR) and renal circulation are negatively impacted by hypovolemia, reduced cardiac output, angiotensin, vasopressin, and aldosterone. These alterations usually show up as oliguria, the initial sign of renal impairment. Acute necrosis of the tubular system (ATN), kidney failure, and death could result from not treating these cases quickly and properly (Chrysopoulo *et al.,* 1999). Renal system changes coincidentally with cardiovascular system changes. Angiotensin, vasopressin, and aldosterone effects, hypovolemia, and decreased cardiac output all contribute to reductions in renal blood flow and glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Usually, these changes appear as oligouria, an early indicator of renal failure. Respiratory failure, acute tubular necrosis (ATN), and even death may result from improper and delayed management of these cases (Chrysopoulo *et al.,* 1999).

Because to mucosal atrophy, decreased absorptive capacity, and increased surface permeability, burns can have a negative impact on the digestive system (Levoyer *et al.,* 1992). According to the size of the burn, apoptotic epithelial cell death takes place, causing the intestinal mucosa to degenerate (Wolf *et al.,* 1999). Following mucosal atrophy, the digestive system's ability to absorb nutrients, particularly fatty acids, glucose, and amino acids, is compromised in a number of ways. The activity of brush boundary lipase is similarly compromised (Carter *et al.,* 1986). Following changes in intestinal blood flow, there is also an increase in gut permeability to macromolecules (Deitch *et al.,* 1996).

One of the systemic effects that individuals with severe burn injuries display is an endocrine response. There are significant alterations in the hypothalamic-anterior-pituitary peripheral hormone axis during this biphasic response. Target-organ resistance is assumed to be the cause of the low effector hormone levels seen during the acute period. Lower hormone levels in the target organ, however, are the outcome of the hypothalamus' suppression during the long-term phase. One group of hormones that are directly linked to the beginning of damage is cortisol, which is also known as the stress hormone along with glucagon and catecholamine’s. There are situations where the levels of these hormones can rise

exponentially to ten times normal (Gore *et al.,* 2005; Thomas *et al.,* 2002). Its effects on the cardiovascular system and the ensuing fluid shifts make this rise remarkable as result of these changes. Thus, it may be said that the stress hormones are the ones that start the hypermetabolic, catabolic, and proteolytic response (Klein, 2006). The first hormonal reaction to stress is followed by a series of changes in the hypothalamic-pituitary-organ axis. Growth hormone-insulin-like growth factor-1 (GH-IGF-1) is a main axis affected in severe burns. The impact of growth hormone was found to be substantially less influential than the effects on IGF-1 and Insulin-Like Growth Factor Binding Protein-3 (IGFBP-3) (Jeschke *et al.,* 2004).The initial post-burn phase is also characterized by declines in Thyroid Stimulating Hormone (TSH), Triiodothyronine (T3), Thyroxine (T4), Testosterone, Osteocalcin, and Parathyroid Hormone (PTH) (Moshage, 1997).

Prevention of burn injuries

Burn victims and those who care for them are affected by enduring concerns of burn injuries, including lifelong scars. Relatively speaking, vulnerable people suffer injuries at disproportionately high rates, which exacerbates the marginalization of already marginalized groups. Professional burn care basically operates under the assumption that most burn injuries are avoidable. Consequently, the World Health Organization (WHO) has suggested an international strategy for burn prevention and treatment, and the American Burn Association (ABA) encourages involvement in preventive initiatives as a component of burn center certification (Peck *et al.,* 2009). To encourage their widespread use, the WHO compiled a list of efficient preventative measures originating from nations with rich, middle, and low incomes. The policies employed encompass a spectrum of actions, from minor lifestyle modifications such as the adoption of secure wood stoves to legislative measures like the control of children's sleepwear's flammability (WHO, 2011).

The frequency of burn injuries has decreased in high-income countries in tandem with legislative actions (Harvey *et al.,* 2015). Effective preventative measures include the development of fire-safe smokes and a decrease in the flammability of children's apparel. However, in low- and middle-income nations, similar initiatives might not be as successful. Local socioeconomic issues must be taken into account in these situations; for instance, the majority of low-income nations lack water heaters and the means to install smoke alarms in every home. Because it facilitates the arrangement of interventions targeting appropriate upstream, midstream, and downstream objectives, the Haddon Matrix (Haddon, 1999) should be considered when creating preventative programs. Before the event, event-related, and after the event aspects are evaluated. This tactic might applied sporadically or even locally (Sadeghi-Bazargani *et al.,* 2015).

To identify the areas that need attention and assess program effectiveness, local burn prevention initiatives should concentrate on strategies for disseminating knowledge, collecting information procedures, and variables that influence the incidence of injuries caused by burns in the surrounding environment. For example, in many countries, things like cooking over an open flame and wearing loose clothing are serious concerns. The incidence of electrical burns has dramatically increased in tandem with other areas of industrialization (Ready *et al.,* 2018). In a more personal context, preventive methods can also be applied. For instance, a study of burn injuries suffered by firefighters identified similar patterns linked to equipment defects that can direct future advancements and modifications to the apparatus. Aside from knowledge availability, engagement in the selected media must be considered while creating prevention campaigns. Attempts to gamify learning using mobile applications, for example, may be more successful in some nations and among particular age groups than a TV ad. This approach could be hampered by literacy trends, cultural appropriateness (which is especially challenging in countries where there is no clear common language and many official languages), and availability of the recommended technologies. For example, it is impossible to completely forgo open fire cooking until a low-cost, widely available, safe substitute is discovered (Burgess *et al.,* 2018; Parbhoo *et al.,* 2010).

It is also possible for one risk factor to be addressed while creating a new one; for example, inexperienced cooks may have more accidents when using burners powered by liquefied petroleum gas in place of open fires. The data needed to drive this process must be provided via effective data

collection, reporting, and decisions based on evidence. Although this information is more easily obtained in high-income countries, the countries with the greatest number of burn injuries may not now have the means to collect it. The Global Burn Registry was established by the WHO to fill this information gap and facilitate data collecting in low-resource environments (Jin *et al.,* 2018).

Diagnosis of burn wounds

When determining the extent of a burn damage, accurate assessment is crucial as it sets the precedent for all subsequent decisions about treatment, emergency procedures, and when medical intervention is not necessary. Once a diagnosis and screening have been made, the patient's expectations and wishes for their quality of life should, if feasible, be taken into account (Teven and Gottlieb, 2018). Three guides provide systematic and methodical ways to assess the severity of burn injuries: the American Burn Association's Advanced Burn Life Support (ABLS), the Australian and New Zealand Burn Association's Emergency Management of the Severe Burn (EMSB), and the American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma's Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) (Mohammad *et al.,* 2013; Breederveld, *et al.,* 2011; Kearns *et al.,* 2015). A systematic consecutive assessment that takes into account the necessity for further consultation and potential transportation in order to maximize results at specialized centers must be incorporated into such diagnostic procedures, which include the primary and secondary survey (Klein *et al.,* 2009).

Screening of burn wounds

Due to the many physical and psychological factors involved, as well as our expanding knowledge of functional recovery after damage, it can be challenging to monitor a patient who has a burn injuries. When a patient becomes ill, for example, clinicians can choose which antimicrobials to employ based on the early detection of multidrug-resistant microorganisms (Mosier *et al.,* 2010). There has been variability in the effectiveness of standardized prediction formulas in forecasting the occurrence of burn damage sequelae, including acute renal injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (Kumar *et al.,* 2017; Sine *et al.,* 2016). The optimal smoke inhalation assessment instrument must be extremely sensitive and specific to prevent unnecessary intubations and prevent airway loss or respiratory failure as a result of missed diagnoses. True, needless intubation increases the danger of upper airway issues such as vocal cord and airway damage, as well as infections associated with ventilators. It is still unknown which people require early intubation following smoke inhalation in order to prevent airway loss (Cochran, 2009).

Moreover, considering the possible psychiatric and emotional effects of burn injuries, early recognition of anxiety disorders, including extreme anxiety, and even addiction to drugs may allow for prompt social and psychological interventions that reduce the risk of long-term mental health problems (Amtmann *et al.,* 2020). Additionally, it may indicate a risk of long-term mental health repercussions that require targeted therapy if the patient had a history of ER visits prior to burn injuries. There may be a chance to prevent burn injuries, as evidenced by recent data showing individuals used health services resources more frequently before becoming burned (Wiechman *et al.,* 2018).

Management of burn wounds

More than 95% of burn-related deaths happen in low- and middle-income nations with few burn centers of expertise (Dave *et al.,* 2018). It has been repeatedly shown that there is limited access to specialized burn care, even in the United States of America, where 20% of the population lives more than two hours far from a burn center by air or transportation on the ground. Consequently, health care provider training at entry-level facilities is essential to lowering burn-related mortality and disability, especially in contexts with limited resources, such as war zones nations with low or middle incomes, as well as incidents of mass casualties (Young *et al.,* 2017; Jeng *et al.,* 2014).

All minor burn injuries can be treated with first aid, and prompt treatment for more severe burn injuries is also encouraged. As to the American College of Surgeons (2019), the primary as well as the secondary surveys are part of phase I of the acute management, which covers patients with more severe burns. Following an admission to a burn center, patients get four main stages of care, which start in

that order: resuscitation, burn wound coverage, critical care and supportive care, and rehabilitation. In the planning of burn care, outcome predictors are still another important factor. One characteristic that sets burn injuries apart is the constant inverse link between burn size and outcome (i.e., the prognosis deteriorate with increasing burn size). Strictly based on the severity of the burn injury and the patient's age, the Baux score was initially reported fifty years before. The forecast of death after a burn injury, is equally influenced by the patient's age and the burn size (% TBSA).Taking into account any potential or actual inhalation injury, currently, the most popular outcome predictor in use is the Modified Baux score. Patients of all ages, including children, can utilize it (Roberts, 2012).

Treatment of burn injuries

Burns induce complicated responses that are not entirely reversible with any of the current therapeutic approaches, but they have been successful in altering burn-associated metabolism through a variety of pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches. Research indicates that the burn victim will benefit from chilling the area that was injured and quickly removing the source. The better physiological response is achieved by reducing the increased temperature of the burned tissue. That it provides palliative relief is noteworthy as well. Applying the cooling agent as soon as possible is important, even though it needs to be at the proper temperature. When severe cold, such as ice, causes vasoconstriction, the injured area's blood flow is reduced, which might worsen an already-existing damage. If a large region of skin is gradually cooled over an extended length of time, hypothermia is likely to occur. Even on cooled surfaces, frostbite can occur. The best range of temperatures to cool a burn damage is between 10 and 20°C, based on the latest research (Abraham *et al.,* 2018).

Fluid resuscitation in burn patients

Appropriate resuscitation is the initial and most crucial course of treatment following a major burn (Snell *et al.,* 2013). A quick build-up of fluid occurs after a burn injury, affecting both the burned and, to some extent, the unaffected tissues. If burns above 15 to 20% TSBA, untreated burns may result in shock due to hypovolemic shock, organ damage, and eventually death. The formula for calculating a burn victim's 24-hour fluid demands is the Parkland fluid resuscitation formula, which is still the most widely used technique in the world today. Since its development by Baxter and Shires in 1968, it has been the accepted protocol for the first round of resuscitation with fluids in burn patients (Alvarado *et al.,* 2008). Apart from the routine assessments of biological indicators and resuscitation goals, specifically the output of urine, the formula is utilized. The weight of the patient and the proportion of body surface area burned are the determining factors. Given that only half of this amount can be given in the first eight hours, the Parkland formula states that 4 mL/kg/% TBSA is the total amount of fluid required throughout a 24-hour period. Concerns over the accuracy of the fluid resuscitation technique have led to a recent reexamination of the approach by clinicians, especially with older patients. Over resuscitation was a topic Pruitt enclosed in his description (Pruitt, 2000).

Over-fluid loading can occur for a number of reasons, such as improperly calculated fluid requirements, needless fluid infusions, increasing usage of infusions containing hypnotic and painkilling drugs, and improper preparation of crystalloid solutions. Urine production remains the primary indicator of fluid resuscitation even with efforts to apply adjunctive measures in the form of contemporary minimally invasive procedures like pulmonary artery catheter implantation or trans lung thermodilution, which allow for continuous assessment of total blood volume index, intrathoracic blood volume, extravascular lung water index, and venous oxygen saturation. Ideal for use in fluid resuscitation are isotonic crystalloid resuscitation fluids, such as lactate or acetate Ringer's solution. One method for fluid resuscitation is to combine colloid with hypertonic lactated saline (HLS) (Yoshino *et al.,* 2016).

Ventilation in burn patients

In situations involving serious burns, particularly thermal damage to the lungs, ventilator assistance and airway care are frequently necessary. Ventilation techniques are also being researched, especially for critically ill patients with extensive burns who are experiencing respiratory failure. Ventilator-associated lung injury has decreased in frequency with the implementation of a lung-protective

breathing method. The results for patients suffering from deadly burns and inhaling events have significantly improved as a result of advancements in breathing equipment (Chung *et al.*, 2016).

Surgical treatment of burn patients

According to certain theories, the biggest progress in the treatment of patients with severe thermal burns is the early excision and closure of burn wounds. The chance of consequences from severe burns can actually only be decreased by this procedure; there is no other way to do it. Within 72 hours of a severe thermal injury, patients with 50% TBSA who skin displayed a 40% lower metabolic rate than individuals with equal severe burns who did not undergo excision within three days. Other benefits of fast excision over patients with delayed repair include less discomfort, less chance of infection and sepsis, and less loss of protein (Ong *et al.,* 2005). By improving function and appearance to the afflicted areas, reconstructive burn surgery has helped burn sufferer's live better lives. Tissue expansion, skin grafting, and other methods may be used in this kind of surgery to restore damaged tissue and minimize scarring (Spronk *et al.,* 2018).

The patient's general well-being, the size and placement of the burn, and the presence of any other medical conditions are some of the variables that determine the patient's suitability for reconstructive burn surgery. Reconstructive surgery may be an appropriate option for patients whose burns affect vital body parts such as the hands, feet, face, or other areas that are visually or functionally impaired. The procedure is usually performed after the burn wounds have fully healed, and timing is critical. Even highly skilled surgeons find it difficult to determine the extent of a burn because there are no dependable ways to do so in the early stages (up to a few days after the damage). Among the most important indicators are irritation, the burn injury's process, and tissue perceptual integrity that physicians can rely on, despite the high error rate associated with this sort of assessment. For this reason, the highly accurate diagnostic technique known as Laser Doppler Imaging (LDI) has become an essential part of clinical assessment due to its high sensitivity and specificity. The degree of interruption of cutaneous microvascular blood flow restored is assessed using this method, which also enables extremely accurate assessment of total depth (Yakupu *et al.,* 2022). The use of LDI has been linked to cost effectiveness overall, fewer surgical interventions, shorter hospital stays, and faster decision-making for grafting procedures (Hop *et al.,* 2013).Active dynamic temperature measurement, which measures the burn wound's temperature to determine its depth, is another possible assessment technique that might be used (Monstrey *et al.,* 2008).

Recently, a number of innovative methods have been created to enhance the surgical therapy of burn injuries. Since it has given patients with burns that were previously believed to be irreversible options for rehabilitation, the use of various skin substitutes has considerably improved the advancement of burn surgery. Materials used to cover wounds fall into the broad area of skin substitutes. Autologous skin grafts are intended to assist in wound closure in situations where they are either unfeasible (such as in the case of extensive burns) or undesirable (Machens *et al.,* 2000). Wound closure is facilitated by them; also, they enhance the skin surface of the wound, diminish inflammation, eliminate or minimize impediments to healing, and ultimately decrease the probability of scarring (Shores *et al.*, 2007). Class II continuous just one layer epidermal or cutaneous substitutes, Class III hybrid skin substitutes, and temporary impermeable dressing materials (Class I) are the three categories of skin substitutes. In early reconstructions, the effectiveness of human cadaver skin and biosynthetic skin replacements was comparable to that of autografts. However, there isn't yet a skin substitute that can perfectly mimic every feature of human skin. Despite the fact that many skin functions such as sensing, thermoregulation, secretion, and UV protection cannot be recovered, tissue repair with the use of replacements (Gosk, 2012).

Sepsis due to the burn injury

Sepsis is a major factor in the increase in burn-related mortality and hypermetabolic response, so it is crucial to take the required steps to lower its prevalence. This will assist in preventing infections in patients (Li *et al.,* 2022).

The patient's critical care following burns must include both early detection and prevention of sepsis. Preventive measures include nutritional assistance, early excision and grafting, and topical antimicrobial dressings. It's suggested to do a bacterial culture on the area of injury and that patients with contaminated wounds or those with impaired immune systems, such as diabetics, children, and perioperative patients, be treated with antibiotic prophylaxis as a last resort. However, systemic antibiotic dosing for prevention is not currently recommended due to insufficient data to support its efficacy. However, the best way to treat burn infections in the future is to employ systemic antibiotic therapy together with antifungal drugs if needed. Treatment is becoming more and more difficult as a result of the antibiotic resistance of many bacterial strains. The three most often used markers of sepsis at the moment are C-reactive protein (CRP), white blood cell count, and procalcitonin. The white blood cell count demonstrated high specificity (65%) and a low sensitivity (47%) for sepsis in burn patients, whereas procalcitonin showed strong sensitivity (86%) but weak specificity (54%) for sepsis. The most encouraging markers included cell-free DNA, TNF-alpha, brain natriuretic peptide and stroke volume index; these were measured 14 days following the lesion (Branski *et al.,* 2009).

Thermoregulation in burn patients

Elevate the room temperature as another careful treatment strategy that helps lower energy consumption at rest in burn patients with more than 40% TBSA. By raising the patient's body temperature to its core, this simple technique lessens the body's loss of water. Burn sufferers are more vulnerable to hypothermia after significant thermal injury because significant physiological changes disrupt thermal homeostasis. Raising the temperature in the critical care unit and operating room can help lessen the effects of hypothermia and a high metabolism as well as the loss of thermoregulation. But as of right now, no convincing scientific suggestion exists (Rizzo *et al.,* 2017).

Treatment of contractures

If burn injuries are not treated, the patient may have burn wound contracture, a chronic side effect that lasts a lifetime. Rehabilitation in the form of early, progressive programs aimed at increasing strength of muscles and body size can prevent it. There are a variety of treatments available to reduce contractures, including injections of corticosteroids, hydrotherapy, antihistamine intake, laser therapy, compression therapy, surgical excision, and rebuilding (Hayashida and Akita, 2017).

Hormonal regulation in burn wound patients

To manage burn-induced hormone dysregulation, a number of pharmacological therapy modalities have been created. They can be divided into groups according on whether anabolic or catabolic agents are utilized. Examples of the former include GH, insulin, IGF-1, testosterone, and oxandrolone. However, the most important anti-catabolic medication is still the adrenergic antagonist propranolol (Knuth *et al.,* 2012).

The effects of recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) are numerous and it has great ability to control the burn-initiated reaction. It also promotes anabolic muscular growth and enhances the kinetics of muscle protein, and reduces the amount of time it takes for the skin graft site to heal. By modifying cytokine expression, lowering acute phase protein levels, and raising constitutive hepatic protein levels, it decreases the hepatic acute phase response. In addition, it lessens the loss of nitrogen following injury and encourages the synthesis of numerous more proteins. However, the higher likelihood of death associated with adult patients receiving rhGH therapy significantly limits its use (Gauglitz *et al.,* 2011).

Insulin usage may be recommended in some cases of burn injury. Without increasing hepatic triglyceride synthesis, it prevents muscular catabolism and preserves lean body mass by inducing anabolic activities in muscles. By controlling the absorption of amino acids, promoting the synthesis of fatty acids, and reducing proteolysis, it is well recognized that insulin promotes both protein synthesis and DNA replication. Insulin can decrease heart rate in along with lowering blood sugar because it controls the absorption of glucose into skeletal muscle and adipose tissue from the peripheral circulation and inhibits the production of glucose in the liver. The management of hyperglycemia in patients with severe burns has demonstrated the significant benefits of insulin delivery throughout

hospitalization. To achieve this, it boosts the production of muscle proteins, quickens the recovery process, and reduces the acute phase response and damage of thin body mass (Hrynyk and Neufeld, 2014).

Because of the negative effects of elevated body catecholamine levels, anti-catabolic medications have been included in the burn damage therapeutic protocol. Propranolol has been shown in studies to decrease essential thermogenesis, ambient consumption of energy, and tachycardia. It was additionally initiate to aid in the reduction of urea synthesis, the reduction of urine-derived nitrogen breakdown, and the increase of lean body mass. It also lessens the liver's acute phase protein response, insulin resistance, fatty liver infiltration, peripheral lipolysis, and skeletal muscle atrophy (Gauglitz *et al.*, 2011).

Role of nutrition in burn patients

Using an alternate diet that is well-balanced is essential to helping burn sufferers heal. When it comes to helping burn patients maintain their general body weight and lessen their hypermetabolic response, ingestion of food has replaced oral nourishment as the gold standard (Mochizuki *et al.*, 1984).

Sepsis risk is decreased and gastrointestinal motility is preserved. When the required caloric intake cannot be achieved with enteral nutrition alone, or when enteral feeding is completely contraindicated (e.g., extended ileus, intolerance to enteral feeding), parenteral feeding may be attempted. Since it may have adverse consequences such as immunosuppression, hepatic impairment, and increased mortality, it is not always recommended. When selecting the dietary plan that would help burn victims maintain their lean body mass, there are several factors to consider. An elevated-protein, higher-carb diet that also boosts endogenous insulin production may be advised for burn patients because of how quickly they oxidize amino acids. Lean body mass will be maintained and protein production will be aided by this (Mosier *et al.*, 2011; Magnotti and Deitch, 2005).

Conclusion

This study emphasizes the significant global health challenge posed by burn injuries, which can result from thermal, electrical, chemical, and radiation exposures. Effective management is essential to improve patient outcomes, particularly in severe cases that can be life-threatening and lead to long-term complications. Immediate first aid, involving cooling the burn and covering it with a clean cloth, is crucial to minimize damage. Comprehensive wound care, including cleaning, debridement, and appropriate dressing, is vital for promoting healing and preventing infection, with antibiotics and sterile techniques being vital for infection control.

References

1. American Burn Association. National Burn Repository 2019 Update, Report of data from 2009. https://ameriburn.siteym.com/store/ViewProduct.aspx?id=14191872 (2019)
2. Lee RC: Injury by electrical forces: pathophysiology, manifestations, and therapy. Current Problem Surgery. 1997.**34**, 677–764 (1997).
3. Nguyen CM, Chandler R, Ratanshi I, Logsetty S: in *Handbook of Burns* Vol. 1 (eds. Jeschke, M. G., Kamolz, L.-P., Sjöberg, F. & Wolf, S. E.) 529–547 (Springer, 2020).
4. Jeschke MG, Gauglitz GG, Kulp GA, Finnerty CC, Williams FN, Kraft R, Suman OE, Mlcak RP, Herndon DN: Long-term persistance of the pathophysiologic response to severe burn injury. PLoS One. 2011;6(7):
5. Jeschke MG, Chinkes DL, Finnerty CC, Kulp G, Suman OE, Norbury WB, Branski LK, Gauglitz GG, Mlcak RP, Herndon DN: Pathophysiologic response to severe burn injury. Ann Surg. 2008 Sep;248(3):387-401.
6. Jeschke, M. G. et al. Pathophysiologic response to severe burn injury. *Ann. Surg.* **248**, 387–401 (2008).
7. Stanojcic, M., Abdullahi, A., Rehou, S., Parousis, A. & Jeschke, M. G. Pathophysiological response to burn injury in adults. *Ann. Surg.* **267**, 576–584 (2018).

8. Schaefer T.J., Tannan S.C. *Stat Pearls.* Stat Pearls Publishing; Treasure Island, FL, USA: 2022. Thermal Burns.
9. Spies C., Trohman R.G. Narrative Review: Electrocution and Life-Threatening Electrical Injuries. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2006;145:531–537. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-145-7-200610030-00011.
10. Fish R.M. Electric Injury, Part II: Specific Injuries. *J. Emerg. Med.* 2000;18:27–34. doi: 10.1016/S0736-4679(99)00158-4.
11. Koh D.-H., Lee S.-G., Kim H.-C. Incidence and Characteristics of Chemical Burns. *Burns.* 2017;43:654–664. doi: 10.1016/j.burns.2016.08.037.
12. Bhattacharya S. Radiation Injury. *Indian J. Plast. Surg.* 2010;43:S91–S93. doi: 10.1055/s-0039-1699465.
13. Logsetty, S. et al. Mental health outcomes of burn: a longitudinal population-based study of adults hospitalized for burns. *Burns* **42**, 738–744 (2016).
14. Mason, S. A. et al. Increased rate of long-term mortality among burn survivors. *Ann. Surg.* **269**, 1192–1199 (2019).
15. Stone, J. et al. Outcomes in adult survivors of childhood burn injuries as compared with matched controls. *J. Burn Care Res.* **37**, e166–e173 (2016).
16. Rybarczyk, M. M. et al. A systematic review of burn injuries in low- and middle-income countries: epidemiology in the WHO-defined African region. *Afr. J. Emerg. Med.* **7**, 30–37 (2017)
17. Stylianou, N., Buchan, I. & Dunn, K. W. A review of the international Burn Injury Database (iBID) for England and Wales: descriptive analysis of burn injuries 2003–2011. *BMJ Open* **5**, e006184 (2015).
18. Padalko, A., Cristall, N., Gawaziuk, J. P. & Logsetty, S. Social complexity and risk for pediatric burn injury: a systematic review. *J. Burn Care Res.* **40**, 478–499 (2019).
19. Peck, M. & Pressman, M. A. The correlation between burn mortality rates from fire and flame and economic status of countries. *Burns* **39**, 1054–1059 (2013).
20. Spiwak, R., Logsetty, S., Afifi, T. O. & Sareen, J. Severe partner perpetrated burn: examining a nationally representative sample of women in India. *Burns* **41**, 1847–1854 (2015).
21. Smolle, C. et al. Recent trends in burn epidemiology worldwide: a systematic review. *Burns* **43**, 249–257 (2017).
22. World Health Organization. Burns. *WHO* https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/burns (WHO, 2018).
23. Peck, M. & Pressman, M. A. The correlation between burn mortality rates from fire and flame and economic status of countries. *Burns* **39**, 1054–1059 (2013).
24. Greenhalgh, D. G. Management of burns. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **380**, 2349–2359 (2019).
25. Bayuo, J., Agbenorku, P., Amankwa, R. & Agbenorku, M. Epidemiology and outcomes of burn injury among older adults in a Ghanaian tertiary hospital. *Burns Open* **2**, 98–103 (2018).
26. Sanghavi, P., Bhalla, K. & Das, V. Fire-related deaths in India in 2001: a retrospective analysis of data. *Lancet* **373**, 1282–1288 (2009).
27. Tegtmeyer, L. C. et al. Retrospective analysis on thermal injuries in children—demographic, etiological and clinical data of German and Austrian pediatric hospitals 2006–2015—approaching the new German burn registry. *Burns* **44**, 150–157 (2018).
28. Dissanaike, S. & Rahimi, M. Epidemiology of burn injuries: highlighting cultural and socio-demographic aspects. *Int. Rev. Psychiatry* **21**, 505–511 (2009).
29. Atwell, K., Bartley, C., Cairns, B. & Charles, A. The effect of pre-existing seizure disorders on mortality and hospital length of stay following burn injury. *J. Burn Care Res.* **40**, 979–982 (2019).
30. Demling RH. Fluid replacement in burned patients. *Surg Clin North Am.* 1987;67:15–30.
31. Demling RH, Will JA, Belzer FO. Effect of major thermal injury on the pulmonary microcirculation. *Surgery,* 1978;83:746–751.
32. Clark WR. Death due to thermal trauma. *Dolecek R, Brizio-Molteni L, Molteni A, Traber D (eds): "Endocrinology of thermal trauma"* 1990:6–27.

33. Lund T, Reed RK. Acute hemodynamic effects of thermal skin injury in the rat. *Circ Shock.* 1986;20:105–114.
34. Leape LL. Kinetics of burn edema formation in primates. *Ann Surg.* 1972;176:223–226.
35. Demling RH, Mazess RB, Witt RM, Wolberg WH. The study of burn wound edema using dichromatic absorptiometry. *J Trauma.* 1978;18:124–128.
36. Settle JAD. Fluid therapy in burns. *J Roy Soc Med.* 1982;1:7–11.
37. Arturson G, Jakobsson OR. Oedema measurements in a standard burn model. *Burns.* 1985;1:1–7.
38. Leape LL. Initial changes in burns: tissue changes in burned and unburned skin of rhesus monkeys. *J Trauma.* 1970;10:488–492.
39. Onarheim H, Lund T, Reed R. Thermal skin injury: II. Effects on edema formation and albumin extravasation of fluid resuscitation with lactated Ringer's, plasma, and hypertonic saline (2,400 mosmol/l) in the rat. *Circ Shock.* 1989;27:25–37.
40. Shires GT, Cunningham JN, Baker CR, Reeder SF. Alterations in cellular membrane dysfunction during hemorrhagic shock in primates. *Ann Surg.* 1972;176:288–295.
41. Nakayama S, Kramer GC, Carlsen RC, Holcroft JW, Cala PM. Amiloride blocks membrane potential depolarization in rat skeletal muscle during hemorrhagic shock (abstract). *Circ Shock.* 1984;13:106–107.
42. Mazzoni MC, Borgstrom P, Intaglietta M, Arfors KE. Lumenal narrowing and endothelial cell swelling in skeletal muscle capillaries during hemorrhagic shock. *Circ Shock.* 1989;29:27–39.
43. Brown JM, Grosso MA, Moore EE. Hypertonic saline and dextran: Impact on cardiac function in the isolated rat heart. *J Trauma.* 1990; 3 0:646–651.
44. Evans JA, Darlington DN, Gann DS. A circulating factor(s) mediates cell depolarization in hemorrhagic shock. *Ann Surg.* 1991; 213: 549–557.
45. Button B, Baker RD, Vertrees RA, Allen SE. Quantitative assessment of a circulating depolarizing factor in shock. *Shock.* 15;2001: 239–244.
46. Hart DW, Wolf SE, Mlcak R, Chinkes DL. Persistence of muscle catabolism after severe burn. *Surgery.* 2000; 128: 312–319.
47. Herndon DN, Abston S, Stein SD. Increased thromboxane B2 levels in the plasma of burned and septic burned patients. *Surg Gynecol Obstet.* 1984;159: 210–213.
48. Morykwas MJ, David LR, Schneider AM, Whang C. Use of sub atmospheric pressure to prevent progression of partial-thickness burns in a swine model. *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 1999;20:15–21.
49. Nwariaku FE, Sikes PJ, Lightfoot E, Mileski WJ, Baxter C. Effect of a bradykinin antagonist on the local inflammatory response following thermal injury. *Burns.* 1996;22:324–327.
50. Mlcak RP, Jeschke MG, Barrow RE, Herndon DN. The influence of age and gender on resting energy expenditure in severely burned children. *Ann Surg.* 2006;244:121–130.
51. Przkora R, Barrow RE, Jeschke MG, Suman OE. Body composition changes with time in pediatric burn patients. *J Trauma.* 2006;60:968–971.
52. Sheridan RL. A great constitutional disturbance. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;345:1271–1272.
53. Gauglitz GG, Herndon DN, Kulp GA, Meyer WJ 3rd, Jeschke MG. Abnormal insulin sensitivity persists up to three years in pediatric patients post-burn. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2009;94:1656–1664.
54. Jeschke MG, Chinkes DL, Finnerty CC, Julp G. Pathophysiologic response to severe burn injury. *Ann Surg.* 2008;248:387–401.
55. Herndon DN, Hart DW, Wolf SE, Chinkes DL, Wolfe RR. Reversal of catabolism by beta-blockade after severe burns. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;345: 1223–1229.
56. Bessey PQ, Jiang ZM, Johnson DJ, Smith RJ, Wilmore DW. Posttraumatic skeletal muscle proteolysis: the role of the hormonal environment. *World J Surg.* 1989;13:465–470.
57. Chang DW, DeSanti L, Demling RH. Anticatabolic and anabolic strategies in critical illness: a review of current treatment modalities. *Shock.* 1998;10:155–160.
58. Rennie MJ. Muscle protein turnover and the wasting due to injury and disease. *Br Med Bull.* 1985;41:257–264.

59. Childs C, Heath DF, Little RA, Brotherston M. Glucose metabolism in children during the first day after burn injury. *Arch Emerg Med.* 1990;7:135–147.
60. Hunt DG, Ivy JL. Epinephrine inhibits insulin-stimulated muscle glucose transport. *J Appl Physiol.* 2002;93:1638–1643.
61. Baron PW, Barrow RE, Pierre EJ, Herndon DN. Prolonged use of propranolol safely decreases cardiac work in burned children. *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 1997;18:223–227.
62. Michie DD, Goldsmith RS, Mason Jr AD. Effects of hydralazine and high molecular weight dextran upon the circulatory responses to severe thermal burns. *Circ Res.* 1963;13:46–48.
63. Chrysopoulo MT, Jeschke MG, Dziewulski P, Barrow RE, Herndon DN. Acute renal dysfunction in severely burned adults. *J Trauma.* 1999;46:141–144.
64. LeVoyer T, Cioffi WG Jr, Pratt L, Shippee R. Alterations in intestinal permeability after thermal injury. *Arch Surg.* 1992;127:26–29.
65. Wolf SE, Ikeda H, Matin S, Debroy MA. Cutaneous burn increases apoptosis in the gut epithelium of mice. *J Am Coll Surg.* 1999;188:10–16.
66. Carter EA, Udall JN, Kirkham SE, Walker WA. Thermal injury and gastrointestinal function. I. Small intestinal nutrient absorption and DNA synthesis. *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 1986;7:469–474.
67. Deitch EA, Rutan R, Waymack JP. Trauma, shock, and gut translocation. *New Horiz.* 1996;4:289–299.
68. Gore DC, Wolf SE, Sanford A, Herndon DN, Wolfe RR. Influence of metformin on glucose intolerance and muscle catabolism following severe burn injury. *Ann Surg.* 2005;241:334–342.
69. Thomas SJ, Morimoto K, Herndon DN, Ferrando AA. The effect of prolonged euglycemic hyperinsulinemia on lean body mass after severe burn. *Surgery.* 2002;132:341–347.
70. Klein GL. Burn-induced bone loss: Importance, mechanisms, and management. *J Burns Wounds.* 2006;5:e5.
71. Jeschke MG, Barrow RE, Herndon DN. Extended hypermetabolic response of the liver in severely burned pediatric patients. *Arch Surg.* 2004;139:641–647.
72. Moshage H. Cytokines and the hepatic acute phase response. *J Pathol.* 1997;181::257–266.
73. American Burn Association. Verification Criteria Effective October 1, 2019. *ameriburn.org* http://ameriburn.org/quality-care/verification/verification-criteria/verification-criteria-effective-october-1-2019/ (2019).
74. Peck, M., Molnar, J. & Swart, D. A global plan for burn prevention and care. *Bull. World Health Organ.* **87**, 802–803 (2009).
75. World Health Organization. Burn prevention: success stories, lessons learned https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/97938/9789241501187_eng.pdf (WHO, 2011)
76. Harvey, L. A., Connolley, S. & Harvey, J. G. Clothing-related burns in New South Wales, Australia: impact of legislation on a continuing problem. *Burns* **41**, 58–64 (2015)
77. Haddon, W. The changing approach to the epidemiology, prevention, and amelioration of trauma: the transition to approaches etiologically rather than descriptively based. *Inj. Prev.* **5**, 231–235 (1999).
78. Sadeghi-Bazargani, H. et al. Exploring possible causes of fatal burns in 2007 using Haddon's Matrix: a qualitative study. *J. Inj. Violence Res.* **7**, 1–6 (2015).
79. Ready, F. L. et al. Epidemiologic shifts for burn injury in Ethiopia from 2001 to 2016: implications for public health measures. *Burns* **44**, 1839–1843 (2018).
80. Burgess, J., Watt, K., Kimble, R. M. & Cameron, C. M. Combining technology and research to prevent scald injuries (the Cool Runnings intervention): randomized controlled trial. *J. Med. Internet Res.* **20**, e10361 (2018).
81. Parbhoo, A., Louw, Q. A. & Grimmer-Somers, K. Burn prevention programs for children in developing countries require urgent attention: a targeted literature review. *Burns* **36**, 164–175 (2010).
82. Jin, R., Wu, P., Ho, J. K., Wang, X. & Han, C. Five-year epidemiology of liquefied petroleum gas-related burns. *Burns* **44**, 210–217 (2018)

83. Teven, C. M. & Gottlieb, L. J. The four-quadrant approach to ethical issues in burn care. *AMA J. Ethics* **20**, 595–601 (2018).
84. Mohammad, A., Branicki, F. & Abu-Zidan, F. M. Educational and clinical impact of Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) courses: a systematic review. *World J. Surg.* **38**, 322–329 (2013).
85. Breederveld, R. S., Nieuwenhuis, M. K., Tuinebreijer, W. E. & Aardenburg, B. Effect of training in the emergency management of severe burns on the knowledge and performance of emergency care workers as measured by an online simulated burn incident. *Burns* **37**, 281–287 (2011).
86. Kearns, R. D. et al. Advanced burn life support for day-to-day burn injury management and disaster preparedness: stakeholder experiences and student perceptions following 56 advance burn life support crimes. *J. Burn Care Res.* **36**, 455–464 (2015).
87. Klein, M. B. et al. Geographic access to burn center hospitals. *JAMA* 302, 1774–1781 (2009). This examination of geographical distribution of regional burn care highlights the variation in access to verified burn centres by ground and rotary air transport across the USA, with ~80% of the US population being within 2 hours from a verified burn centre, illustrating the potential for limited resources in a disaster.
88. Mosier, M. J. et al. Early acute kidney injury predicts progressive renal dysfunction and higher mortality in severely burned adults. *J. Burn Care Res.* **31**, 83–92 (2010).
89. Kumar, A. B. et al. Fluid resuscitation mediates the association between inhalational burn injury and acute kidney injury in the major burn population. *J. Crit. Care* **38**, 62–67 (2017)
90. Sine, C. R. et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome in burn patients. *J. Burn Care Res.* **37**, e461–e469 (2016).
91. Cochran, A. Inhalation injury and endotracheal intubation. *J. Burn Care Res.* **30**, 190–191 (2009).
92. Amtmann, D. et al. Satisfaction with life over time in people with burn injury: a National Institute on Disability, Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research burn model system study. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **101**, S63–S70 (2020).
93. Wiechman, S. A. et al. Reasons for distress among burn survivors at 6, 12, and 24 months postdischarge: a burn injury model system investigation. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **99**, 1311–1317 (2018).
94. Davé, D. R., Nagarjan, N., Canner, J. K., Kushner, A. L. & Stewart, B. T. Rethinking burns for low & middle-income countries: differing patterns of burn epidemiology, care seeking behavior, and outcomes across four countries. *Burns* **44**, 1228–1234 (2018).
95. Young, A. W. et al. Guideline for burn care under austere conditions. *J. Burn Care Res.* **38**, e497–e509 (2017).
96. Jeng, J., Gibran, N. & Peck, M. Burn care in disaster and other austere settings. *Surg. Clin. North. Am.* **94**, 893–907 (2014).
97. American College of Surgeons. Advanced Trauma Life Support. facs.org https://www.facs.org/quality%20programs/trauma/atls (2019).
98. Roberts, G. et al. The Baux score is dead. Long live the Baux score: a 27-year retrospective cohort study of mortality at a regional burns service. *J. Trauma. Acute Care Surg.* **72**, 251–256 (2012).
99. Abraham J.P., Plourde B.D., Vallez L.J., Nelson-Cheeseman B.B., Stark J.R., Sparrow E.M., Gorman J.M. *Theory and Applications of Heat Transfer in Humans.* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.; Hoboken, NJ, USA: 2018. Skin Burns; pp. 723–739.
100. Snell J.A., Loh N.-H.W., Mahambrey T., Shokrollahi K. Clinical Review: The Critical Care Management of the Burn Patient. *Crit. Care.* 2013;17:241. doi: 10.1186/cc12706.
101. Alvarado R., Chung K.K., Cancio L.C., Wolf S.E. Burn Resuscitation. *Burns.* 2009;35:4–14. doi: 10.1016/j.burns.2008.03.008.
102. Pruitt B.A. Protection from excessive resuscitation: “Pushing the pendulum back” *J. Trauma-Inj. Infect. Crit. Care.* 2000;49:567–568. doi: 10.1097/00005373-200009000-00030.
103. Yoshino Y., Ohtsuka M., Kawaguchi M., Sakai K., Hashimoto A., Hayashi M., Madokoro N., Asano Y., Abe M., Ishii T., et al. The Wound/Burn Guidelines–6: Guidelines for the Management of Burns. *J. Dermatol.* 2016;43:989–1010. doi: 10.1111/1346-8138.13288

104. Chung K.K., Rhie R.Y., Lundy J.B., Cartotto R., Henderson E., Pressman M.A., Joe V.C., Aden J.K., Driscoll I.R., Faucher L.D., et al. A Survey of Mechanical Ventilator Practices Across Burn Centers in North America. *J. Burn Care Res.* 2016;37:e131–e139. doi: 10.1097/BCR.0000000000000270.
105. Ong Y.S., Samuel M., Song C. Meta-Analysis of Early Excision of Burns. *Burns.* 2006;32:145–150. doi: 10.1016/j.burns.2005.09.005.
106. Spronk I., Legemate C., Oen I., van Loey N., Polinder S., van Baar M. Health related quality of life in adults after burn injuries: A systematic review. *PLoS ONE.* 2018;13:e0197507. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0197507.
107. Yakupu A., Zhang J., Dong W., Song F., Dong J., Lu S. The epidemiological characteristic and trends of burns globally. *BMC Public Health.* 2022;22:1596. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-13887
108. Hop M.J., Hiddingh J., Stekelenburg C.M., Kuipers H.C., Middelkoop E., Nieuwenhuis M.K., Polinder S., van Baar M.E. The LDI Study Group Cost-Effectiveness of Laser Doppler Imaging in Burn Care in the Netherlands. *BMC Surg.* 2013;13:2. doi: 10.1186/1471-2482-13-2.
109. Monstrey S., Hoeksema H., Verbelen J., Pirayesh A., Blondeel P. Assessment of Burn Depth and Burn Wound Healing Potential. *Burns.* 2008;34:761–769. doi: 10.1016/j.burns.2008.01.009.
110. Machens H.-G., Berger A.C., Mailaender P. Bioartificial Skin. *CTO.* 2000;167:88–94. doi: 10.1159/000016772.
111. Shores J.T., Gabriel A., Gupta S. Skin Substitutes and Alternatives: A Review. *Adv. Ski. Wound Care.* 2007;20:493. doi: 10.1097/01.ASW.0000288217.83128.f3.
112. Gosk J. Skin substitute the present and future *Polim. Med.* 2012;42:109–114
113. Li A.T., Moussa A., Gus E., Paul E., Yii E., Romero L., Lin Z.C., Padiglione A., Lo C.H., Cleland H., et al. Biomarkers for the Early Diagnosis of Sepsis in Burns: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Ann. Surg.* 2022; 275:654–662. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000005198.
114. Branski L.K., Al-Mousawi A., Rivero H., Jeschke M.G., Sanford A.P., Herndon D.N. Emerging Infections in Burns. *Surg. Infect.* 2009;10:389–397. doi: 10.1089/sur.2009.024.
115. Rizzo J.A., Rowan M.P., Driscoll I.R., Chan R.K., Chung K.K. Perioperative Temperature Management During Burn Care. *J. Burn Care Res.* 2017;38:e277–e283. doi: 10.1097/BCR.0000000000000371.
116. Hayashida K., Akita S. Surgical Treatment Algorithms for Post-Burn Contractures. *Burn. Trauma.* 2017;5:9. doi: 10.1186/s41038-017-0074-z.
117. Knuth C.M., Auger C., Jeschke M.G. Burn-induced hypermetabolism and skeletal muscle dysfunction. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 2021;321:C58–C71. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00106.2021.
118. Gauglitz G.G., Williams F.N., Herndon D.N., Jeschke M.G. Burns: Where are we standing with propranolol, oxandrolone, recombinant human growth hormone, and the new incretin analogs? *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Met. Care.* 2011;14:176. doi: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e3283428df1.
119. Hrynyk M., Neufeld R.J. Insulin and wound healing. *Burns.* 2014;40:433–446. doi: 10.1016/j.burns.2014.03.020.
120. Mochizuki H., Trocki O., Dominioni L., Brackett K.A., Joffe S.N., Alexander J.W. Mechanism of Prevention of Postburn Hypermetabolism and Catabolism by Early Enteral Feeding. *Ann. Surg.* 1984;200:297. doi: 10.1097/00000658-198409000-00007.
121. Mosier M.J., Pham T.N., Klein M.B., Gibran N.S., Arnoldo B.D., Gamelli R.L., Tompkins R.G., Herndon D.N. Early Enteral Nutrition in Burns: Compliance with Guidelines and Associated Outcomes in a Multicenter Study. *J. Burn Care Res.* 2011; 32:104–109. doi: 10.1097/BCR.0b013e318204b3be.
122. Magnotti L.J., Deitch E.A. Burns, Bacterial Translocation, Gut Barrier Function, and Failure. *J. Burn Care Rehabil.* 2005;26: 383–391. doi: 10.1097/01.bcr.0000176878.79267.e8.