

Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

RESEARCH ARTICLE DOI: 10.53555/jptcp.v31i6.6441

PREVALENCE AND FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH POST-CESAREAN BACK PAIN IN WOMEN UNDERGOING SPINAL ANESTHESIA

Sehrish Muzafar¹, Rabia Shaukat², Anam Yousaf¹, Abeerah Zafar¹, Shazia Naz³, Tayyaba Yasin^{4*}, Hafsa Yaseen⁵, Hina Allah Ditta⁶.

¹Senior Registrar of Gynaecology and Obstetrics at Sahiwal Teaching Hospital, Sahiwal, Pakistan. Email: Sehrishmuzafar@yahoo.com, anumbeel14@outlook.com, bluestrawberry_2@live.com ²Demonstrator Pharmacology department at Sahiwal Teaching Hospital, Sahiwal, Pakistan. rabiashaukatmbbs@gmail.com

³Demonstrator Biochemistry department at Sahiwal Teaching Hospital, Sahiwal, Pakistan. shazianaz1410@gmail.com

 ^{4*}PhD student at The University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy. tayyabayasin1122@gmail.com
 ⁵Doctor of Physical Therapy at The University of Lahore, Pakistan. hafsayasin1122@gmail.com
 ⁶Additional Principal women medical officer at Sheikh Zayed Medical College Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan. hina.ditta@gmail.com

*Corresponding Author: Tayyaba Yasin

*PhD student at The University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy. tayyabayasin1122@gmail.com +92-306-7851778

Abstract

Background: Spinal anesthesia (SA) is commonly preferred for various surgical procedures, including those involving the lower extremities, anorectal, urologic, obstetric, gynecologic, and lower abdominal areas. However, post spinal back pain (PSBP) is a notable complication associated with SA, more prevalent than with general anesthesia. Back pain is a widespread health issue affecting a significant portion of the global population, imposing considerable physical and economic burdens.

Objective: The objective of this study to assess the occurrence and determinants of post-cesarean back pain in women undergoing spinal anesthesia.

Methodology: This retrospective cohort study included 50 women who had undergone cesarean sections with spinal anesthesia at Al-Mubarak Hospital Sadiqabad. Data were extracted from medical records, encompassing demographic details, pain assessment, occupational status, previous medical history, and postoperative pain characteristics. Descriptive statistics, Chi-Square test, and Independent Sample t-test were employed for statistical analysis, with a p-value of less than 0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results: The study consisted predominantly young women with a mean age of 29.29 ± 2.44 years and a mean body mass index (BMI) of 24.38 ± 1.72 . The cesarean sections were at 38.04 ± 0.80 weeks of gestation. Participants reported an average pain score of 6.06 ± 1.25 , with a typical pain duration of 10.20 ± 2.73 hours. Regarding occupational status, 58% were employed, and 42% were unemployed. 18% had a history of previous abdominal operations, while all had undergone a previous C-section. Postoperative pain characteristics varied, with 36% reporting pain lasting less than one

month. Pain intensity and frequency also varied, with significant associations between pain and maternal and surgical factors.

Conclusion: The study underscores the importance of procedural considerations, such as the number of lumbar puncture attempts and needle size, in determining the prevalence of post-cesarean back pain.

Keywords: Post-Cesarean; Back Pain; Spinal Anesthesia; Females.

Introduction

Spinal anesthesia (SA) is often preferred and regularly used in operations involving lower extremities, anorectal, urologic, obstetric, and gynecologic procedures, as well as lower abdominal surgeries (1, 2). Post spinal back pain (PSBP) is one of the complications linked to spinal anesthesia, despite its widespread usage. PSBP is more common with spinal anesthesia than with general anesthesia (3, 4). Back pain is a prevalent health problem that impacts a significant portion of the population worldwide, with estimates suggesting that it affects 50% to 80% of persons at some stage in their life (5, 6). This condition imposes a considerable physical and economic burden on both individuals and society as a whole. Persistent low back pain affects more than 23% of the population, while nonspecific low back pain affects more than 84% of people at some point in their lives. Eleven to twelve percent of those who suffer from this condition may end up disabled in some way (7, 8).

Regardless of the kind of anesthetic used, patients often have low back pain after surgery after spinal anesthesia. However, the anesthetic approach contributes to back pain (9). When most patients' local anesthetic effects wear off, which happens two to six hours following surgery, postoperative surgical pain (PSBP) often presents as moderate discomfort. This pain usually goes away in a few days (10, 11). In very rare circumstances, spinal needle insertion-related nerve injury may result in weeks-long agony or perhaps irreversible suffering (12). Research has shown that 10.7–12.3% of patients report having back discomfort during spinal anesthetic (13, 14). An Ethiopian research found that 38.0% of patients had post-sternotomy pain on the first, second, and third days after surgery, respectively. According to information gathered from an unrelated research conducted in Asella, Ethiopia, 38.0%, 29.9%, 16.0%, and 31.6% of patients reported back pain on the first, second, third, and fourth days after spinal anesthesia (15). The fear of experiencing back discomfort is a contributing factor to a rejection rate of 13.4% for spinal anesthesia (16, 17).

In the city of Chicago, located in the United States, around 9-10% of the individuals who took part in a research reported experiencing back pain after undergoing a surgical procedure known as SA. A study conducted across many centers in Europe found that back pain was the most common complaint among other concerns arising from a procedure called post lumbar puncture, with an occurrence rate of 17% (18, 19). The German observational research, which included 112 individuals, revealed a higher prevalence rate of 40% (20, 21). Research conducted in Turkey and China found that the incidence of PSBP was 29.3% and 39% on the first day, 37% on the second day, and 31% on the third day following surgery, respectively (22). Spinal anesthetic has a significant impact on patient satisfaction, particularly in relation to back pain (23). Unaddressed back pain may result in consequences such as intensifying wound pain, rising pressure inside the skull and eyes, increasing energy expenditure in the heart and body, boosting the demand for oxygen in tissues, and causing delayed release from post-anesthetic care and hospitals (24). Long-term post spinal back pain has been associated with diminished quality of life, illness, absenteeism, decreased job productivity, and increased healthcare expenses (25). Hence, the objective of this research is to assess the occurrence and determinants of back pain in individuals who are receiving spinal anesthetic.

Methodology

This retrospective cohort study included 50 women who had undergone cesarean sections with spinal anesthesia at Al-Mubarak Hospital, Sadiqabad. Relevant data were extracted from their medical records, covering various aspects such as demographic details (age, body mass index, and gestational age), pain assessment (using a numerical rating scale for pain score and measuring pain duration in

hours), occupational status (categorized as employed or unemployed), previous medical history (including previous abdominal operations or C-sections), and postoperative pain characteristics (duration, frequency, intensity of pain, and associated factors like maternal and surgical elements). For statistical analysis, descriptive statistics, Chi-Square test, and independent sample t-test were employed. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

In table 1, the study encompassed a cohort of 50 participants, predominantly young women with a mean age of 29.29 ± 2.44 years and a corresponding mean body mass index (BMI) of 24.38 ± 1.72 . The Cesarean sections were 38.04 ± 0.80 weeks of gestation. Participants reported an average pain score of 5.50 ± 1.56 , with a typical pain duration of 10.20 ± 2.73 . Occupational diversity with 58% of participants employed and 42% unemployed. Nearly one-fifth of the participants (18%) reported a history of previous abdominal operations, while all participants (100%) had undergone a previous C-section. Regarding the duration and frequency of postoperative pain, 50% reported pain lasting less than one month, and the majority (66%) experienced no pain at all. Pain intensity varied, with 50% reporting no pain at rest, and 56% reporting mild pain during activity. Interestingly, maternal factors were associated with pain in 42% of cases, while surgical factors were 58% of instances (Figure 1).

Age 29.29±2.44						
Body Mass Index 24.38±1.72						
Gestational Age 38.04±0.80						
Pain Score 6.06±1.25						
Pain duration 10.20±2.73						
Occupational Status						
Employed 29(58%)						
Unemployed 21(42%)						
Previous Abdominal Operation						
Yes 9(18%)						
No 41(82%)						
Previous C-Section						
Yes 50(100%)						
No 0(0%)						
Duration of Postoperative Pain						
<1 Month 18(36%)						
1 Month 17(34%)						
2 Month 9(18%)						
3 Months 6(12%)						
Frequency of Pain						
No Pain 33(66%)						
Constant 4(8%)						
Daily 3(6%)						
Interval of Days 5(10%)						
Interval of Weeks 5(10%)						
Intensity of Pain at rest						
No 18(36%)						
Mild 18(36%)						
Moderate 14(28%)						
Intensity of Pain during activity						
No 6(12%)						
Mild 22(44%)						
Moderate 14(28%)						
Sever 8(16%)						
Associated Pain						
Maternal Factors21(42%)						
Surgical Factors 29(58%)						

Table 1: Characteristics of Study Participants.



Figure 1: Characteristics of Study Participants.

Table 2 presents two major categories of associated pain – maternal characteristics and surgical factors – and explores their relationship with various pain-related parameters. The duration of time a patient experienced discomfort after surgery was shown to be significantly correlated with surgical variables (p=0.031). Both maternal features and surgical variables did not show a significant connection with the incidence of discomfort elsewhere (p=0.577). Resting pain intensity was significantly correlated with the existence of related surgical variables (p=0.029). The prevalence of related pain was significantly correlated with the degree of pain during exercise (p=0.017). A greater pain score was connected with associated pain, and there was a statistically significant difference between the two groups (p=0.038). Nevertheless, there was no discernible variation in the duration of discomfort (p=0.620).

		Associated pain				p-value
		Maternal Characteristics		Surgical factors		
		n=21		n=29		
		n	%	n	%	
Duration of postoperative pain	1 month	3	14.29%	14	48.28%	
	2 month	4	19.05%	5	17.24%	0 031(a)
	3 month	2	9.52%	4	13.79%	0.031
	< 1 month	12	57.14%	6	20.69%	
Frequency of pain elsewhere	Constant	3	14.3%	1	3.4%	0.577 ^(a)
	Daily	1	4.8%	2	6.9%	
	Interval of days	1	4.8%	4	13.8%	
	Interval of weeks	2	9.5%	3	10.3%	
	No pain	14	66.7%	19	65.5%	
Intensity of pain at rest	No	12	57.14%	6	20.69%	0.029
	Mild	5	23.81%	13	44.83%	
	Moderate	4	19.05%	10	34.48%	
Intensity of pain	No Pain	1	4.76%	5	17.24%	
	Mild	12	57.14%	10	34.48%	0 017(a)
during	Moderate	8	38.10%	6	20.69%	0.017
activity	Severe	0	0.00%	8	27.59%	
Pain Score		5.57±1.66		6.41±0.68		0.038 ^(b)
Pain Duration		10.42±2.94		10.03±2.61		0.620 ^(b)

 Table 2: Comparison of Pain related Characteristics in relation to Associated Pain experienced by Women.

Note: (a): Chi Square test, (b): Independent sample t-test.

Discussion

A response rate of 94.1% was in this study, which comprised 397 mothers in total. The participants' average age was 26.96 years with a standard deviation of 4.37. Three hundred seventy-two (93.7%) were married, while twenty-five (6.3%) were unmarried. Of the mothers surveyed, 133 (33.5%) had finished secondary school, and among them, six (1.5%) had master's degrees. According to Bekele et al. (2023), 219 mothers were from West Shoa's urban area, whereas 178 mothers were from the rural area (6). Back discomfort after spinal anesthesia is a typical side effect seen in clinical practice. In order to improve anesthetic results, patient satisfaction, and quality of life, it is essential to alleviate this discomfort (3, 26).

With a 95% confidence interval of 34.0 to 48.4, the present research found that 40.5% of participants had post spinal back discomfort. Research out of Germany found a similar percentage of 40% (20, 27). But that's more than the stated prevalence in Korea, which is 32% (27). Patients who had more than three attempts at lumbar puncture were included in our analysis, which may explain this discrepancy. Cutting tissue and rupturing tiny blood vessels and nerves are two potential outcomes of many lumbar punctures, both of which may exacerbate back discomfort. Because larger-sized spinal needles were used more often in previous research, which might cause injury to different tissues and lead to back pain, the prevalence in study was greater than in Europe (17%) (19) and Iran (5.8%) (28).

Research conducted in underdeveloped nations has shown that a significant number of patients have acute postoperative pain after cesarean sections. This underscores the need of better pain management strategies (29, 30). Insufficient pain management might slow down recovery and functional activities of daily living. Mothers who must tend to a newborn shortly after surgery must prioritize effective pain management. Neglecting to address acute postoperative pain increases the likelihood of chronic pain and numerous medical complications, such as infection, depression, deep vein thrombosis, and pneumonia (31-33).

Discomfort and dissatisfaction are two outcomes of postoperative pain (34). As opposed to studies conducted in South Africa (85.5%) and Hawasa (89.8%), the total incidence of severe postoperative pain within 24 hours following a cesarean section done under spinal anesthesia was 50.4% (35). Moreover, a research conducted in Addis Abeba revealed that 76.2% of participants had acute postoperative pain (36), while a cross-sectional study in Debre Tabor indicated that 37.7% of patients experienced this pain within 1 hour and 76.7% within 6 hours after surgery (37).

Conclusion

This study highlights the prevalence and determinants of post-cesarean back pain following spinal anesthesia. The observed incidence of 40.5%, influenced notably by factors like multiple lumbar puncture attempts and larger needle sizes, highlights the significance of procedural considerations in minimizing patient discomfort. Surgical factors were found to correlate with the duration and intensity of postoperative pain, emphasizing the need for nuanced anesthesia strategies. These findings underscore the necessity for ongoing research to refine anesthesia techniques, particularly in the context of cesarean sections, and advocate for region-specific investigations due to procedural and demographic variations.

Conflict of Interest None

Funding Source None

References

1. Tekgül ZT, Pektaş S, Turan M, Karaman Y, Çakmak M, Gönüllü M. Acute back pain following surgery under spinal anesthesia. Pain Practice. 2015;15(8):706-11.

- 2. Shikur B, Marye A, Mesfin E. Spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery at two teaching hospitals in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Ethiop med j(Online). 2018:133-40.
- 3. Lee JS. Spinal anesthesia: how can we improve patient satisfaction? Korean journal of anesthesiology. 2010;59(4):231-2.
- 4. Patel VB, Wasserman R, Imani F. Interventional therapies for chronic low back pain: a focused review (efficacy and outcomes). Anesthesiology and pain medicine. 2015;5(4).
- 5. Williams J, Ng N, Peltzer K, Yawson A, Biritwum R, Maximova T. & Chatterji, S.(2015). Risk factors and disability associated with low back pain in older adults in low-and middle-income countries. Results from the WHO Study on Global AGEing and Adult Health (SAGE). PLoS One.10(6):e0127880.
- 6. Bekele Z, Ayana M, Haile M, Tesfaye A, Tasew A. Incidence and predictors of postoperative severe acute pain within 24 hours after cesarean section performed under spinal anesthesia at public hospitals in West Shoa, Ethiopia, 2022 prospective cohort study. Annals of Medicine and Surgery. 2023;85(9):4239-47.
- 7. Balagué F, Mannion AF, Pellisé F, Cedraschi C. Non-specific low back pain. The lancet. 2012;379(9814):482-91.
- 8. Badoni S, Bhatt H, Palaria U, Gupta N. Study of the incidence of post dural puncture headache (pdph) and post dural puncture backpain (PDPB) in post cesarean female. International Journal Academic Medical Pharmacy. 2023;5(3):1062-5.
- 9. Rhee WJ, Chung CJ, Lim YH, Lee KH, Lee SC. Factors in patient dissatisfaction and refusal regarding spinal anesthesia. Korean journal of anesthesiology. 2010;59(4):260-4.
- 10. Brooks RR, Oudekerk C, Olson RL, Daniel C, Vacchiano C, Maye J. The effect of spinal introducer needle use on postoperative back pain. AANA journal. 2002;70(6).
- 11. Stanisic DM, Kalezic N, Rakic A, Rajovic N, Mostic TI, Cumic J, et al. Comparison of postcesarean pain perception of general versus regional anesthesia, a single-center study. Medicina. 2022;59(1):44.
- 12. Haghighi M, Mardani Kivi M, Mohammadzadeh A, Etehad H, Soleymanha M, Mirbolook A. Evaluation of correlative factor of backache and headache after spinal anesthesia in orthopedic surgery. Journal of Guilan University of Medical Sciences. 2012;21(82):31-8.
- 13. Meucci RD, Fassa AG, Faria NMX. Prevalence of chronic low back pain: systematic review. Revista de saude publica. 2015;49.
- 14. Benzon HT, Asher YG, Hartrick CT. Back pain and neuraxial anesthesia. Anesthesia & Analgesia. 2016;122(6):2047-58.
- 15. Yirgu AN, Weyessa AB. Prevalence and risk factors of acute backache after spinal anesthesia in surgical procedures at asella teaching and referal hospital, asella, Ethiopia. International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences. 2019;11(1):1-10.
- 16. Rafique MK, Taqi A. The causes, prevention and management of post spinal backache: an overview. Anaesth Pain Intensive Care. 2011;15(1):65-9.
- 17. Mohtadi AR, Chegeni AA, Behaeen K, Savaie M, Ghomeishi A. Post-cesarean Delivery Analgesia Using Spinal Anesthesia: Ropivacaine-Fentanyl vs. Ropivacaine-Sufentanil. Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine. 2023;13(4).
- Pan PH, Fragneto R, Moore C, Ross V. Incidence of postdural puncture headache and backache, and success rate of dural puncture: comparison of two spinal needle designs. Southern medical journal. 2004;97(4):359-64.
- 19. Duits FH, Martinez-Lage P, Paquet C, Engelborghs S, Lleo A, Hausner L, et al. Performance and complications of lumbar puncture in memory clinics: results of the multicenter lumbar puncture feasibility study. Alzheimer's & Dementia. 2016;12(2):154-63.
- 20. Ebinger F, Kosel C, Pietz J, Rating D. Headache and backache after lumbar puncture in children and adolescents: a prospective study. Pediatrics. 2004;113(6):1588-92.
- 21. Ozturk Inal Z, Gorkem U, Inal HA. Effects of preoperative anxiety on postcesarean delivery pain and analgesic consumption: general versus spinal anesthesia. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2020;33(2):191-7.

- 22. Gao W, Ren Y, Cui GX. Dexamethasone added to local lidocaine for infiltration along the spinalepidural needle pathway decreases incidence and severity of backache after gynecological surgery. Medical Science Monitor: International Medical Journal of Experimental and Clinical Research. 2015;21:821.
- 23. Makoko UM, Modiba LM, Nzaumvila DK. Satisfaction with spinal anaesthesia for Caesarean section at Tembisa Hospital, South Africa: a cross-sectional study. South African Family Practice. 2019;61(2):39-47.
- 24. Al-Husban N, Elmuhtaseb MS, Al-Husban H, Nabhan M, Abuhalaweh H, Alkhatib YM, et al. Anesthesia for cesarean section: retrospective comparative study. International Journal of Women's Health. 2021:141-52.
- 25. Kumar KH, Elavarasi P. Definition of pain and classification of pain disorders. Journal of Advanced Clinical and Research Insights. 2016;3(3):87-90.
- 26. Cook T, Counsell D, Wildsmith J. Major complications of central neuraxial block: report on the Third National Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists. British journal of anaesthesia. 2009;102(2):179-90.
- 27. Lee JH, Yoon DH, Heo BH. Incidence of newly developed postoperative low back pain with median versus paramedian approach for spinal anesthesia. Korean Journal of Anesthesiology. 2020;73(6):518-24.
- 28. Forozeshfard M, Jahan E, Amirsadat J, Ghorbani R. Incidence and factors contributing to low Back pain in the nonobstetrical patients operated under spinal anesthesia: a prospective 1-year follow-up study. Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing. 2020;35(1):34-7.
- 29. Meissner W, Huygen F, Neugebauer EA, Osterbrink J, Benhamou D, Betteridge N, et al. Management of acute pain in the postoperative setting: the importance of quality indicators. Current medical research and opinion. 2018;34(1):187-96.
- 30. Kintu A, Abdulla S, Lubikire A, Nabukenya MT, Igaga E, Bulamba F, et al. Postoperative pain after cesarean section: assessment and management in a tertiary hospital in a low-income country. BMC health services research. 2019;19(1):1-6.
- 31. Zeleke TG, Mersha AT, Endalew NS, Ferede YA. Prevalence and factors associated with back pain among patients undergoing spinal anesthesia at the University of Gondar Comprehensive and Specialized Hospital, North West Ethiopia: an institutional based cross-sectional study. Advances in medicine. 2021;2021:1-8.
- 32. Borges NC, Costa B, Pedroso CF, Silva TC, Tatagiba BSF, Pereira LV. Dor pós-operatória em mulheres submetidas à cesariana. Enfermería Global. 2017;16(4):354-83.
- 33. Terfasa EA, Bulto GA, Irenso DY. Obstetric analgesia utilization in labor pain management and associated factors among obstetric care providers in the West Shewa Zone, Central Ethiopia. SAGE Open Medicine. 2022;10:20503121221088705.
- 34. Rajaram SS, Desai NK, Kalra A, Gajera M, Cavanaugh SK, Brampton W, et al. Pulmonary artery catheters for adult patients in intensive care. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2013(2).
- 35. Ramos-Rangel GE, Ferrer-Zaccaro LE, Mojica-Manrique VL, La Rotta MG. Management of post-cesarean delivery analgesia: Pharmacologic strategies. Colombian journal of anesthesiology. 2017;45(4):327-34.
- 36. Hussen I, Worku M, Geleta D, Mahamed AA, Abebe M, Molla W, et al. Post-operative pain and associated factors after cesarean section at Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Hawassa, Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study. Annals of Medicine and Surgery. 2022;81:104321.
- 37. Kerai S, Saxena KN, Taneja B. Post-caesarean analgesia: What is new? Indian Journal of Anaesthesia. 2017;61(3):200.