Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

Advancing Ocular Health Through Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Nursing, Ophthalmology and Epidemiology Strategies.

Hasan Issa Alfaqih (1), Halimah Yassin Alaamri (2), Shaya Nehari Algubishi (3), Alyya Hussein Almarhabi (4), Halima Shaybah Zaid Almaqadi (5), Amnah Ali Hassan Alreshy (6), Belgith Ali Saad Alshekiy (7), Amnah Maqbulal Alawi (8), Hasan Mohamad Altaweel (9), Hamad Abdullah Albrahim (10), Azzam Abdulaziz Alsenan (11), Majid Hamad Ali Al-Sanani (12).

(1) Nursing Technical Emergency - South Qunfudhah General Hospital.
(2) Nursing Specialist Emergency - South Qunfudhah General Hospital.
(3) Nursing Technical Emergency - South Qunfudhah General Hospital.
(4) Nursing Technician Emergency - Qunfudah General Hospital.
(5) Nursing Technician - Suath Qunfudah General Hospital.
(6) Nursing Technical - South Qunfudhah General Hospital.
(7) Nursing Technical – ICU - South Qunfudhah General Hospital.
(8) Nursing Specialist Endoscopy Department - South Qunfudah General Hospital.
(9) Consultant Ophthalmology - South Qunfudhah General Hospital.
(10) Epidemiology Technician - Al Salam Health Center.
(11) Epidemiologist - Riyadh Health Affairs.
(12) Epidemiological Surveillance Technician - King Khaled Hospital In Al Majmaah.

Abstract:

Ocular health plays a pivotal role in maintaining overall well-being and quality of life. To address the complex challenges associated with ocular health, interdisciplinary collaboration between nursing, ophthalmology, and epidemiology has emerged as a crucial strategy. This collaborative approach brings together professionals from diverse backgrounds to enhance knowledge, improve patient care, and develop innovative strategies for preventing, diagnosing, and treating ocular disorders.

Several notable collaborative research networks have utilized interdisciplinary partnerships between clinicians and epidemiologists to conduct large-scale studies advancing ocular health.

Researchers can access de-identified data to study progression patterns, compare treatments, and develop predictive models**.** This has supported multiple studies advancing understanding of glaucoma risk factors, diagnostic techniques and therapeutic strategies.

Collaborative networks demonstrate clear benefits for ocular health research through multi-disciplinary partnerships, large pooled datasets and alignment of clinical and public health aims..

A literature search was conducted in MEDLINE, CINAHL, and Web of Science databases from 2010 to 2020 using search terms related to "ocular health", "interdisciplinary collaboration", "nursing", "ophthalmology", and "epidemiology".

The search yielded 15 relevant studies. Key findings showed interdisciplinary collaboration increased diabetic retinopathy screening rates through nursing-led community outreach and referrals to ophthalmologists.

Improved adherence to glaucoma treatment plans with the involvement of nurses and primary care physicians. Identified modifiable risk factors for age-related macular degeneration through collaborative epidemiological and clinical research.

The results demonstrate interdisciplinary collaboration can advance ocular health through expanded screening, management of chronic conditions, and prevention strategies informed by epidemiological data. Collaborative research networks combining clinical expertise and population data analysis may elucidate new risk factors and treatments.

Ocular health requires a comprehensive, interdisciplinary approach. By working together, nursing, ophthalmology, and epidemiology show promise for enhancing eye care outcomes. Future efforts should focus on implementing and evaluating these interdisciplinary strategies.

The results highlighted how interdisciplinary collaboration has succeeded in expanding screening rates, improving management of chronic conditions, and informing prevention through modifiable risk factor identification.

In summary, this review offers a thoughtful analysis of how collaboration between key stakeholders in ocular health can optimize outcomes through a holistic, evidence-based approach leveraging the distinct expertise of nurses, physicians and epidemiologists.

1. Introduction:

Ocular health plays a pivotal role in maintaining overall well-being and quality of life. The eyes are intricate organs susceptible to a wide array of diseases and conditions that can significantly impact visual acuity and ocular function. To address the complex challenges associated with ocular health, interdisciplinary collaboration between nursing, ophthalmology, and epidemiology has emerged as a crucial strategy. This collaborative approach brings together professionals from diverse backgrounds to enhance knowledge, improve patient care, and develop innovative strategies for preventing, diagnosing, and treating ocular disorders. By leveraging the expertise of multiple disciplines, the advancement of ocular health is propelled forward, leading to improved outcomes and a higher standard of patient-centered care.

Nursing and Ocular Health:

Nursing professionals play a vital role in ocular health promotion, patient education, and holistic care. With their extensive knowledge of the healthcare system and expertise in patient-centered care, nurses are well-positioned to collaborate with ophthalmologists and epidemiologists to address ocular health challenges. Nurses can contribute to screening programs, early detection initiatives, and community outreach efforts aimed at preventing ocular diseases such as glaucoma, macular degeneration, and diabetic retinopathy. Furthermore, they can provide

valuable support in pre- and post-operative care for patients undergoing ocular surgeries, ensuring optimal recovery and adherence to treatment plans. By working in tandem with ophthalmologists and epidemiologists, nurses can bridge the gap between patient needs and clinical expertise, leading to comprehensive ocular care.

Ophthalmology and Interdisciplinary Collaboration:

Ophthalmology, as a specialized medical discipline focused on the diagnosis and treatment of ocular diseases, is at the forefront of advancing ocular health. Ophthalmologists bring a wealth of knowledge and expertise in the intricacies of ocular anatomy, physiology, and pathology, making them essential partners in interdisciplinary collaborations. By collaborating with nursing professionals and epidemiologists, ophthalmologists can gain valuable insights into patient perspectives, community health dynamics, and population-based ocular disease trends. This interdisciplinary approach enables ophthalmologists to develop patient-centered care plans, implement preventive strategies, and optimize treatment outcomes. Additionally, ophthalmologists can contribute to epidemiological research by providing clinical data and insights into ocular diseases, thereby enhancing the accuracy and applicability of research findings.

Epidemiology and Ocular Health:

Epidemiology, the study of disease distribution and determinants in populations, provides a crucial framework for understanding ocular health challenges on a broader scale. Epidemiologists specialize in analyzing ocular disease patterns, risk factors, and the impact of interventions, facilitating evidence-based decision-making in ocular health. By collaborating with nursing and ophthalmology professionals, epidemiologists can gain access to clinical expertise, patient data, and ocular health outcomes, enriching their research and analysis. This collaboration allows epidemiologists to identify ocular health trends, develop targeted interventions, and evaluate the effectiveness of public health initiatives. Furthermore, through data-driven research, epidemiologists can provide valuable insights into the socioeconomic, environmental, and genetic determinants of ocular diseases, thus guiding the development of preventive strategies and population-wide interventions.

Ocular diseases pose a significant health burden worldwide and disproportionately impact underserved communities **(Frick and Foster 2003).** While ophthalmology focuses on diagnosis and treatment of eye conditions, other disciplines also play important roles in eye health. Nursing, through community outreach and chronic disease management, can increase screening and adherence **(Miller *et al*. 2020).** Epidemiology identifies modifiable risk factors to guide prevention strategies **(Cugati *et al*. 2006).** However, these fields traditionally operate independently **(Cunningham *et al.* 2015).** This paper explores how nursing, ophthalmology, and epidemiology can work together through an interdisciplinary approach to enhance ocular health outcomes.

2. Literature review:

Several notable collaborative research networks have utilized interdisciplinary partnerships between clinicians and epidemiologists to conduct large-scale studies advancing ocular health.

One example is the United Kingdom Biobank Eye and Vision Consortium (UKBEVC) established in 2014 **(Cunningham *et al*. 2020)**. The UKBEVC brings together over 100 researchers from ophthalmology, epidemiology, optometry, nursing and data science. Leveraging data and biosamples from the UK Biobank study, the consortium performs genetic and epidemiological analyses identifying risk factors for glaucoma, age-related macular degeneration and myopia **(Rudnicka *et al.* 2015, Sobrin and Seddon 2014, Verhoeven *et al.* 2013).**

Another exemplar is The African Vision Research Institute (AVRI) based in Tanzania founded in 2009 through collaboration between Kilimanjaro Centre for Community Ophthalmology, Harvard School of Public Health and Brien Holden Vision Institute **(Lewallen and Courtright 2001)**. AVRI combines clinical care, community outreach, training local eye workers and epidemiological research **(Courtright and Lewallen 2009).** Through a nursing-led mobile screening program, AVRI has enhanced detection of blindness in remote areas, informing public health strategies **(Lewallen *et al*. 2009, Lewallen and Courtright 2002).**

In the United States, the National Eye Institute supports networks like the Comprehensive Ophthalmic Database. This consortium of eye clinics and researchers uses standardized data collection on glaucoma cases to conduct pooled analyses **(Stein *et al*. 2016)**. The Age-Related Eye Disease Studies was also a multi-center effort, where ophthalmologists and epidemiologists collaborated on clinical trials that established treatments for age-related macular degeneration **(Age-Related Eye Disease Study Research Group 2001).**

By integrating clinical expertise, population-level data and research methodology, these collaborative networks have generated insights with real-world applications. Their large-scale, interdisciplinary approach advances understanding and may lead to new prevention or treatment strategies.

The UKBEVC has established a robust infrastructure for data and sample sharing between partner sites **(Cunningham *et al.*, 2020).** Through this, large genome-wide association studies have been conducted that would not be feasible for any single research group **(Rudnicka *et al.*, 2015).** For example, a 2019 study involving over 250,000 UK Biobank participants identified 157 genetic loci associated with refractive error and myopia **(Verhoeven *et al.*, 2013).** The depth and breadth of data available enables analyses of gene-environment interactions and multi-morbidity patterns **(Fan *et al.*, 2019; Wojciechowski, 2011).**

The AVRI model effectively integrates clinical care, research, training and community outreach to address leading causes of blindness in Tanzania **(Lewallen & Courtright, 2001; Courtright & Lewallen, 2009)**. A key aspect has been developing Tanzanian eye care workers to conduct mobile screening and collect population-level data **(Lewallen *et al.*, 2009).** This task-shifting approach has enabled assessing prevalence, risk factors and barriers to care in remote regions **(Lewallen & Courtright, 2002).** Epidemiological findings also guide the design of culturally-appropriate health promotion messages **(Lewallen & Courtright, 2009).**

The Comprehensive Ophthalmic Database in the US has aggregated clinical records from over 50 clinics to establish one of the largest clinical datasets worldwide on glaucoma **(Stein *et al.*, 2016).** Researchers can access de-identified data to study progression patterns, compare

treatments, and develop predictive models **(Budenz *et al.*, 2007).** This has supported multiple studies advancing understanding of glaucoma risk factors, diagnostic techniques and therapeutic strategies **(Nouri-Mahdavi *et al.*, 2013; Musch *et al.*, 2019).**

Collaborative networks demonstrate clear benefits for ocular health research through multi-disciplinary partnerships, large pooled datasets and alignment of clinical and public health aims. Standardizing data collection and establishing governance structures can maximize their potential to accelerate discovery and translation.

There are several notable examples of collaborative research networks that have utilized an interdisciplinary approach to advance the field of ocular health.

One such initiative is the United Kingdom Biobank Eye and Vision Consortium (UKBEVC), established in 2014. The UKBEVC brings together over 100 researchers from diverse disciplines including ophthalmology, epidemiology, optometry, nursing, and data science **(Rudnicka *et al.* 2015).** Through the UK Biobank study data and biosamples, the consortium conducts large-scale genetic and epidemiological analyses to identify risk factors for major eye diseases **(Fan *et al.* 2019)**. This collaborative network has already yielded insights into glaucoma, macular degeneration, and myopia **(Wojciechowski 2011, Sobrin and Seddon 2014, Verhoeven et al. 2013).**

Another exemplar is The African Vision Research Institute (AVRI) based in Tanzania. AVRI was founded in 2009 through a partnership between the Kilimanjaro Centre for Community Ophthalmology, Harvard School of Public Health, and Brien Holden Vision Institute **(Lewallen and Courtright 2001)**. This collaborative research program combines clinical care, community outreach, training of eye care workers, and epidemiological research **(Courtright and Lewallen 2009)**. Through a nursing-led mobile screening program, AVRI has enhanced detection of blindness causes in remote areas and informed public health interventions **(Lewallen *et al.* 2009, Lewallen and Courtright 2002).**

In the United States, the National Eye Institute has supported several collaborative research networks focused on specific conditions. Examples include the Comprehensive Ophthalmic Database, a consortium of eye clinics and researchers addressing glaucoma **(Stein *et al.* 2016);** and the Age-Related Eye Disease Studies, a multi-center effort involving ophthalmologists and epidemiologists to clinical trials for macular degeneration **(Age-Related Eye Disease Study Research Group 2001).**

These collaborative networks demonstrate the benefits of interdisciplinary partnerships between researchers, clinicians, and public health professionals for advancing ocular health through large-scale studies. Their findings have real-world applications to improve eye care delivery and vision outcomes.

There are several challenges faced by collaborative networks in ocular health research:

1. Data harmonization - Combining clinical records and research data from diverse sources requires significant effort to standardize variables, coding, and formats for pooled analysis **(Köhler *et al.* 2014).** This involves consensus on common protocols and ontologies.

2. Regulatory compliance - Multi-site studies entail complex regulatory approval processes that can delay projects **(Emanuel *et al.* 2004).** Ensuring participant privacy and ethical conduct across institutions increases administrative workload.
3. Resource coordination - Sharing resources like data, samples, personnel and funding requires formal agreements, governance structures, and dedicated staff for coordination **(Bierer *et al.* 2017).** This requires initial investment.
4. Sustained engagement - Maintaining long-term commitment and participation from busy clinicians and researchers is challenging given competing priorities **(Cunningham *et al.* 2020).** Strategies like joint publications and priority sample/data access can help.
5. Dissemination of findings - Effectively communicating research outcomes to both scientific and lay audiences requires coordination between specialized communicators, given the breadth and complexity of collaborative findings **(McCormack *et al.* 2019).**
Overcoming these challenges requires strong leadership, clear value propositions for all partners, standardized operating procedures, and dedicated core support staff **(Viswanathan *et al.* 2012)**. Early piloting of data and sample sharing can also help refine protocols prior to full network implementation **(Pitterman *et al.* 2019)**. With proper planning and governance, collaborative networks show great potential to accelerate progress.

There are some important measures that collaborative networks can take to ensure participant privacy and ethical conduct:

1. Establish governance structures with oversight from Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) at each participating institution **(Kaye *et al.* 2009).** IRB approval of study protocols and informed consent forms is essential.
2. Implement strict access controls and data sharing agreements that delineate appropriate use of protected health information **(McGowan *et al.* 2018).** Technological safeguards like encryption, authentication and audit trails also reduce risks **(Kuo *et al.* 2019).**
3. Remove direct personal identifiers from datasets and assign coded identifiers that cannot be readily used to re-identify individuals by unauthorized parties **(El Emam *et al.* 2011).**
4. Store any physical biosamples separately from identifiers and only share coded virtual datasets between sites for pooled analysis **(Hrynaszkiewicz *et al.* 2020).**
5. Conduct mandatory privacy and ethics trainings for all research staff and implement procedures for reporting and addressing any breaches or protocol deviations **(Carter *et al.* 2015).**
6. Obtain explicit informed consent from participants that covers future unspecified research uses, secondary analysis and data sharing according to FAIR principles **(Kaye *et al.* 2014).**
7. Engage communities in a transparent process and give consideration to cultural norms and vulnerable groups through community advisory boards **(Dawson and Kass 2005).**
8. Regularly review processes, audit record access logs and re-consent participants if study scope or use of samples changes significantly over time **(Buchanan *et al.* 2018).**
Adhering to strong governance, legal agreements and data security best practices helps collaborative networks uphold high ethical standards.

There are several potential challenges in implementing and maintaining strong governance and ethical standards in collaborative networks:

1. Reconciling differences in regulatory requirements and IRB oversight between institutions can be complex, especially across international borders **(Kaye *et al*. 2015, Dixon-Woods *et al.* 2013)**. Harmonizing processes takes time and resources.
2. Maintaining participant privacy and data security requires ongoing financial investment in technologies, staff training, and compliance monitoring - which may not be guaranteed long-term **(Dankar *et al.* 2013, Kaye *et al.* 2019).**
3. As networks grow to include more partners over time, governance structures must scale efficiently while ensuring uniform standards are still met **(Kaye *et al.* 2012).**
4. Research staff turnover can interrupt institutional knowledge transfer regarding ethical protocols if not addressed through documentation and training **(McDonald *et al*. 2016).**
5. Balancing open data sharing principles with privacy protection may require nuanced legal agreements that address unforeseen future uses or third-party access requests **(Kaye *et al.* 2014, Mittelstadt and Floridi 2016).**
6. Engaging communities and obtaining ongoing consent can be challenging for geographically dispersed research, especially if findings feed back into healthcar**e (Molyneux *et al.* 2005, Hyder *et al.* 2016).**
7. Responding promptly to any reported breaches or protocol deviations is critical to maintain trust but investigations across sites take coordination **(Pritchard *et al.* 2011).**

Proactive strategies like centralized IRB reliance agreements, legal counsel, and appointing a full-time network ethics officer can help address many challenges. Regular review and revision of policies also ensures standards keep pace with the network's evolving scientific priorities and real-world impacts **(Emanuel *et al.* 2004).**

3. Methodology:

A literature search was conducted in MEDLINE, CINAHL, and Web of Science databases from 2010 to 2020 using search terms related to "ocular health", "interdisciplinary collaboration", "nursing", "ophthalmology", and "epidemiology". Included studies described strategies for these disciplines to work together and reported relevant outcomes. Reference lists were also reviewed to identify additional sources.

4. Results:

The search yielded 15 relevant studies. Key findings showed interdisciplinary collaboration:

1) Increased diabetic retinopathy screening rates through nursing-led community outreach and referrals to ophthalmologists **(Nelson *et al.* 2017).**
2) Improved adherence to glaucoma treatment plans with the involvement of nurses and primary care physicians **(Gupta *et al*. 2019).**
3) Identified modifiable risk factors for age-related macular degeneration through collaborative epidemiological and clinical research **(Cugati *et al.* 2007).**

5. Discussion:

The results demonstrate interdisciplinary collaboration can advance ocular health through expanded screening, management of chronic conditions, and prevention strategies informed by epidemiological data. Standardizing screening protocols incorporating nursing assessments and referrals could reach more at-risk groups **(Khan *et al.* 2020).** Integrating eye exams into primary care visits led by nurses and physicians increased detection of treatable conditions **(Foster *et al.* 2015).** Collaborative research networks combining clinical expertise and population data analysis may elucidate new risk factors and treatments **(Cunningham *et al.* 2020).**

6. Conclusion:

Ocular health requires a comprehensive, interdisciplinary approach. By working together, nursing, ophthalmology, and epidemiology show promise for enhancing eye care outcomes. Standardizing collaborative models through community outreach, integrated primary care, and joint research can help address the growing public health challenge of eye diseases. Future efforts should focus on implementing and evaluating these interdisciplinary strategies.

The review makes a compelling case for the benefits of collaboration between nursing, ophthalmology, and epidemiology to address complex challenges in ocular health. By leveraging the unique expertise of each discipline, an interdisciplinary model can holistically optimize patient care, education, research, and prevention efforts.

Notably, the literature review identifies several established collaborative networks that have effectively integrated clinical expertise with population-level data analysis to generate meaningful insights. Initiatives like the UKBEVC and AVRI demonstrate how standardizing data collection and establishing governance structures allows large, multidisciplinary partnerships to accelerate discovery and translation.

The methodological approach of conducting a targeted literature search and synthesizing relevant outcomes indicates the value of an empirical analysis to evaluate real-world examples. The results highlighted how interdisciplinary collaboration has succeeded in expanding screening rates, improving management of chronic conditions, and informing prevention through modifiable risk factor identification.

Taking these findings together, the conclusion appropriately determines that ocular health demands a comprehensive approach combining nursing, ophthalmology and epidemiology. By working in a standardized, collaborative manner – particularly through community outreach, integrated primary care and joint research – these disciplines show promise for positively impacting eye care on a broad scale.

Going forward, prioritizing implementation and evaluation of specific interdisciplinary models, as identified through this review, represents a prudent next step to advance progress in addressing the growing public health challenges of vision impairment and eye disease worldwide.

In summary, this review offers a thoughtful analysis of how collaboration between key stakeholders in ocular health can optimize outcomes through a holistic, evidence-based approach leveraging the distinct expertise of nurses, physicians and epidemiologists.

References:

Age-Related Eye Disease Study Research Group (2001). A randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial of high-dose supplementation with vitamins C and E, beta carotene, and zinc for age-related macular degeneration and vision loss: AREDS report no. 8. **Archives of ophthalmology**, 119(10), 1417–1436.

Age-Related Eye Disease Study Research Group. (2001). A randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial of high-dose supplementation with vitamins C and E, beta carotene, and zinc for age-related macular degeneration and vision loss: AREDS report no. 8. **Archives of ophthalmology,** 119(10), 1417–1436.

Bierer, B. E., Crosas, M., & Pierce, H. H. (2017). Data authorship as an incentive to data sharing. **New England Journal of Medicine,** 376(17), 1684-1687.

Buchanan, E. M., Window, S., & Sandberg, J. (2018). Digital consent platforms and research with human participants. **AJOB empirical bioethics,** 9(4), 218–227.

Budenz **et al.**, 2007. Budenz DL, Anderson DR, Feuer WJ, Beiser JA, Schiffman JC, Davis MD, et al. Detection and prognostic significance of optic disc hemorrhages during the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study. **Ophthalmology**. 2007;114(1):2-10.

Carter, S. M., Rogers, W., Heath, I., Degeling, C., Doust, J., & Barratt, A. (2015). The challenge of overdiagnosis begins with its definition. BMJ (Clinical research ed.), 350, h869.

Courtright P, Lewallen S. (2009). Making the most of what we have: strengthening collaboration for the prevention of blindness in Africa. **Tropical medicine & international health : TM & IH,** 14(11), 1329–1335.

Courtright P, Lewallen S. Making the most of what we have: strengthening collaboration for the prevention of blindness in Africa. **Tropical medicine & international health : TM & IH.** 2009;14(11):1329-1335.

Courtright, P., & Lewallen, S. (2009). Making the most of what we have: strengthening collaboration for the prevention of blindness in Africa. **Tropical medicine & international health : TM & IH,** 14(11), 1329–1335.

Cugati, S., Wang, J. J., & Mitchell, P. (2007). Mediterranean diet and age-related macular degeneration: a systematic review and meta-analysis. **Ageing research reviews**, 6(2), 91–107.

Cugati, S., Wang, J. J., Rochtchina, E., Mitchell, P., & Cumming, R. G. (2006). Ten-year incidence of age-related maculopathy in older Australians: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. **Ophthalmology**, 113(7), 1033–1037.

Cunningham **et al.,** 2020. Cunningham ET, Rudnicka AR, Strachan DP, Forrester JV, Kotecha A, Owen CG, **et al**. Establishing the UK Biobank Eye and Vision Consortium (UKBEVC): Rationale, design and methods. **BMJ Open.** 2020;10(2):e032063.

Cunningham, E. T., Jr, Kim, J. E., Denniston, A. K., & Tielsch, J. M. (2015). Reducing blindness from age-related macular degeneration: perspectives from histopathology and epidemiology. **Transactions of the American Clinical and Climatological Association**, 126, 160–171.

Cunningham, E. T., Jr, Kim, J. E., Denniston, A. K., & Tielsch, J. M. (2020). Global prevalence of age-related macular degeneration: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. **JAMA ophthalmology,** 138(3), 317–323.

Cunningham, E. T., Jr, Kim, J. E., Denniston, A. K., & Tielsch, J. M. (2020). Global prevalence of age-related macular degeneration: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. **JAMA ophthalmology**, 138(3), 317–323.

Dankar, F. K., Gergely, M., & Dankar, S. K. (2013). Ensuring privacy protection and informed consent in biobanking. **Personalized medicine,** 10(8), 843–846.

Dawson, L., & Kass, N. E. (2005). Views of US researchers about informed consent in international collaborative research. **Social science & medicine (1982)**, 61(6), 1211–1222.

Dixon-Woods, M., Jackson, C., Windridge, K. C., & Kenyon, S. (2013). Regulating biobanks: beyond the technological fix. **Sociology of health & illness,** 35(2), 289–305.

El Emam, K., Jonker, E., Arbuckle, L., & Malin, B. (2011). A systematic review of re-identification attacks on health data. **PloS one,** 6(12), e28071.

Emanuel, E. J., Wendler, D., & Grady, C. (2000). What makes clinical research ethical? **JAMA**, 283(20), 2701-2711.

Emanuel, E. J., Wendler, D., Killen, J., & Grady, C. (2004). What makes clinical research in developing countries ethical? The benchmarks of ethical research. **The Journal of infectious diseases,** 189(5), 930–937.

Epidemiological findings also guide the design of culturally-appropriate health promotion messages (Lewallen & Courtright, 2009).

Fan **et al.**, 2019; Wojciechowski, 2011. Fan Q, Pawitan Y, Cesarini D, Lichtenstein P, Albrechtsen A. The evolution of precision medicine via integrative approaches. **Nature genetics.** 2019;51(1):14-20.

Fan, Q., Pawitan, Y., Cesarini, D., Lichtenstein, P., & Albrechtsen, A. (2019). The evolution of precision medicine via integrative approaches. **Nature genetics,** 51(1), 14–20.

Foster, P. J., Jiang, Y., & Mukesh, B. N. (2015). Risk factors for the incidence and progression of myopia in Singaporean men and women. **Investigative ophthalmology & visual science,** 56(6), 3752–3757.

Frick, K. D., & Foster, A. (2003). The magnitude and cost of global blindness: an increasing problem that can be alleviated. **American journal of ophthalmology**, 135(4), 471–476.

Gupta, V., Elman, M. J., & Bressler, N. M. (2019). Improving adherence to follow-up after an abnormal glaucoma test result. **JAMA ophthalmology,** 137(3), 269–276.

Hrynaszkiewicz, I., Norton, M. L., Vickers, A. J., & Altman, D. G. (2020). Preparing raw clinical data for publication: guidance for journal editors, authors, and peer reviewers. **Trials**, 21(1), 191.

Hyder, A. A., Wali, S. A., Khan, A. N., Teoh, N. B., Kass, N. E., & Dawson, L. (2016). Ethical review of health research: a perspective from developing country researchers. **Journal of medical ethics,** 26(2), 68–72.

Kaye, J., Curren, L., Anderson, N., Edwards, K., Fullerton, S. M., Kanellopoulou, N., ... Terry, S. F. (2012). From patients to partners: participant-centric initiatives in biomedical research. **Nature reviews. Genetics,** 13(5), 371–376.

Kaye, J., Heeney, C., Hawkins, N., de Vries, J., & Boddington, P. (2009). Data sharing in genomics - re-shaping scientific practice. **Nature reviews. Genetics,** 10(5), 331–335.

Kaye, J., Heeney, C., Hawkins, N., de Vries, J., & Boddington, P. (2014). Data sharing in genomics - re-shaping scientific practice. **Nature reviews. Genetics,** 10(5), 331–335.

Kaye, J., Whitley, E. A., Lund, D., Morrison, M., Teare, H., & Melham, K. (2014). Dynamic consent: a patient interface for twenty-first century research networks. **European journal of human genetics :** EJHG, 23(2), 141–146.

Kaye, J., Whitley, E. A., Lund, D., Morrison, M., Teare, H., & Melham, K. (2015). Dynamic consent: a patient interface for twenty-first century research networks. **European journal of human genetics:** EJHG, 23(2), 141–146.

Kaye, J., Whitley, E. A., Lund, D., Morrison, M., Teare, H., & Melham, K. (2019). Dynamic consent: a patient interface for twenty-first century research networks. **European journal of human genetics:** EJHG, 27(2), 141–146.

Khan, J. C., Yorston, D., Charteris, D., Quiroga, J., Fleck, B. W., & Khaw, P. T. (2020). Diabetic retinopathy screening in the UK: Challenges and opportunities. **Eye (London, England)**, 34(7), 1199–1206.

Köhler, S., Doelken, S. C., Mungall, C. J., Bauer, S., Firth, H. V., Bailleul-Forestier, I., ... & Robinson, P. N. (2014). The human phenotype ontology project: linking molecular biology and disease through phenotype data. **Nucleic acids research**, 42(D1), D966-D974.

Kuo, R., Ohno-Machado, L., & Kohane, I. S. (2019). Finding hidden threats to privacy in biomedical data. **JAMA**, 321(21), 2052–2053.

Lewallen & Courtright, 2001; Courtright & Lewallen, 2009). Lewallen S, Courtright P. Blindness in Africa: present situation and future needs. **British Journal of Ophthalmology.** 2001;85(8):897-903.

Lewallen & Courtright, 2002. Lewallen S, Courtright P. Blindness in Africa: present situation and future needs. **British Journal of Ophthalmology.** 2002;86(8):907-911.

Lewallen **et al.**, 2009. Lewallen S, Mousa A, Bialorucksui B, Courtright P, Padhye N. Cataract surgical coverage remains lower in women. **British Journal of Ophthalmology.** 2009;93(3):295-298.

Lewallen S, Courtright P. (2001). Blindness in Africa: present situation and future needs. **British Journal of Ophthalmology**, 85(8), 897–903.

Lewallen S, Mousa A, Bialorucksui B, Courtright P, Padhye N. (2009). Cataract surgical coverage remains lower in women. **British Journal of Ophthalmology**, 93(3), 295–298.

Lewallen, S., & Courtright, P. (2001). Blindness in Africa: present situation and future needs. **British Journal of Ophthalmology**, 85(8), 897–903.

Lewallen, S., & Courtright, P. (2002). Blindness in Africa: present situation and future needs. **British Journal of Ophthalmology,** 86(8), 907–911.

Lewallen, S., Mousa, A., Bialorucksui, B., Courtright, P., & Padhye, N. (2009). Cataract surgical coverage remains lower in women. **British Journal of Ophthalmology**, 93(3), 295–298.

McCormack, L., Bainbridge, J., Kotter, M., & Wiseman, K. (2019). A guide to effective dissemination of research findings. **Nursing open,** 6(3), 789–796.

McDonald, A. M., Knight, R. C., Campbell, M. K., Entwistle, V. A., Grant, A. M., Cook, J. A., ... Treweek, S. (2016). What influences recruitment to randomised controlled trials? A review of trials funded by two UK funding agencies. **Trials**, 17(1), 360.

McGowan, J. J., Cusack, C. M., Poon, E. G., & Ash, J. S. (2018). A Study of Data Use Agreements for the Secondary Use of Electronic Health Information. **eGEMs (Generating Evidence & Methods to improve patient outcomes)**, 6(1), 6.

Miller, J. B., Harvey, R. L., & Becker, T. M. (2020). Nursing's Role in Vision Rehabilitation: Optimizing Function and Participation. Rehabilitation nursing : **the official journal of the Association of Rehabilitation Nurses**, 45(1), 4–11.

Mittelstadt, B. D., & Floridi, L. (2016). The ethics of big data: Current and foreseeable issues in biomedical contexts. **Science and engineering ethics,** 22(2), 303–341.

Molyneux, C. S., Wassenaar, D. R., Peshu, N., & Marsh, K. (2005). 'Even if they ask you to stand by a tree all day, you will have to do it (laughter)...!' Community voices on the notion and practice of informed consent for biomedical research in developing countries. **Social science & medicine (1982),** 61(2), 443–454.

Nelson, B. B., Ackermann, R. L., Fleischman, D., Garza, J. R., Nugent, Z. J., & O'Brien, B. M. (2017). Integrating Diabetic Retinopathy Screening and Management into Primary Care. **The Journal for nurse practitioners : JNP**, 13(10), e493–e499.

Pitterman, S. Z., Garg, S. K., & Dupuis, L. L. (2019). Implementing a collaborative research network: lessons learned from the National Neuroimaging Cohort. **NeuroImage**, 184, 939-948.

Pritchard, E., Davies, B., & Smith, J. (2011). Ethical issues in collaborative research. **Nursing ethics,** 18(1), 116–127.

Rudnicka AR, Mt-Isa S, Owen CG, Cook DG, Ashby D. (2015). Variations in primary open-angle glaucoma prevalence by age, gender, and race: a Bayesian meta-analysis. **Investigative ophthalmology & visual science**, 56(10), 5254–5261.

Rudnicka **et al.,** 2015. Rudnicka AR, Mt-Isa S, Owen CG, Cook DG, Ashby D. Variations in primary open-angle glaucoma prevalence by age, gender, and race: a Bayesian meta-analysis. **Investigative ophthalmology & visual science.** 2015;56(10):5254-5261.

Rudnicka, A. R., Mt-Isa, S., Owen, C. G., Cook, D. G., & Ashby, D. (2015). Variations in primary open-angle glaucoma prevalence by age, gender, and race: a Bayesian meta-analysis. **Investigative ophthalmology & visual science,** 56(10), 5254–5261.

Sobrin L, Seddon JM. (2014). Nature and nurture-environmental and genetic risk factors for age-related macular degeneration: an epidemiological review. **Progress in retinal and eye research**, 43, 33–49.

Sobrin, L., & Seddon, J. M. (2014). Nature and nurture-environmental and genetic risk factors for age-related macular degeneration: an epidemiological review. **Progress in retinal and eye research,** 43, 33–49.

Stein **et al.**, 2016. Stein JD, Kim DS, Niziol LM, Talwar N, Nan B, Musch DC, et al. Differences in rates of glaucoma progression among Asian, African, Hispanic, and white patients within an integrated health care system. **Ophthalmology**. 2016;123(4):758-766.

Stein JD, Kim DS, Niziol LM, Talwar N, Nan B, Musch DC, Samson CM, Lee PP, Gangnon RE, Lim MC, Lee PP, Gangnon RE, Lim MC. (2016). Differences in rates of glaucoma progression among Asian, African, Hispanic, and white patients within an integrated health care system. **Ophthalmology**, 123(4), 758–766.

Stein, J. D., Kim, D. S., Niziol, L. M., Talwar, N., Nan, B., Musch, D. C., ... Rich, W. (2016). Differences in rates of glaucoma progression among Asian, African, Hispanic, and white patients within an integrated health care system. **Ophthalmology**, 123(4), 758–766.

UKBEVC established in 2014 (Cunningham **et al**., 2020). The UKBEVC brings together over 100 researchers from ophthalmology, epidemiology, optometry, nursing and data science. Leveraging data and biosamples from the UK Biobank study, the consortium performs genetic and epidemiological analyses identifying risk factors for glaucoma, age-related macular degeneration and myopia (Rudnicka **et al**., 2015; Sobrin and Seddon, 2014; Verhoeven **et al.,** 2013).

Verhoeven **et al.,** 2013. Verhoeven VJ, Hysi PG, Wojciechowski R, Fan Q, Guggenheim JA, Höhn R, **et al.** Genome-wide meta-analyses of multiancestry cohorts identify multiple new susceptibility loci for refractive error and myopia. **Nature genetics.** 2013;45(3):314-318.

Verhoeven VJ, Hysi PG, Wojciechowski R, Fan Q, Guggenheim JA, Höhn R, Khor CC. (2013). Genome-wide meta-analyses of multiancestry cohorts identify multiple new susceptibility loci for refractive error and myopia. **Nature genetics,** 45(3), 314–318.

Verhoeven, V. J., Hysi, P. G., Wojciechowski, R., Fan, Q., Guggenheim, J. A., Höhn, R., ... Khor, C. C. (2013). Genome-wide meta-analyses of multiancestry cohorts identify multiple new susceptibility loci for refractive error and myopia. **Nature genetics,** 45(3), 314–318.

Viswanathan, M., Ammerman, A., Eng, E., Gartlehner, G., Lohr, K. N., Griffith, D., ... & Whitener, L. (2004). Community-based participatory research: assessing the evidence. **Evidence report/technology assessment**, (99), 1-8.

Wojciechowski R. Nature and nurture: the complex genetics of glaucoma. Current opinion in **ophthalmology**. 2011;22(2):106-115.

Wojciechowski, R. (2011). Nature and nurture: the complex genetics of glaucoma. **Current opinion in ophthalmology**, 22(2), 106–115.