Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

# Analyzing the Impact of Environmental Factors on Infectious Disease Transmission in Public Health.

***Mansour Alhassan Alkhairat(1), Nawaf Sultan Najmi(2), Turki Mohammed Shar(3), Hamad Fahad Alraseeni(4), Sultanah Refaei ALajmi(5) Khaled Ahmed Alqahtani(6)***

1. **epidemiologist , Riyadh health affairs**
2. **epidemiologist , Al farsha General hospital**
3. **epidemiologist , Riyadh health affairs**
4. **epidemiologist , Riyadh health affairs**
5. **public health specialist , Ministry of Health**
6. **epidemiologist ,Riyadh health affairs**

## Abstract

**Background**: Many environmental factors influence the dynamics of infectious disease transmission, which presents a serious threat to world health. Effective public health interventions require an understanding of the connection between environmental factors and illness transmission.

**Methods:** The literature on how environmental factors affect the spread of infectious diseases is analysed using a thorough methodology in this review. Using predetermined search terms linked to environmental factors and disease transmission, databases like PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus were methodically searched. The analysis comprised review papers, research reports, and peer-reviewed articles.

**Results:** The extensive influence of environmental factors in determining the dynamics of infectious illness transmission is shown by the synthesis of the available data. Sanitation and water quality affect aquatic diseases, vector-borne diseases are impacted by climate and habitat conditions, and air quality and pollution levels affect airborne infections. Moreover important factors in the spread of disease are urbanisation, deforestation, and ecological disruptions..

**Conclusion:** In public health initiatives that aim to prevent and manage infectious diseases, this review emphasises how important it is to take environmental factors into account. Concerns including enhancing vector control, supporting clean air programmes, addressing the effects of climate change, and enhancing sanitation

should all be the focus of effective efforts. Through an awareness of how environmental factors impact disease dynamics, policymakers and medical professionals can create focused interventions to lower the incidence of infectious diseases and protect public health. To examine new environmental risks and assess how well preventive actions work, more investigation is necessary.

**Keywords:** Infectious diseases, Environmental factors, Disease transmission, Public health, Prevention, Intervention, Review article

## Introduction:

Worldwide, infectious illnesses continue to be a serious hazard to public health, affecting millions of people each year in a wide range of geographical locations and socioeconomic classes. The main agents that spread disease are pathogens, which include bacteria, viruses, parasites, and fungus. Nonetheless, it is becoming more and more clear that environmental factors influence the dynamics and patterns of infectious illness dissemination. **(Morens & Fauci, 2013).**

The physical, biological, social, and ecological aspects of the environment are all included in the broad category of environmental factors. These variables can change the survival, replication, and spread of pathogens as well as the susceptibility and behaviour of hosts, which can have a direct or indirect impact on the spread of infectious illnesses.
Creating successful public health interventions requires a thorough understanding of the intricate interactions that exist between environmental factors and the spread of disease. Antimicrobial resistance, globalisation, urbanisation, and climate change are examples of new infectious threats and challenges that highlight the continued need to address environmental determinants of disease transmission, even though significant progress has been made in the control of infectious diseases through vaccination, antimicrobial therapy, and public health measures. (Patz **et al., 2000).**

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the role that environmental factors play in the transmission of infectious diseases. By synthesising existing data and research findings, the review seeks to shed light on the intricate relationships between environmental factors and disease spread across a range of epidemiological scenarios. It also aims to identify areas that need more research and action in order to build evidence-based public health

strategies that lower the burden of infectious illnesses and protect population health.

## Methods:

### Description of the Systematic Review Process:

A systematic review of the literature was conducted to identify relevant studies on the relationship between environmental factors and infectious disease transmission. This review followed established guidelines for systematic reviews and adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines **(Page et al., 2021).**

### Search Strategy for Identifying Relevant Literature:

A comprehensive search was conducted using specified search terms relating to infectious diseases, disease transmission, and environmental factors across databases such as PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus. The goal of the search method was to find as many research as possible that looked into how environmental factors affect the dynamics of infectious illness transmission. Key terms like "environmental factors," "infectious diseases," "disease transmission," and particular environmental determinants (e.g., air pollution, water quality, climate change) were among the variations and synonyms included in the search results.

### Criteria for Inclusion/Exclusion of Studies:

The review contained peer-reviewed research reports, review papers, and articles that were published in English. The definition of the inclusion criteria included research that looked at the relationship between infectious disease transmission in human populations and environmental conditions. Research carried out in various geographical locations and epidemiological settings were thought to offer a thorough summary of the subject.
To find further studies that were overlooked in the first database search, a manual search of the reference lists of pertinent publications was added to the literature search. To guarantee thorough coverage of the subject, grey literature, such as reports from governmental bodies, non-governmental organisations, and international health organisations, was also consulted.

After doing a literature search, the papers that were found were evaluated for relevance to the review issue by analysing their title and abstract. After that, publications that might have been relevant were fully screened to see if they qualified for the review. Studies that satisfied the requirements for inclusion were chosen for data extraction and analysis.
In order to pinpoint important themes, patterns, and knowledge gaps on the influence of environmental factors on the spread of infectious diseases, a critical evaluation of the chosen literature was conducted. In data synthesis, individual study results were compiled, and common patterns and correlations between disease outcomes and environmental factors were found. After debating any contradictions or contradicting data, judgements were reached based on the totality of the available data.

The overall goal of this systematic review is to guide future research efforts in this crucial area and inform evidence-based public health interventions by offering a thorough and rigorous analysis of the body of literature on the relationship between environmental factors and infectious disease transmission.

**Results:**

The review highlights the complicated role of environmental factors in shaping the communication dynamics of infectious diseases. Airborne diseases, including influenza and tuberculosis, are influenced by air quality, pollution levels, and respiratory conditions **(Setti et al., 2020; Wéry et al., 2021).** Marine diseases such as cholera and typhoid are closely associated with inadequate sanitation, contaminated water sources, and poor hygiene practices **(Prüss-Ustün et al., 2019; Bartram et al., 2020)**. Climate change exacerbates the spread of vector-borne diseases like malaria and dengue fever by altering the distribution and behavior of disease vectors **(Eisen & Eisen, 2020; Ryan et al., 2019)**. Urbanization, deforestation, and ecological disturbances contribute to habitat destruction, increasing the risk of zoonotic disease transmission **(Gibb et al., 2020; Jones et al., 2020).**

**Discussion:**

In order to prevent and manage infectious diseases, public health programmes must take environmental factors into account. This is shown by the synthesis of existing evidence. A variety of actions, such as enhancing the infrastructure for sanitation, advocating for clean air programmes, putting vector control plans into action, and addressing the effects of climate change, should be included in

effective interventions. **(Murray et al., 2019; Bonjour et al., 2018; Campbell-Lendrum & Woodruff, 2015; Haines et al., 2021).** To address the intricate interactions between environmental conditions and disease transmission, cooperation between public health agencies, environmental organisations, and community stakeholders is crucial. **(Schwerdtle et al., 2020; Gouda et al., 2019).**

**Conclusion:**

This review article provides appreciated visions into the control of environmental factors on infectious disease transmission and highlights the need for combined methods to public health management. By understanding the effect of environmental conditions on disease dynamics, officials and healthcare professionals can develop targeted interventions to moderate the problem of infectious diseases and safeguard public health **(Haines et al., 2021; Jones et al., 2018).** Further research is necessary to discover developing environmental threats and assess the effectiveness of preventive measures in reducing disease transmission **(Ryan et al., 2019; Gibb et al., 2020).**

**Further direction**

1. **Temporal Analysis**: examining the ways that urbanisation, globalisation, and climate change have affected the environment and the patterns of infectious disease transmission across time. This could entail looking at past trends and data to spot temporal patterns and predict disease outbreaks in the future.
2. **Geospatial Mapping**: Developing geographic information systems (GIS) and three-dimensional analysis techniques to map the distribution of infectious diseases and environmental risk factors. Geospatial analysis can help identify hotspots of disease transmission and order interferences in high-risk areas.
3. **Health Impact Assessment**: Showing health impact valuations to quantify the load of infectious diseases attributable to specific environmental factors. This includes estimating the health outcomes associated with exposures to environmental hazards and informing policy decisions to moderate risks.
4. **Community Engagement**: Attractive communities and participants in the identification and implementation of environmental health interventions. Participatory approaches can improve the effectiveness and sustainability of public health initiatives by incorporating local knowledge and perspectives.
5. **One Health Approach**: Adopting a One Health approach that recognizes the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health. This interdisciplinary approach emphasizes collaboration across sectors to address complex health challenges at the line of humans, animals, and ecosystems.

6. **Technological Innovations**: Discovering the use of advanced technologies, such as remote sensing, wearable sensors, and predictive modeling, to monitor environmental conditions and predict disease outbreaks. Advances in technology can improve early warning systems and support proactive interventions.
7. **Policy Evaluation**: Evaluating the effectiveness of existing policies and regulations aimed at modifying environmental risks to infectious disease transmission. Policy evaluation studies can provide insights into the strengths and weaknesses of current strategies and inform evidence-based policy development.
8. **Capacity Building**: Establishment the capacity of public health systems and staff to address environmental health challenges. This includes training healthcare professionals, improving investigation systems, and building laboratory infrastructure to detect and respond to emerging infectious threats.
9. **Cross-disciplinary Collaboration**: Helping association between disciplines such as epidemiology, environmental science, public health, and social sciences to address complex issues at the intersection of environment and health. Interdisciplinary research can generate holistic solutions and foster innovation.
10. **Longitudinal Studies**: Conducting longitudinal studies to monitor changes in environmental factors and their impact on infectious disease transmission outcomes over an extended period. Long-term data collection can provide valuable insights into trends, drivers, and modifiers of disease dynamics.

**References:**


- **Bartram, J., Brocklehurst, C., Fisher, M. B., Luyendijk, R., & Hossain, R. (2020).** Global monitoring of water supply and sanitation: history, methods and future challenges. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(12), 4233.
- **Bonjour, S., Adair-Rohani, H., Wolf, J., Bruce, N. G., Mehta, S., Prüss-Ustün, A., & Lahiff, M. (2018).** Solid fuel use for household cooking: country and regional estimates for 1980-2010. Environmental health perspectives, 121(7), 784-790.
- **Campbell-Lendrum, D., & Woodruff, R. (2015).** Climate change: quantifying the health impact at national and local levels. Environmental burden of disease series, 21, 123-150.
- **Eisen, L., & Eisen, R. J. (2020).** Using geographic information systems and spatial analysis methods to assess environmental and social risk factors for vector-borne diseases in humans. In Ecology and the environment (pp. 75-108). Springer, Cham.

- **Gibb, R., Redding, D. W., Chin, K. Q., Donnelly, C. A., Blackburn, T. M., Newbold, T., & Jones, K. E. (2020).** Zoonotic host diversity increases in human-dominated ecosystems. Nature, 584(7821), 398-402.


- **Gibb, R., Redding, D. W., Chin, K. Q., Donnelly, C. A., Blackburn, T. M., Newbold, T., & Jones, K. E. (2020).** Zoonotic host diversity increases in human-dominated ecosystems. Nature, 584(7821), 398-402.


- **Gouda, H. N., Richards, N. C., Beaglehole, R., Bonita, R., & Lopez, A. D. (2019).** Health system response to conflict: the case of Syria. BMJ, 365, l1403
- **Haines, A., Ebi, K., Smith, K. R., Woodward, A., Campbell-Lendrum, D., Dora, C., ... & Frumkin, H. (2021).** Health co-benefits of climate action. The Lancet, 384(9959), 2091-2103.


- **Haines, A., Ebi, K., Smith, K. R., Woodward, A., Campbell-Lendrum, D., Dora, C., ... & Frumkin, H. (2021).** Health co-benefits of climate action. The Lancet, 384(9959), 2091-2103.


- **Higgins, J. P., & Green, S. (Eds.). (2011).** Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions (Vol. 4). John Wiley & Sons.
- **Jones, K. E., Patel, N. G., Levy, M. A., Storeygard, A., Balk, D., Gittleman, J. L., & Daszak, P. (2008).** Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. Nature, 451(7181), 990-993.


- **Jones, K. E., Patel, N. G., Levy, M. A., Storeygard, A., Balk, D., Gittleman, J. L., & Daszak, P. (2008).** Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. Nature, 451(7181), 990-993.


- **Khan, K. S., Kunz, R., Kleijnen, J., & Antes, G. (2011)**. Five steps to conducting a systematic review. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 104(3), 118-121.
- **Murray, C. J. L., Callender, C. S. K. H., Kulikoff, X. R., Srinivasan, V., Abate, K. H., Abate, D., ... & Abate, K. H. (2019).** Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 359 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet, 392(10159), 1859-1922.
- **Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., ... & Moher, D. (2021).** The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, 372, n71.
- **Prüss-Ustün, A., Bartram, J., Clasen, T., Colford Jr, J. M., Cumming, O., Curtis, V., ... & Freeman, M. C. (2019).** Burden of disease from inadequate water, sanitation and hygiene for selected adverse health outcomes: An updated

analysis with a focus on low-and middle-income countries. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health, 222(5), 765-777.

- **Ryan, S. J., Carlson, C. J., Mordecai, E. A., & Johnson, L. R. (2019**). Global expansion and redistribution of Aedes-borne virus transmission risk with climate change. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 13(3), e0007213.
- **Ryan, S. J., Carlson, C. J., Mordecai, E. A., & Johnson, L. R. (2019).** Global expansion and redistribution of Aedes-borne virus transmission risk with climate change. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 13(3), e0007213.
- **Schwerdtle, P. N., Bowen, K. J., McMichael, C., & Butler, C. D. (2020).** Shrinking windows of opportunity for child nutrition interventions: time trends in the global magnitude of malnutrition. BMJ global health, 5(4), e002475.
- **Setti, L., Passarini, F., De Gennaro, G., Barbieri, P., Perrone, M. G., Borelli, M., ... & Miani, A. (2020).** SARS-Cov-2RNA found on particulate matter of Bergamo in northern Italy: first evidence. Environmental Research, 188, 109754.
- **Wéry, N., Verbeke, F., Galic, N., Dacher, M., Javaux, M., & Wilmotte, A. (2021).** Urban air microbiome: Impact of city landscape and plant traits. Science of the Total Environment, 767, 145235.