Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

RESEARCH ARTICLE
DOI: 10.53555/jptcp.v30i2.5331

EDUCATION ON WAYS TO COMMUNICATE EFFECTIVELY WITH THE PATIENT TO RELIEVE PAIN

Saeed Saleh Mohammed Zubaid[1*], Hassan Mohammed Hassan Alyami[2], Mana Salem Ali Almunajam[3], Abdullah Saleh Mohammed Al Zubaid[4], Abdullah Ali Mohammed Al Hutaylah[5], Abdullatif Saleh Alyami[6], Samir Ayed alrwuili[7], bander aish alhomyne[8], Majed Abdullah Hlil Al Juhni[9]

[1*]Healthy Assistant, Najran General Hospital
[2]Pharmacy technician, Public health Najran
[3]Lab Technician, Public Health Najran
[4]Healthy Assistant, Najran Maternity and Children's Hospital
[5]Pharmacy, New Najran General Hospital, aaalhutaylah@moh.gov.sa
[6]Administration hospitals, Environmental & occupational department Najran
[7]x-ray, Turaif General Hospital, Turaif
[8]Anesthesia Technician, Irada and Mental Health Complex in Taif, balhomyne@moh.gov.sa
[9]Pharmacy, King Fahad Hospital, Medina, maljuhni@moh.gov.sa

***Corresponding Author:** Saeed Saleh Mohammed Zubaid
*Healthy Assistant, Najran General Hospital

Abstract :
The treatment of patients experiencing both acute and chronic pain presents unique challenges. While it is challenging to objectively measure a patient's subjective experience of pain, common misunderstandings about pain medication may dissuade patients from receiving recommended medicine, both of which can lead to insufficient treatment for patients with acute pain. Furthermore, it may be impossible to return to your regular routine when your injury heals if you are ordered to rest in bed for an extended period of time. In contrast, chronic pain treatment involves much more challenges than dealing with an acute pain situation. The essential principles of this approach need to be operationalized to make it possible to generalise statistically the evidence that is to be calculated quantitatively and the huge samples that are to be used with the results. For this reason, the positivist theoretical framework can be accommodated inside the methodology because it integrates the process of theory evaluation. Treatment decisions are frequently misunderstood, leading to unwarranted or even dangerous measures. Those coping with chronic pain would benefit greatly from better understanding the perspectives and intentions of their physicians, which can only be achieved through open lines of communication between patients and medical staff. This publication supports previous suggestions by demonstrating the value of field testing SDM systems before starting a large-scale clinical trial. This study investigates how patients and healthcare providers view chronic pain and how they might best communicate with one another to alleviate it. Future tool developers may benefit from this study and the knowledge acquired from designing and testing technologies with the patient and doctor in mind. Despite the fact that more development and testing is required to fully assess PainAPP's impact on patients and physicians, the software is already showing promise.

Key words : Effective communication , reduce pain , the patient to reduce pain

Introduction:

Managing the pain of the estimated 25. 3 million adults in the United States can be challenging and discouraging.

Veterans may have an amplified perception of pain due to their experiences with violence and societal disadvantages. Pain relief is commonly sought from medical professionals. However, patients and clinicians sometimes have different perspectives, which makes pain talks difficult. Physicians have reported difficulty in prescribing opioids and putting faith in patients' pain reports, while patients may believe that their providers aren't listening to their concerns and are overprescribing drugs. Although this discrepancy is widely established, little is understood about the interpersonal aspects of conversations that patients view as conducive or detrimental to effective pain management (Tetteh et al ., 2021).

Healthcare professionals must rely on their patients' descriptions of their pain to understand how it affects their lives and how they respond to treatments because there are no objective assessments of pain. But patients' ability to communicate can be hampered by pain and its therapies. Suspicion may be directed towards people with chronic pain since discomfort might be fabricated for secondary gain (such as liability tort claims) or to get opioids that can be abused. The trust that is necessary for effective communication is further damaged by discrepancies in patients' and clinicians' assessments of the reasons of pain. When psychological therapies are suggested to patients who believe their pain is biologic, they could feel misunderstood or frustrated if no diagnosis has been made. Treatment limitations that are mandated (such as dose caps) may be attributed to the providers, fostering sentiments of mistrust. It is not unexpected that many people who suffer from chronic pain describe feeling ostracised, misunderstood, or like drug seekers, while healthcare professionals are irritated and overworked(Hadi et al., 2017).

Facilitating patients' ability to share their perspectives on their pain, as well as their hopes for and fears surrounding treatment, stands to increase physicians' ability to connect with and meet patients' needs, all of which should lead to more successful pain management. Patient satisfaction can be predicted with more accuracy by the quality of patientprovider communication than by reductions in reported pain. Patients evaluate their alternatives, interpret data, pick treatment, and respond to treatment based on their values, beliefs, and expectations, thus it's important to have a firm grasp on these factors. It is crucial for clinicians to have open lines of communication with patients regarding their treatment preferences and expectations because patient and provider expectations and goals for care are not always the same (Butow & Sharpe,2013).

There is a two-way street between provider encouragement and provider obstruction when it comes to patient participation. Partnership-building and supportive conversation between providers and patients opens up space for patients to express their concerns and share in the decision-making process. However, physicians rarely employ partnering or supportive communication, alert patients when a decision must be made, present all treatment alternatives, or encourage patients to utilise their preferences and goals as a basis for making decisions. Many patients are afraid to ask questions or voice concerns for fear of being labelled a "difficult patient," despite the fact that clinicians are more likely to provide information and assistance to patients who actively participate in the healing process. Patients typically have trouble applying their beliefs to health decisions, so it's not helpful to just ask for their preferences. Clinical tools are necessary because most clinicians are not taught how to involve patients in healthcare decisions (Matthias,2022).

It has been shown in clinical trials that patients benefit from shared decision-making (SDM) tools like decision aids because they learn more about their options, have fewer disagreements with their healthcare providers, are more actively engaged in making decisions, and are more likely to select treatments that align with their preferences and values. In contrast to other illnesses, decision aids for chronic musculoskeletal pain appear to just increase patients' understanding of therapy alternatives. Decisions over whether or not to have surgery have received the most attention. People suffering from chronic pain, for whom surgical surgery may no longer be an option, and whose pain is frequently

complicated in nature, and so needing multimodal therapy approaches, have little utility for these techniques (Sugai,2013).
Acute and chronic pain are equally common and painful. An estimated 3.2 million Americans suffer from chronic pain, with that number expected to rise to 5 million by 2050. Likewise, people with terminal illnesses frequently experience pain. According to a recent meta-analysis, individuals with advanced cancer (58%) and those undergoing anticancer treatment (44%-73%) both reported experiencing pain. More over a third of them reported moderate to severe discomfort (Butow,2013).

Problem Statement:
One of the most reliable indicators of a low quality of life is the presence of pain. The cost to Western economies of sick days, disability payments, and health care is very high. There is compelling evidence that the health-related quality of life and psychological status of individuals with persistent pain decline when there is a delay of more than six months between referral and access to therapy. This emphasizes the need of recommending and enforcing effective therapies for patients (Mubita,2020).
Problems arise when treating individuals with both acute and chronic pain. Acute pain patients may receive insufficient medication due to the difficulty in objectively measuring the patient's subjective experience of pain, while widespread misunderstandings about pain medication may discourage patients from accepting prescribed medication. In addition, if you're told to stay in bed and rest right away, you may not be able to go back into your regular routine as your injury heals, which is the best course of action (Mubita,2020). However, acute pain presents significantly fewer obstacles than does chronic pain management. Hence, the research questions are as follows:
1. What is the relationship between effective communication with the patient to reduce pain?
2. What are the difficulties faced by the patient to reduce pain?

Study Aim and Objectives:
The purpose of the study was to determine Effective communication with the patient to reduce pain.

Study Hypothesis:
There is a statistically significant relationship between effective communication with the patient and pain reduction. There is a statistically significant relationship between ways of coping with the difficulties facing the patient and reducing pain.

Literature Review:
Pain is a universal sign that can indicate a wide variety of medical problems. The fundamental objective of pain management is, of course, to cure the underlying medical condition that is producing the chronic pain. Even with effective care of the underlying condition, chronic pain may persist as a prominent symptom. Almost all of these individuals require treatment for their chronic pain, and opioid medication is frequently the method of choice. There are many problems associated with the use of chronic opioid therapy, including the risk of addiction and the frequency of unpleasant side effects. Medical professionals are educated to exercise caution when prescribing opioids for patients with persistent pain. Medical professionals are required to inform their patients on the risks and benefits of using opioids to treat chronic pain, and patients must be educated on the subject as well (Cravello,209).

The Problem of Pain Control:
There are a variety of obstacles that make pain management challenging. Overprescribing narcotic medicines is a concern for doctors, but it's not the only one they confront. The ongoing war on prescription medication usage by law enforcement agencies may pose a threat to access to pain treatment. It's possible that visits to a pain doctor and any necessary therapies will suddenly be excluded from coverage under restricted insurance policies. The situation is complicated by the fact that few clinicians are educated to manage pain and that most medical students only get a few

obligatory didactics on treating it. The Institute of Medicine has called for action from the government, medical groups, and insurance companies to transform pain management because of the moral imperative it presents (Hayes,2015).

How Effective Communication Can Relieve Pain:

It has been demonstrated that talking to patients can help them feel better, and that it can be just as beneficial as medication for treating chronic pain. Communication skills with patients go beyond knowing how to do an interview, in my opinion. When you tell a patient you believe their pain, the discussion over whether or not the pain is real often disappears. The emotional advantages of exhibiting compassion and understanding for a patient's suffering without becoming annoyed or intolerant can be great. Many people, in my opinion, experience discomfort for no apparent medical reason. The source of the discomfort is often not immediately apparent to the doctor. Both the doctor and the patient benefit from a sympathetic and attentive attitude. These abilities enable the doctor to see things from the patient's perspective and adjust care accordingly. Pain patients benefit greatly from having their voices heard and having a voice in treatment decisions when carers speak to them with compassion and empathy(Matthias,2020). However, there are obstacles that can prevent patients and doctors from communicating effectively. This is especially troublesome for patients with complex chronic pain diagnoses, as the rising expectations of clinical productivity, rising paperwork, and electronic medical records urge physicians to merely check the boxes on the screen. In a tough environment marked by decreasing clinical reimbursements and rising expenses, developing successful patientphysician communication calls for a significant investment of time and energy on both sides (Matthias,2020).

Practical Methods for Talking to an Affecting Patient:

Effective communication may also have a knock-on effect of saving time in the long run by improving patient adherence to treatment and so decreasing the frequency of follow-up phone calls and office visits. In order to better communicate with their patients, doctors should follow the Golden Rule and do the following:

- Be sure to reassure your hurting patients that you're there to help ease their suffering whenever you enter their room.
- Stay calm and compassionate.
- Share your emotions with the sufferer.
- Ask your patients to jot down any queries they have before their appointments. Using a structured set of questions, you and the patient can have a more in-depth discussion on matters that matter to both of you.

Patients might be provided with a question sheet as soon as they enter the office.

- Take up the cause of longer patient appointments so that more issues can be addressed at once. In order to facilitate patient-centered interviewing, shared decision-making, and enhanced communication between patients and doctors, increased visit duration is essential (Eccleston et al .,2020).

communication styles:

- ASSERTIVE

A person's actions that are simple and straightforward in their expression of their thoughts and feelings. Defending their own legal interests in a way that doesn't infringe on anyone else's is the person's first priority. What we see here is an open and forthright demonstration of one's values and principles. Respect for the other person is conveyed, but not necessarily for their actions.

- PASSIVE

Allowing another individual to violate your rights can be seen in two ways. It's possible, for starters, that the person will not utilise assertiveness when another person is making an intentional attempt to violate their rights. Second, one may unintentionally be violated by another due to a failure to adequately communicate one's emotions and wants. People who are passive might not speak up when

they need to, and as a result, they might be left feeling upset, uncomfortable, and even angry. It might be a waste of time and effort for a passive individual to avoid or tackle problems head-on.

- AGGRESSIVE

The act of standing up for one's rights in a way that causes the rights of others to be trampled upon. Aggressive behavior is not an expression of feelings or ideas but rather an attempt to humiliate, dominate, or put down the target. As opposed to merely criticizing the other person's actions, this is an attack on the person themselves. Patients can take charge of their health by learning how to better communicate with their carers. There is always room for improvement in one's relationship with one's health care team, even if that relationship is now satisfactory. In order to get the most out of your doctor's visits, you can choose one of two approaches to learning from this article (Cohen et al .,2020).

Previous studies:

Physiotherapists regularly interact with patients, their loved ones, and other members of the medical community. In primary care settings, physiotherapists work to alleviate patients' discomfort and boost their quality of life. Research has shown that a lack of effective communication can have far-reaching detrimental effects. However, there is scant research to back up the claim that effective communication might help alleviate pain in orthopaedic patients. An investigational programme for the perspectives of the orthopaedic patients among interview questionnaires for the inclusion of positive communication skills in the treatment session and its impact on relieving their pain was developed to analyse the efficacy of positive communication skills in relieving pain among orthopaedic patients undergoing physiotherapy at 20 Palestinian rehabilitation centres dispensers on several areas. Methods: This study used a non-experimental approach by collecting data from 96 orthopaedic patients (48 men and 48 women) across 20 centres in various Palestinian regions using self-report questionnaires that were approved by a panel of three academics from the physiotherapy department at the American University in Jerusalem.As shown by the results, regardless of the type of disease being treated, patients with orthopaedic conditions saw a significant reduction in pain levels when they integrated positive communication skills into their physiotherapy sessions (sig. = 0.00, p 0.05). Changes in pain intensity were not correlated with patient demographics, pain severity, or illness severity. All aspects of the instrument's validity and reliability were evaluated, and a Cronbach's Alpha of 0.732 was found for the entire instrument. Conclusion: Physiotherapists rely heavily on their interpersonal and communication abilities to alleviate their patients' discomfort during treatment. Based on our findings, effective communication between therapist and orthopaedic patient has a significant effect on pain management during physiotherapy. The outcomes demonstrate a good effect on the patient's mental and physical health, which are inextricably linked. However, the data showed no statistically significant differences across the samples when analysed by the independent factors (Amoudi et al .,2017).

Disabling low back pain has emerged as a major public health issue. There is a growing consensus among institutions to promote physical activity and a multidisciplinary approach, though often without elaborating on the details of how this should be done. The purpose of this research was to better define the therapeutic measures that should be done for individuals with chronic, subacute, or recurrent low back pain by identifying the challenges they face. Two quantitative surveys were developed in France with a total of 117 patients, and a qualitative survey was conducted using semi-directed interviews with four subject-matter experts. Results: Pain, functional limitations, anxiety, feelings of stigmatisation, work-related issues, sleep disturbance, bewilderment with the health care system, isolation, disruption of sexual life, and low self-esteem were all noted as concerns specific to low back pain. Physical activity, cognitive-behavioral therapies, psychological and socio-professional support, and an adapted pharmacological treatment are just some of the many therapeutic actions that can be jointly proposed by a patientfocused interdisciplinary team in response to the many domains affected by this pathology. Diet and sleep, for example, have shown promise, but they still require more research. Chronicity can be avoided if patients are given individualised support early on (Berthelot & Correia,2022).

When it comes to the impact on quality of life, pain ranks high on the list of most distressing cancer-related symptoms for both the patient and their loved ones. As a result, we set out to investigate the challenges faced by carers of cancer patients by comparing their perceptions of pain with those of patients themselves. Descriptive and cross-sectional methods were used for this study. Two hundred and twenty patients with cancer who were admitted to an oncology hospital and two hundred and twenty carers participated in this study, which took place in the chemotherapy unit and an adult oncology clinic. A questionnaire and VAS ("0 = no pain," "10 = severe pain") were used to compile the study's data. The cutoff for statistical significance was p 0.05. Patients and carers reported pain levels of 7.1 2.8 and 7.3 2.4, respectively, with exhaustion (p 0.05), loss of appetite (p > 0.05), and sleeplessness (p > 0.05) being the most prevalent pain-related issues in cancer patients. Cancer patients reported significantly (p 0.05) more unfavourable consequences of cancer pain on their job life, home life, and family relationships than their carers did. Fatigue was the top complaint among carers (cited by 56.8% of respondents). Proper pain management requires regular assessments of the patient's and the caregiver's pain levels and feedback on how to handle any painrelated issues that may arise. It's also important to remember that carers might endure emotional strain (Ovayolu& Sevinc,2015)

The difficulty of navigating pain management talks between patient and physician has a significant impact on the quality of care obtained. Due to the complexities, emotional nuances, and sensitivity of pain management, such conversations demand a high level of ability. Determine the viewpoints of patients on patient-centered care communication in the context of pain management talks. We performed semi-structured interviews (25–65 minutes) with patients about their pain assessment and management experiences. 36 patients (29 males and 7 females) from three Veteran Affairs facilities (29 males, 7 females). Pain severity varied from 0 to 10 on the "pain now" numeric rating scale report collected at the time of the interview, with participant ages ranging from 28 to 94. The constant comparison method was applied to the analysis of interview transcripts to generate mutually agreed upon themes. Participants identify the elements of patient-centered care communication as judgement, openness, listening, trust, preferences, solution-oriented, customization, and longevity. Patients view physician openness and trust as relationship drivers, hence strengthening positive, connected themes. The findings emphasize the significance of the patient-provider connection in patient-centered care and equip practitioners with patient-centered care communication skills, such as solution-oriented communications and communicating trust, particularly when dealing with patients about pain (Haverfield,2018).

The goal of this position statement is to help nurses make moral decisions as they strive to alleviate suffering for their patients. Optimal pain management is challenging, as seen by the national debate on the appropriate use of opioids. Opioids have a positive effect on treating acute pain and some forms of persistent pain, but they also come with serious hazards. Consequently, there is conflict between the nurse's duty to alleviate suffering and the obligation to protect the patient. While this text will touch on some broad themes related to pain treatment, it will not attempt to define or manage specific concepts such as suffering or drug tolerance, dependency, or addiction. While we acknowledge that the terms "integrative treatment" and "complementary alternative medicine" may also be used, "complementary health approaches" (CHA) will be used throughout. The list of sources provides further data (American Nurses Association,2018).

according to Col., 2020, An increasing number of people are suffering from chronic pain, and the condition is now recognised as a sickness in its own right. Since the experience of pain can only be fully comprehended from the patient's point of view, it is essential that patients and doctors have open lines of communication. Our goal was to create a user-friendly application that would facilitate better communication between patients and healthcare providers regarding chronic pain, and then test its viability in real-world settings before evaluating and potentially distributing it. We used nominal group technique meetings and card sorting with patients with chronic pain and experienced providers (n = 12) to identify and prioritise treatment goals for chronic pain, strategies to improve patient-provider communication about chronic pain, and facilitate implementation of the tool. The data from this study served as inspiration for the development of the PainAPP app. The feasibility, acceptability,

and preliminary impact of the tool were evaluated through usability and beta testing with patients (n = 38) and their clinicians. Patients, according to the preliminary research, felt disrespected and distrusted by their providers and so sought to change the doctors' negative views towards them, while providers concentrated on collecting data from the patients. Patient and provider feedback informed the development of the PainAPP, which includes features such as an evaluation of the patient's treatment preferences, an evaluation of the patient's functional abilities and pain, and the delivery of individualised education and a summary report for the patient to share with their provider. There were 38 patients and healthcare practitioners involved in the beta testing. More than half of PainAPP users reported showing their reports to their doctors. There was not a single category in which PainAPP was scored poorly by patients. Nearly all users trusted the material and claimed it helped them think about my treatment goals (94%), comprehend my chronic pain (82%), make the most of my next doctor's appointment (82%), and not desire to use opioids (73%), and all users would suggest it to others with chronic pain. Problems were found in the beta testing phase with providing timely delivery of the tool and summary report to patients and clinicians and with collecting provider feedback. While PainAPP shows promise, more tweaking and testing is required to determine its true impact on patients and healthcare professionals.

Knowledge, clinical practise, and interpersonal interaction all come together in the nursing profession. An individual's level of contentment and overall experience can be significantly impacted by the quality of nursing care they get. Quality healthcare delivery relies heavily on effective communication. Better pain management and a more positive mental health outlook are just two of the many benefits of open lines of communication between nurses and patients. The purpose of this research is to learn how nurses view the importance of communication in the treatment of burn pain. Eleven nurses from the Reconstructive Plastic Surgery and Burns Center in Ghana were interviewed using a qualitative design and purposive sampling. Researchers used semi-structured interviews with a guide to see how participants viewed the importance of nurse-patient communication in the treatment of burn pain. After conducting a thematic analysis, a number of common threads began to emerge. Some of the beneficial outcomes of nurse-patient communication include assisting patients with pain management, identifying patients' discomfort early on, and increasing patients' involvement in their care. Nurse-patient communication issues led to a patient who was less cooperative throughout care and who bore the discomfort of their treatment for longer than necessary. Effective communication between nurses and patients was shown to be hindered by language and time obstacles. The present research emphasises the importance of open communication for accurate pain evaluation and management in burn patients, a population in which pain is highly subjective. Therefore, it is crucial that nurses receive excellent communication training that places a premium on patient-centered communication (Tetteh et al .,2021).

Methodology:

In order to make it feasible to generalize statistically the evidence that is to be calculated quantitatively and the vast samples that are to be used with the results, the underlying principles of this method need to be operationalized. As a result, the methodology incorporates the process of theory evaluation, and as a result, it is compatible with the positivist theoretical framework.

Research Method:

For the purpose and objectives of this research the researcher applied quantitative method and the nature of the research requires choosing this method. The quantitative method uses sampling techniques to gather information from current and prospective customers and send out online surveys, questionnaires, etc., the results of which can be expressed in numerical form.

Research Instrument:

Questionnaires are systematic surveys or pre-defined sets of questions that are administered to respondents in order to create quantitative and/or qualitative data that can be analyzed and understood (Dewaele, 2018).

Sampling:
When combined with other, more sophisticated sampling methods, simple random sampling remains a useful, foundational tool. Therefore, some of workers in hospitals in KSA will include in the study since they will randomly selected to make up the research sample.

Data Collection:
This study used an online self-administered survey because of its adaptability and speed, as well as the fact that it served as a checkpoint to guarantee that all respondents could access the Internet.

Data Analysis:
In this study, the data from the questionnaire is analyzed by statistical analysis carried out with the SPSS program.

Result :

Table 1 :Physical activity and stamina

	Physical activity and stamina	
With the goal of being able to do more with less discomfort.	Mean	STD
	9.79	0.41
To feel less tired and to have greater energy and stamina overall.	9.79	0.56
To take things slowly, aim for a reasonable goal, pay attention to my body, and accept my own limitations.	9.71	0.45
To improve my current level of physical fitness (strength and flexibility) to the best of my abilities.	9.59	0.49

Table 2: Pain Management

	Pain Management	
I want to get to a place where pain isn't dictating my day.	Mean	STD
	9.79	0.41
To keep suffering to an acceptable minimum.	9.50	0.50
My goal is to acquire pain management skills.	9.29	0.80
In order to alleviate my nighttime pain [and so improve my sleep]	8.21	0.77

Table 3 :Medication management

	Medication management	
reduce or get off my painkillers.	Mean	STD
	8.71	1.10
The current dose of painkillers must be preserved.	8.29	1.03
I wish I could get my hands on enough painkillers to really help.	8.57	1.24
To provide complete pain relief despite potential risks.	7.07	1.10

Table 4 :For you to effectively manage your patients' chronic pain, what are the most important elements of this instrument that we must incorporate?

For you to effectively manage your patients' chronic pain, what are the most important elements of this instrument that we must incorporate?		
It is important to inform the patient about the efficacy of CBT, ACT, and the emotional aspects of pain.	Mean	STD
	9.36	0.61
You should tell the patient that just because they are in pain doesn't mean they will automatically get a prescription for a painkiller.	9.36	0.81
Instruments for detecting opioid and/or drug abuse.	9.21	1.01
minimally tax service providers.	9.00	0.76
Help the patient out by having them sign a release so you can access their medical history before you see them.	9.59	0.49

Discussion :
Patients with chronic pain did not feel respected or trusted by their doctors, two key components of good communication, as seen by the techniques patients prioritised to improve patient-provider communication. Care givers' prioritisation of methods reveals the difficulty they have with collecting

patient data. Providers were busy collecting data about their patients while patients worked to change doctors' perceptions of those suffering from chronic pain. Although many patient-identified treatment goals addressed complicated nonbiologic constructs like maintaining autonomy, slowing down when necessary, dealing with negative emotions, and finding cost-effective care, providers tended to focus on gathering biological rather than psychosocial data. Helping clinicians with data collection may indirectly enhance SDM by freeing up time to address the patient's preferences and concerns, even though few of the communication tactics preferred by either patients or physicians corresponded to core features of SDM.

Patients cited "having a clinician who understood their pain and limits" as the single most significant aspect of their treatment. Patients with chronic pain did not feel respected or trusted by their doctors, two key factors in effective communication, as seen by the techniques patients prioritised to improve patient-provider communication. Providers' prioritisation of methods reveals the difficulty they have with collecting data about their patients. Patients aimed to change doctors' negative views of those suffering from chronic pain, while doctors were more concerned with collecting data on their patients. Despite the fact that many patient-identified treatment goals addressed complicated nonbiologic aspects like maintaining independence, slowing down, regulating emotions, and accessing cheap medications, providers prioritised gathering biologic data over psychological data. Helping clinicians with data collection may indirectly enhance SDM by freeing up time to discuss the patient's preferences and concerns, even though few of the communication tactics preferred by patients or providers corresponded to core features of SDM.

Initial feedback indicates that the Pain APP has been well-received by patients, is easy to use, and may be of benefit to patients regardless of whether they choose to share their results with their clinicians. Positive feedback was provided immediately following tool use, however feedback received after a provider visit was more variable. More extensive testing is required, but PainAPP shows promise as a useful tool.

It is common for doctors to misinterpret their patients' wishes regarding their care, leading to interventions that are unneeded or even dangerous. Communicating effectively between patients and clinicians is essential for improving the lives of persons living with chronic pain, as both parties need to be aware of the other's perspectives and goals. In line with prior recommendations, this publication shows the usefulness of beta-testing SDM systems in real-world contexts before doing extensive clinical testing. The purpose of this research was to better understand the treatment goals of patients with chronic pain and the communication tactics that could be used to enhance their talks with their physicians. Learned lessons regarding creating and testing tools with a focus on patients and clinicians, along with these results, could be instructive for future tool creators. Although more tweaking and testing is required to determine the full extent of PainAPP's influence on patients and clinicians, the app looks to be usable in its current form.

PainAPP's incorporation into clinical workflow and the reduction of associated challenges should be aided by its embedding into the EHR. Appointment booking might initiate dissemination, with the summary page incorporated in the patient's record for easy point-of-care sharing. With more support, PainAPP could be integrated into EHRs either completely or in parts. In addition, it has the potential to be tailored to specific clinical practises or situations and linked to quality improvement indicators. In addition, PainAPP could be rewritten as a mobile application. However, adapting a complex interactive tool like PainAPP into an electronic health record (EHR) or mobile application would be a huge task fraught with opportunities for human mistake. If an EHR cannot be integrated with clinical workflow, then PainAPP (or a shortened version of PainAPP) should be given to patients 1-2 weeks prior to appointments, and the summary report should be automatically delivered to the practitioner. The PainAPP summary could be utilised in place of the time-consuming and often-confusing paper medical history form typically used in waiting rooms.

Conclusion

Misunderstanding a patient's treatment choices is common, and it often leads to interventions that are unnecessary or even harmful. Good communication between patients and clinicians is essential for

improving the lives of persons living with chronic pain, as both parties need to be aware of the other's perspectives and goals. In line with prior recommendations, this publication shows why it's important to test SDM technologies in real-world settings before launching a large-scale clinical trial. Patient and provider perspectives on chronic pain and effective communication strategies to achieve those goals are explored in this research. This research, together with the insights gained through building and testing tools with the patient and physician in mind, could be helpful for future tool creators. Even while further tweaking and testing is needed to determine the full extent of PainAPP's influence on patients and clinicians, the software already shows promise as a useful tool.

Anxiety is a serious problem since it worsens the perception of pain and can be treated with drugs and psychotherapy. Pain has traditionally been viewed as an unavoidable element of surgical intervention, but recent research has revealed a dynamic and complicated neural system that can change in reaction to prolonged pain, perhaps causing long-term damage. It is the goal of many professionals to provide effective pain management during surgery, but ineffective care can be hampered by incorrect beliefs, assumptions, and communication among perioperative staff. The structure in which this care is administered extends beyond the immediate perioperative setting. Quality pain management may be hampered or even prevented by local restrictions and practises. Medical professionals may feel helpless and resigned to the current state of affairs. There is more to effective pain management than just knowledge and clinical expertise. Practitioners need to be constantly inquiring, communicating, and recognising the broader organisational context within which care is delivered.

Reference :

1. Haverfield, M. C., Giannitrapani, K., Timko, C., & Lorenz, K. (2018). Patient-centered pain management communication from the patient perspective. *Journal of general internal medicine*, *33*(8), 1374-1380.
2. Col, N., Hull, S., Springmann, V., Ngo, L., Merritt, E., Gold, S., ... & Pbert, L. (2020). Improving patient-provider communication about chronic pain: development and feasibility testing of a shared decision-making tool. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, *20*(1), 1-18.
3. Tetteh, L., Aziato, L., Mensah, G. P., Vehviläinen-Julkunen, K., & Kwegyir-Afful, E. (2021). Burns pain management: The role of nurse–patient communication. *Burns*, *47*(6), 1416-1423.
4. Butow, P., & Sharpe, L. (2013). The impact of communication on adherence in pain management. *PAIN®*, *154*, S101S107.
5. Hadi, M. A., Alldred, D. P., Briggs, M., Marczewski, K., & Closs, S. J. (2017). 'Treated as a number, not treated as a person': a qualitative exploration of the perceived barriers to effective pain management of patients with chronic pain. *BMJ open*, *7*(6), e016454.
6. Mubita, W. M., Richardson, C., & Briggs, M. (2020). Patient satisfaction with pain relief following major abdominal surgery is influenced by good communication, pain relief and empathic caring: a qualitative interview study. *British journal of pain*, *14*(1), 14-22.
7. Hayes, K., & Gordon, D. B. (2015). Delivering quality pain management: the challenge for nurses. *AORN journal*, *101*(3), 327-337.
8. Matthias, M. S., Adams, J., Burgess, D. J., Daggy, J., Eliacin, J., Flores, P., ... & Bair, M. J. (2022). Communication and Activation in Pain to Enhance Relationships and Treat Pain with Equity (COOPERATE): Rationale, study design, methods, and sample characteristics. *Contemporary* Clinical Trials, 118, 106790.
9. Dewaele, J. M. (2018). Online questionnaires. In The Palgrave handbook of applied linguistics research methodology (pp. 269-286). Palgrave Macmillan, London.
10. Cravello, L., Di Santo, S., Varrassi, G., Benincasa, D., Marchettini, P., de Tommaso, M., ... & Caltagirone, C. (2019). Chronic pain in the elderly with cognitive decline: a narrative review. *Pain and therapy*, *8*(1), 53-65.
11. Cohen, S. P., Baber, Z. B., Buvanendran, A., McLean, B. C., Chen, Y., Hooten, W. M., ... & Phillips, C. R. (2020). Pain management best practices from multispecialty organizations during the COVID-19 pandemic and public health crises. *Pain Medicine*, *21*(7), 1331-1346.

12. Eccleston, C., Blyth, F. M., Dear, B. F., Fisher, E. A., Keefe, F. J., Lynch, M. E., ... & de C Williams, A. C. (2020). Managing patients with chronic pain during the COVID-19 outbreak: considerations for the rapid introduction of remotely supported (eHealth) pain management services. *Pain*, *161*(5), 889.
13. Sugai, D. Y., Deptula, P. L., Parsa, A. A., & Parsa, F. D. (2013). The importance of communication in the management of postoperative pain. *Hawai'i journal of medicine & public health*, *72*(6), 180.
14. Haverfield, M. C., Giannitrapani, K., Timko, C., & Lorenz, K. (2018). Patient-centered pain management communication from the patient perspective. *Journal of general internal medicine*, *33*(8), 1374-1380.
15. American Nurses Association. (2018). The ethical responsibility to manage pain and the suffering it causes. *ANA Position Statement. Silver Spring, MD: American Nurses Association*.
16. Tetteh, L., Aziato, L., Mensah, G. P., Vehviläinen-Julkunen, K., & Kwegyir-Afful, E. (2021). Burns pain management: The role of nurse–patient communication. *Burns*, *47*(6), 1416-1423.
17. Amoudi, M., Anabtawi, R., Bzoor, A., Keelani, S., & Hassan, W. A. (2017). The effectiveness of positive communication skills in reducing pain during physiotherapy session: A Quantitative result from questionnaire surveys of Palestinian orthopaedic patients. *Universal Journal of Public Health*, *5*(1), 17-24.
18. Berthelot, M., Rieker, A., & Correia, J. (2022). The difficulties experienced by patients with low back pain in France: a mixed methods study. *Journal of medical research and health sciences*, *5*(6), 2039-2048.
19. Ovayolu, Ö., Ovayolu, N., Aytaç, S., Serçe, S., & Sevinc, A. (2015). Pain in cancer patients: pain assessment by patients and family caregivers and problems experienced by caregivers. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, *23*(7), 1857-1864. Butow, P., & Sharpe, L. (2013). The impact of communication on adherence in pain management. *PAIN®*, *154*, S101S107.
20. Matthias, M. S., Adams, J., Burgess, D. J., Daggy, J., Eliacin, J., Flores, P., ... & Bair, M. J. (2022). Communication and Activation in Pain to Enhance Relationships and Treat Pain with Equity (COOPERATE): Rationale, study design, methods, and sample characteristics. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*, *118*, 106790.