



DATA COLLECTION ABOUT FAILURES OF FIXED PARTIAL DENTURES AND CROWNS FABRICATED IN FIXED PROSTHODONTICS CLINIC IN POLY MEDICAL CLINIC, SAUDI ARABIA

Dr. Tafeeg Saleeh Aloriny^{1*}, Dr. Tareq Ali Alqahtani²

¹*Consultant In Restoration Dentistry , Presidency of State Security Riyadh KSA, ORCID: 0009-0001-3090-6052, E-mail: drtafeeg@hotmail.com

²General Practioner Dentistry, Presidency of State Security Riyadh KSA, ORCID: 0009-0009-6523-7299, E-mail: drto11@hotmail.com

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Tafeeg Saleeh Aloriny

*Consultant In Restoration Dentistry , Presidency of State Security Riyadh KSA, ORCID: 0009-0001-3090-6052, E-mail: drtafeeg@hotmail.com

Abstract

Fixed partial dentures /crowns have become the most common modality of treatment to replace missing teeth /tooth structure. They are also the most commonly preferred treatment option by the patients .The most important question to be answered is the success and failure rates of the fixed partial dentures/crowns. It is absolutely vital for us to know the reasons for the failure of the prosthesis so that adequate precautions and measures could be taken to avert the same .Failures could be mechanical, biological and esthetic .The following study will throw a light into the most common failures of the fixed partial dentures and crowns as well as the reasons for the failure of the prosthesis.

Key words: failures of fixed partial dentures, questionnaire study.

INTRODUCTION

Failure of Fixed partial dentures and crowns is a very vital question to be answered. One of the hurdles for us is to identify the failure and the best way to identify the failures is by first classifying the failures and then looking out which type of failure is most common and what could be the underlying reason for the failure. Over the years many authors have put forth various methods of classifying failures of crowns and FPDs^{1,2} .Authors differ in their opinion of which type of failure is most common. Mechanical failures is more common than biological failures by some clinicians¹ . cantilever type of prosthesis showed the least longevity of service when compared to other type of prosthesis. Resin veneered metal prosthesis fared well when compared to ceramic veneered metal prosthesis according to few studies³.But advancement of ceramic technology in recent years have dramatically reduced mechanical failure of the prosthesis. Few authors have reported failure of acrylic resin veneered metal prosthesis due to wear, discolouration and most importantly due to loss of retention⁴.

Few studies have reported that the lowest incidence of clinical complications was associated with all-ceramic crowns followed by Posts and cores and conventional single crowns . Resin-bonded prostheses and conventional fixed partial dentures were found to have higher and comparable clinical

complications incidences. The 3 most common complications encountered with all-ceramic crowns were fracture of crown, loss of retention and need for endodontic treatment . The 3 most common complications associated with posts and cores were post loosening , root fracture and secondary caries . Single crowns, had 3 most common complications which included need for endodontic treatment, fracture of porcelain veneer and retention loss When fixed partial denture studies were reviewed, the 3 most commonly reported complications were caries need for endodontic treatment and loss of retention . Complications associated with resin-bonded prostheses were prosthesis debonding, tooth discoloration and caries⁴. Some have emphasized the importance of preoperative diagnosis as well as proper plan of the design of the prosthesis to avert failure of the prosthesis⁵. So various studies have put forth different views on the failures of the prosthesis. This present study will bring into light the type of failures which are more common in FPDs and crowns fabricated in fixed partial denture in poly medical clinic in Saudi Arabia.

OBJECTIVES

- 1) Identify potential failures of FPDs and Crowns
- 2) Identify the role of oral hygiene habits, oral habits and systemic diseases for the cause of failure of the FPDs/Crowns.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For the present study a detailed questionnaire was planned to get patients response .the questionnaire is divided into 4 parts which include

- 1)Patients perception of failure of prosthesis.
- 2) Patients oral hygiene habits
- 3) Patients oral habits
- 4) Patients medical history which could lead to failure of the prosthesis.

Secondly ,a clinical examination and radiographic worksheet was made ready to verify the failure of the prosthesis. In this way we can double check the authenticity of the failure of the prosthesis.

Given below is the copy of

- 1) **The questionnaire**
- 2) **The clinical and radiological worksheet.**
- 3) **Statistical analysis determining the correlation between the cause and the effect**

PART 1 (PATIENTS PERCEPTION OF FAILURE)

PATIENT NAME : AGE: SEX: FILE NUMBER: ID NUMBER: OCCUPATION:

SERIAL NUMBER	QUESTIONNAIRE	YES (SCORE =1)	NO (SCORE=0)
1.	Do you have frequent dislodgement of crowns/FPDs?		
	If YES, please explain...		
2.	Do you have pain in relation to crowns/FPDs?		
	If YES, please explain...		
3.	Do you have fractured/broken crowns/FPDs?		
	If YES, please explain...		
4.	Was the supporting tooth/teeth been broken/fractured?		
	If YES, please explain...		
5.	Was the patient dissatisfied with the appearance of the crown/FPDs?		
	If YES, please explain...		

6.	Was there any mobility in relation to crown/FPDs? If YES, please explain...		
7.	Was there gingival bleeding in relation to crown/FPDs? If YES, please explain...		
8.	Was there food lodgement in relation to crown/FPDs? If YES, please explain...		
9.	Was there bad odour in relation to crown/FPDs? If YES, please explain...		
10.	Was there high spots in relation to crown/FPDs? If YES, please explain...		

PART- 2 (ORAL HYGIENE HABITS)

SERIAL NO.	QUESTIONNAIRE	YES (SCORE =1)	NO (SCORE=0)
1.	Do you brush your teeth? IF YES I. Twice daily II. Once daily		
2.	Do you rinse your mouth after taking food? IF YES please explain		
3.	Do you use mouth rinse ? IF YES please explain		
4.	Do you use dental floss? IF YES please explain		
SERIAL NO.	QUESTIONS	YES SCORE=1	NO SCORE=0
5.	Do you undergo scaling procedures from dentist? IF YES please explain		
1.	Do you have gum chewing habit? If YES please explain		
2.	Do you have miswak chewing habit? If YES please explain		
3.	Do you have nail biting habit? If YES please explain		
4.	Do you have pen nibbling habit? If YES please explain		
5.	Do you experience teeth grinding during night/day? If YES please explain		

PART- 3(HABITS)

PART- 4 (SYSTEMIC DISEASES)

SERIAL NO.	QUESTIONS	YES SCORE=1	NO SCORE =0
1.	Do you have diabetes mellitus? If YES please specify the details of the diabetes status and medicines taken.....		
2.	Do you have hypertension? If YES please specify the details of the hypertension status and medicines taken.....		

3.	Do you have depression/anxiety /sleep disorders?		
	If YES please specify the details		
4.	Do you take any medicines ?		
	If YES please specify the details		

2) CLINICAL AND RADIOGRAPHICAL WORKSHEET

S. No.	Tooth No.	No. Of units	Prosthesis Type	Duration Of prosthesis In service	Mechanical Failure			Biological Failure					Esthetic failure		Radiographical Findings			
					M1	M2	M3	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	E1	E2	R1	R2	R3	
1.																		
2.																		
3.																		
4.																		
5.																		
6.																		
7.																		
8.																		
9.																		
10.																		
11.																		

CLINICAL CLASSIFICATION OF FAILURES IN FPD /CROWNS

1. MECHANICAL FAILURES

- M1**-----POOR RETENTION OF THE PROSTHESIS
- M2**-----BREAKAGE/FRACTURE OF THE PROSTHESIS
- M3**-----OCCLUSAL DISCREPANCIES DUE TO PROSTHESIS

2. BIOLOGICAL FAILURES

- B1** -----PAIN IN RELATION TO THE PROSTHESIS on PERCUSSION
- B2**-----BREAKAGE/FRACTURE OF THE TOOTH STRUCTURE
- B3**-----FOOD IMPACTION IN RELATION TO THE PROSTHESIS
- B4**-----BAD ODOUR/HALITOSIS
- B5**-----PERIODONTAL BREAKDOWN determined by PROBING.

3. ESTHETIC FAILURES

- E1**----SHADE/COLOUR OF THE PROSTHESIS NOT SATISFACTORY
- E2**----EXPOSURE OF METAL IN THE MARGINS OF METAL CERAMIC RESTORATIONS

RADIOGRAPHICAL FINDINGS

- R1**----BONE LOSS INRELATION TO ABUTMENT TEETH
- R2**----PERIODONTAL LIGAMENT WIDENING/DIRUPTION
- R3**----CARIES IN RELATION TO ABUTMENT TEETH

PROSTHESIS TYPE (*ABRREVIATIONS*)

1.	ONLAY	ON
2.	PARTIAL VENEER METAL CROWN	PV-MC
3.	FULL VENEER METAL CROWN	FV-MC
4.	PORCELAIN FUSED TO METAL CROWN-CERAMIC FACING	F-PFMC
5.	PORCELAIN FUSED TO METAL CROWN-FULL CERAMIC COVERAGE	PFMC
6.	POST AND CORE RESTORATIONS	PC
7.	ALL CERAMIC CROWNS	AC
8.	ALL CERAMIC CAD CAM CROWNS	CAD-C


9.	ALL CERAMIC CAD CAM ONLAYS	CAD-O
10.	ALL CERAMIC VENEERS	ACV
11.	FPD BRIDGES-FULL METAL	M-FPD
12.	FPD BRIDGES-FULL COVERAGE CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL	CC-FPD
13.	FPD BRIDGES-CERAMIC FACING ON ONE OR MORE TEETH	FC-FPD
14.	ALL CERAMIC FPD	AC-FPD
15.	CAD CAM FPD	CAD-FPD
16.	ACRYLIC CROWNS	ACRC
17.	RESIN BONDED FIBRE REINFORCED CROWNS	RFC

RESULTS

Total number of patients taken(n=356).the number of patients with the type of prosthesis and position of the prosthesis in the maxillary and mandibular arch is illustrated in the TABLE 1

PROSTHESIS TYPE * PROSTHESIS POSITION IN THE ARCH Crosstabulation(TABLE .1)

Count	PROSTHESIS TYPE	PROSTHESIS POSITION IN THE ARCH				Total
		MAXILLARY	MAXILLARY	MANDIBULAR	MANDIBULAR	
		ANTERIOR	POSTERIOR	ANTERIOR	POSTERIOR	
	ALL CERAMIC CROWNS	25	5	0	3	33
	ALL CERAMIC FPD		1	0	1	2
	CANTELEVER FPD		0	0	0	0
	FULL COVERAGE CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL FPD FACING CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL FPD	0	0	0	2	2
	FULL VENEER METAL CROWN					
	FIBRE POST AND CORE RESTORATIONS					
	METAL POST AND CORE RESTORATIONS	22	47	3	70	142
	FULL COVERAGE CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL CROWN	21	38	3	65	127
	ACRYLIC FPD	0	3			5
		2	14	0	2	42
		0	0	1	1	1
		0	0	0	1	1
		0	0	0	1	1
		0	0	0	1	1
Total		71	107	7	171	356



Prost hesis versu s age grou ps is illust rated in TABLE .2 and Graph 2

PROSTHESIS TYPE * AGE GROUPS Crosstabulation(TABLE .2)


Count	PROSTHESIS TYPE	AGE GROUPS							Total	
		10 to 20	21 to 30	31 to 40	41 to 50	51 to 60	61 to 70	71 to 80		81 to 90
	ALL CERAMIC CROWNS	6	4	12	8	1	2	0	0	33
	ALL CERAMIC FPD	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	CANTELEVER FPD	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	FULL COVERAGE CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL FPD	5	26	31	46	26	8	0	0	142

**GRA
PH.1**

	14	34	50	13	6	8	2	0	127
FACING CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL FPD	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	2	5
FULL VENEER METAL CROWN	5	14	7	11	3	1	1	0	42
FIBRE POST AND CORE RESTORATIONS									

METAL POST AND CORE RESTORATIONS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
FULL COVERAGE CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL CROWN	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ACRYLIC FPD	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Total	30	82	102	82	36	19	3	2	356

Bar Chart




GRAPH .2

Male /female distribution and prosthesis type is illustrated in Table .3 and Graph .3
PROSTHESIS TYPE * MALE/FEMALE Crosstabulation(TABLE .3)

PROSTHESIS TYPE	Count	MALE/FEMALE		Total
		MALE	FEMALE	
		ALL CERAMIC CROWNS	31	
ALL CERAMIC FPD				
CANTELEVER FPD				
FULL COVERAGE CERAMIC	2	0	2	

FUSED TO METAL FPD			
FACING CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL FPD	1	1	2
FULL VENEER METAL CROWN			
FIBRE POST AND CORE RESTORATIONS	127	15	142
METAL POST AND CORE RESTORATIONS			
FULL COVERAGE CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL CROWN	114	13	127
ACRYLIC FPD	5	0	5
	37	5	42
	1	0	1
	1	0	1
	1	0	1
Total	320	36	356

Bar Chart



GRAPH.3

PROSTHESIS TYPE	M1 (n)%	M2 (n)%	M3 (n)%	B1 (n)%	B2 (n)%	B3 (n)%	B4 (n)%	B5 (n)%	E1 (n)%	E2 (n)%
ALL CERAMIC CROWNS	(3) 9	(0) 0	(3) 9	(5) 15.1	(0) 0	(8) 24.2	(7) 21.1	(13) 39.3	(13) 39.3	(3) 9
ALL CERAMIC FPD	(0) 0	(1) 50	(1) 50	(0) 0	(0) 0	(2) 100	(1) 50	(0) 0	(2) 100	(1) 50
CANTELEVER FPD	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(2) 100	(0) 0	(1) 50	(1) 50	(1) 50	(0) 0	(0) 0
FULL COVERAGE CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL FPD	(18) 12.7	(13) 9.2	(11) 7.7	(50) 35.2	(9) 6.3	(79) 55.6	(76) 53.5	(84) 59.1	(73) 51.4	(46) 32.3

FACING CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL FPD	(10) 7.8	(15) 11.8	(5) 3.9	(37) 29.1	(8) 6.2	(59) 46.4	(63) 49.6	(68) 53.5	(57) 44.8	(36) 28.3
FULL VENEER METAL CROWN	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(1) 20	(0) 0	(1) 20	(1) 20	(1) 20	(1) 20

Table 4. Presence Of Failures And Prosthesis Type

FIBRE POST AND CORE RESTORATIONS	(1) 2.3	(7) 16.6	(1) 2.3	(10) 23.8	(11) 26.1	(16) 38	(20) 47.6	(22) 52.3	(20) 47.6	(7) 16.6
METAL POST AND CORE RESTORATIONS	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(1) 100	(1) 100	(1) 100	(0) 0	(0) 0
FULL COVERAGE CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL CROWN	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0
ACRYLIC FPD	(1) 100	(0) 0	(0) 0	(1) 100	(0) 0	(1) 100	(0) 0	(1) 100	(0) 0	(0) 0

PROSTHESIS TYPE	R1 (n)%	R2 (n)%	R3 (n)%
ALL CERAMIC CROWNS	(17) 21.1	(6) 18.1	(0) 0
ALL CERAMIC FPD	(1) 50	(1) 50	(0) 0
CANTELEVER FPD	(2) 100	(1) 50	(0) 0
FULL COVERAGE CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL FPD	(135) 95	(57) 40.1	(42) 29.5
FACING CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL FPD	(112) 88.1	(55) 43.3	(18) 14.1
FULL VENEER METAL CROWN	(5) 100	(2) 40	(0) 0
FIBRE POST AND CORE RESTORATIONS	(34) 76	(11) 26.1	(7) 16.6
METAL POST AND CORE RESTORATIONS	(1) 100	(1) 100	(1) 100
FULL COVERAGE CERAMIC FUSED TO METAL CROWN	(0) 0	(1) 100	(0) 0
ACRYLIC FPD	(1) 100	(1) 100	(0) 0

Table 5 Prosthesis Type And Radiographic Changes

PROSTHESIS POSITION IN THE ARCH	R1 (n)%	R2 (n)%	R3 (n)%
MAXILLARY ANTERIOR	(48) 67.6	(23) 32.3	(10) 14
MAXILLARY POSTERIOR	(96) 89.7	(36) 33.6	(19) 17.7
MANDIBULAR ANTERIOR	(6) 85.7	(4) 57.1	(3) 42.8
MANDIBULAR POSTERIOR	(158) 92.3	(73) 42.6	(36) 21

Table 6: Prosthesis Position In Arch And Radiographic Changes

DIABETIC	R1	R2	R3
-----------------	-----------	-----------	-----------

STATUS	(n)%	(n)%	(n)%
NON DIABETIC	(275) 86.2	(118) 37	(63) 19.7
DIABETIC	(33) 89.1	(18) 48.6	(5) 13.5

Table 7 Diabetic Status And Radiographic Changes

HYPERTENSIVE STATUS	R1 (n)%	R2 (n)%	R3 (n)%
NONHYPERTENSIVE	(272) 85.8	(118) 37.2	(58) 18.3
HYPERTENSIVE	(36) 92.3	(18) 46.1	(10) 25.6

Table 8: Hypertensive Status And Radiographic Changes

With the help of the data collected using the worksheet (clinical and radiographical) as well as questionnaire statistical analysis was done.

The various statistical tests performed were **bivariate logistic regression, descriptive statistics and crosstabs using SPSS 16 software.**

DISCUSSION

Patients with night grinding have 4.690 times more likely of having (M2) which was statistically significant($p=0.003$). Patients with night grinding have 3.628 times more likely of having (M3) which was statistically significant($p=0.043$).

Patients with night grinding have 5.536 times more likely of having (B1) which was statistically significant($p=0.019$).

Patients with night grinding have 3.365 times more likely of having (B2) which was statistically significant($p=0.028$). Patients who use mouthrinse are least likely to get B2 which was statistically significant($p=0.001$).

Patients who brush twice have least chance of likely B3 which was statistically significant($p=0.024$). Patients with Diabetes(type 1 and 2) have 58.97 times more likely of getting B3 which was statistically significant($p=0.001$).

Patients who use prophylactic are less likely to get B4 which was statistically significant($p=0.004$). Patients with nail biting are 11.39 times more likely to get B4 which was statistically significant($p=0.001$). Patients with Diabetes(type 1 and 2) have 6.23 times more likely of getting B4 which was statistically significant($p=0.002$).

Patients who use prophylactic mouth rinse and Miswak are least likely to get B5 which was statistically($p=0.001$). Patients with Diabetes(type 1 and 2) have 5.128 times more likely of getting B4 which was statistically significant($p=0.002$).

Patients who use gum are 1.950 times more likely to get E1 which was statistically significant($p=0.006$) and Patients who have night grinding are 2.466 times more likely to get E1 which was statistically significant($p=0.021$).

Patients who have undergone scaling are more likely to get E2 which was statistically significant($p=0.001$).

CONCLUSION

Patients with night grinding have higher possibility of mechanical and biological failures. Patients with TYPE 2 diabetes have more chances of getting biological failures in the form of periodontal breakdown. Patients who have gum chewing habit and nail chewing habit have esthetic failures due to poor appearance of prosthesis due to these habits. Interestingly patients who have undergone

scaling had esthetic failure in the form of metal exposure in cervical regions in metal ceramic restorations. To conclude it would be appropriate to say that patients who regularly execute oral hygiene habits as well as those who don't have any oral habits have lesser chances of getting mechanical, biological and esthetic failures. Systemically compromised patients like type 2 diabetes have greater chances of getting biologically failures. Further interventional studies would be needed to comprehensively prove that which factor could be significantly altered so as to reduce the failures in fixed partial dentures.

REFERENCES

1. **Manappallil J.J.** – Classification system for conventional crown and fixed partial denture failures; *J. Prosthet. Dent.*; 2008; Apr.; 99 (4);293 – 8 .
2. **Sudhir Pawar.** Failures of crowns and fixed partial denture-a clinical survey. *Int.journal of Contemporary Dentistry.*2011, January,vol.2(1):119-121.
3. **Joanne N. Walton; F. Michael Gardner; John R. Agar** – A survey of crown and fixed partial denture failures; *J.Prosthet., Dent*; October 1986; vol. 56, issue 4; 416- 421.
4. **Lindquist F. Karlsson S.** Success rate and failures for fixed partial dentures after 20 years of service; Part 1; *Int.J.Prostodont.*;1998;MarApr;11 (2); 133 – 8.
5. **Alex Selby** – Fixed prosthodontic failure; A review and discussion of important aspects *Australian Dental Journal.*; 1994, June, vol. 39, (3); 150 -6.
6. **Charles J. Goodacre; Guillermo Bernal, et al.** -Clinical complications in fixed prosthodontics; *J. Prosthet. Dent.*; 2003, July, 90 (1), 31 – 41.
7. **Lamiaa Sayed Kheiralla , Mona Attia , et.al.**-Failure of crown and fixed partial denture in Fixed Partial Prosthodontics Dept.; *Egyptian Dental Journal*,2007 , April , Vol.53,(2.1).