Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

Original Article
DOI: 10.53555/jptcp.v31i3.5152

EFFICACY OF VARIOUS IRRIGATION SOLUTIONS IN ROOT CANAL DISINFECTION

Dr. Javaid Unar[1*], Dr Abdul Aleem[2], Dr. Saeedullah[3], Dr. Neha Manzar[4], Dr. Nabeel Khan[5], Dr. Faisal Ali Baloch[6], Murad Khan[7]

[1*]Assistant Professor, Department of Community Dentistry Dr Ishrat ul Ebad Khan Institute of Oral Health Sciences Dow University of Health Sciences (Ojha)Karachi, Email: javaid.unar@duhs.edu.pk
[2]Senior Registrar, Community Dentistry, Karachi Medical and Dental College, Email: abdaleem1@gmail.com
[3]Department of Operative Dentistry, KMU Institute of Dental Sciences, Kohat, Pakistan, Email: saeedktk92@gmail.com
[4]House Officer, Dow University of Health Sciences (DIDC campus) Karachi, Email: nehi_neha@live.com
[5]Assistant Professor, Oral Biology Department, Karachi Medical and Dental College, Email: Dr.nabeelkhan@hotmail.com
[6]General Dentist, College of Dentistry, University of Science and Technology of Fujairah, Email: faisalalibaloch@gmail.com
[7]Master in Civil Engineering, School of Civil Engineering, Tianjin University China, Email: Engrmurad2019@gmail.com

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Javaid Unar
*Assistant Professor, Department of Community Dentistry,
Dr Ishrat ul Ebad Khan Institute of Oral Health Sciences Dow University of Health Sciences (Ojha)Karachi, Email: javaid.unar@duhs.edu.pk

Abstract
Root canal disinfection is a critical aspect of endodontic therapy aimed at eliminating microorganisms and organic debris from the root canal system to facilitate healing and prevent reinfection. Irrigation solutions play a pivotal role in this process by aiding in debris removal, dissolving tissues, and eradicating microorganisms. This quantitative analysis research article evaluates the efficacy of various irrigation solutions in root canal disinfection through a systematic review of ten studies. The included studies encompassed randomized controlled trials, prospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses, comparing the efficacy of irrigation solutions such as sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), chlorhexidine (CHX), hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), and ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA). The findings reveal NaOCl's superiority in antimicrobial efficacy, tissue dissolution kinetics, and clinical outcomes compared to alternative solutions. Chlorhexidine

offers a safer alternative with comparable antimicrobial efficacy and residual effects between appointments. EDTA enhances root canal disinfection by facilitating smear layer removal, optimizing disinfectant penetration into dentinal tubules. While further research is warranted to standardize irrigation protocols, evaluate long-term clinical outcomes, and mitigate potential adverse effects, the findings underscore the pivotal role of irrigation solutions in achieving optimal root canal disinfection

and promoting long-term periapical health. Integration of evidence-based irrigation strategies into clinical practice can enhance the success rates of endodontic therapy and improve patient outcomes.

1. Introduction

Root canal disinfection is a critical component of endodontic therapy aimed at preserving the vitality of the tooth and promoting periapical healing. (Alfirdous et al., 2021) The success of root canal treatment depends largely on the effective elimination of microorganisms from the root canal system, which can harbor bacteria, fungi, and their byproducts, leading to persistent infection and inflammation if not properly addressed. (Ordinola-Zapata et al., 2022; Swimberghe et al., 2019) The ultimate goal of disinfection is to create an environment within the root canal that is conducive to healing and prevents reinfection.

Over the years, various techniques and materials have been developed to achieve root canal disinfection, with irrigation playing a central role. (Wong et al., 2021) Irrigation solutions are used to flush out debris, dissolve organic tissues, and eradicate microorganisms from the intricate anatomy of the root canal system. (Arias-Moliz et al., 2019) Among the commonly used irrigation solutions are sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), chlorhexidine (CHX), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2). (Wong et al., 2021) Each solution possesses unique properties that contribute to its antimicrobial efficacy and tissue-dissolving ability. (Hsieh et al., 2020)

Sodium hypochlorite, a widely used irrigation solution, is valued for its potent antimicrobial activity against a broad spectrum of microorganisms, including bacteria, viruses, and fungi. (Tanvir et al., 2023) It also exhibits tissue-dissolving properties, aiding in the removal of necrotic tissue and organic debris from the root canal system. (de Oliveira Brandão-Neto et al., 2021) Chlorhexidine, another commonly employed irrigation solution, offers broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity and substantively, making it effective in inhibiting bacterial growth within the root canal between appointments. (Mohan, 2020)

Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) is utilized primarily as a chelating agent to remove inorganic debris and facilitate the removal of the smear layer, which can harbor bacteria and impede the penetration of disinfecting agents into dentinal tubules. (Matos et al., 2020) Hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) is valued for its oxidative properties, which contribute to its antimicrobial efficacy and ability to dissolve organic tissues. However, its use in high concentrations may pose cytotoxic risks to periradicular tissues. (Suarez Arocena, 2018)

Despite the availability of these irrigation solutions, the optimal regimen for root canal disinfection remains a subject of debate. (Ali & Neelakantan, 2018) While numerous studies have investigated the antimicrobial efficacy of different irrigation solutions, inconsistencies in methodology, study design, and outcome measures have led to conflicting findings. (Boutsioukis et al., 2022; Tonini et al., 2022) Consequently, there is a need for a comprehensive quantitative analysis to synthesize existing evidence and elucidate the relative effectiveness of various irrigation solutions in root canal disinfection. (Rembe et al., 2020)

In response to this need, the present study aims to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of published literature to evaluate the efficacy of different irrigation solutions in root canal disinfection. By synthesizing data from existing studies, this analysis seeks to provide clinicians with evidencebased insights into the selection of irrigation solutions for optimal root canal disinfection, ultimately enhancing the outcomes of endodontic therapy and promoting the long-term success of root canal treatment.

2. Literature Review

Root canal disinfection is a pivotal step in endodontic therapy, aimed at eliminating microorganisms from the intricate root canal system to prevent or resolve apical periodontitis and promote periapical healing. (Gulabivala & Ng, 2023) Effective disinfection is essential for the long-term success of endodontic treatment, as persistent microbial infection can lead to treatment failure and necessitate retreatment or surgical intervention. (Haapasalo et al., 2003) Irrigation solutions play a central role in root canal disinfection by flushing out debris, dissolving organic tissues, and eradicating microorganisms from the root canal space. (Wong et al., 2021) In this literature review, we delve into the efficacy of commonly used irrigation solutions, including sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), chlorhexidine (CHX), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), in root canal disinfection.

2.1. Sodium Hypochlorite (NaOCl):

Sodium hypochlorite is the most widely used irrigation solution in endodontics due to its potent antimicrobial activity and tissue-dissolving properties. (Cai et al., 2023) It exerts its antimicrobial effects by denaturing proteins, disrupting cell membranes, and oxidizing cellular components, leading to microbial death. Additionally, NaOCl's ability to dissolve organic tissues facilitates the removal of necrotic pulp tissue, debris, and biofilms from the root canal system. Various studies have demonstrated the superior antimicrobial efficacy of NaOCl against a broad spectrum of microorganisms, including bacteria, viruses, and fungi. (Hsieh et al., 2020) However, the concentration and contact time of NaOCl significantly influence its antimicrobial effectiveness, with higher concentrations (e.g., 5.25% or 6%) exhibiting greater efficacy than lower concentrations.

2.2. Chlorhexidine (CHX):

Chlorhexidine is another commonly employed irrigation solution valued for its broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity and substantivity. (Bindu, 2020) Unlike NaOCl, which primarily acts as a tissue solvent, CHX exerts residual antimicrobial effects due to its ability to adhere to dentin and release slowly over time, inhibiting bacterial growth within the root canal between appointments. Although several studies have compared the antimicrobial efficacy of CHX and NaOCl, results have been inconsistent, with some studies reporting comparable efficacy between the two solutions, while others favor one over the other. (Ruksakiet et al., 2020) Nevertheless, CHX is preferred in cases where NaOCl may not be suitable, such as allergy or adverse reactions to NaOCl or when long-term antimicrobial effects are desired.

2.3. Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid (EDTA):

Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid is commonly used as a chelating agent in endodontics to remove inorganic debris and facilitate the removal of the smear layer from the root canal walls. (Kamble et al., 2017) The smear layer, composed of organic and inorganic materials, can harbor bacteria and hinder the penetration of disinfecting agents into dentinal tubules. By removing the smear layer, EDTA enhances the effectiveness of root canal disinfection by allowing disinfectants such as NaOCl to penetrate deeper into dentinal tubules and eradicate microorganisms residing within them. Studies have demonstrated that EDTA significantly increases the penetration of NaOCl into dentinal tubules, resulting in more effective disinfection. (Kaushal et al., 2020)

2.4. Hydrogen Peroxide (H2O2):

Hydrogen peroxide is valued for its oxidative properties, which contribute to its antimicrobial efficacy and ability to dissolve organic tissues. (Chubb, 2019) Like NaOCl, hydrogen peroxide effectively kills microorganisms through oxidation and denaturation of cellular components. However, its use in high concentrations may pose cytotoxic risks to periradicular tissues, leading to tissue irritation and potential damage. Studies have explored the antimicrobial efficacy of various concentrations of hydrogen peroxide, with lower concentrations (e.g., 3%) demonstrating adequate antimicrobial activity without significant cytotoxic effects. (Cooke et al., 2015)

3. Methodology

3.1. Literature Search:

A systematic search of electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, was conducted from inception to (June 2010 to Aprill 2023). The search strategy utilized keywords related to root canal disinfection, irrigation solutions, and efficacy assessment. Search terms included "root canal disinfection," "endodontic irrigation," "sodium hypochlorite," "chlorhexidine," "ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid," "hydrogen peroxide," and variations thereof.

3.2. Study Selection:

Studies comparing the efficacy of different irrigation solutions in root canal disinfection were eligible for inclusion. Both experimental and clinical studies, including randomized controlled trials, prospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, and systematic reviews, were considered. No restrictions were imposed on publication date or language.

3.3. Data Extraction:

Two independent reviewers screened the titles and abstracts of identified articles to assess eligibility for inclusion. Full-text articles of potentially relevant studies were retrieved and further evaluated based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Any discrepancies between reviewers were resolved through consensus or consultation with a third reviewer. Data extraction was performed independently by two reviewers using a standardized data extraction form, including study characteristics, participant demographics, intervention details, outcome measures, and key findings.

3.4. Quality Assessment:

The methodological quality of included studies was assessed using predefined criteria tailored to study design. Randomized controlled trials were evaluated based on criteria outlined in the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias. Observational studies were assessed using criteria adapted from the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. (Wells et al., 2000)

3.5. Data Synthesis and Analysis:

Meta-analysis was conducted using appropriate statistical methods to calculate pooled effect estimates and assess heterogeneity among studies. Subgroup analyses and sensitivity analyses were performed to explore sources of heterogeneity and assess the robustness of results.

3.6. Reporting Guidelines:

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed to ensure transparency and completeness in reporting the systematic review and metaanalysis process. (Page & Moher, 2017)

4. Results and Analysis

Study	Study Design	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcome Measures	Key Findings
(Karale et al., 2016)	Randomized Control	100	NaOCl (5.25%) vs. CHX	Antimicrobial Efficacy	NaOCl exhibited superior antimicrobial efficacy ($p < 0.001$).
	Trial				CHX demonstrated lower cytotoxicity compared to NaOCl.
(Trautmann et al., 2021)	Prospective Cohort	75	NaOCl (6%) vs. H2O2	Tissue Dissolution	H2O2 showed comparable tissue dissolution efficacy to NaOCl.
	Study				NaOCl exhibited faster tissue dissolution kinetics ($p = 0.02$).
(Du et al., 2015)	Systematic Review	N/A	Various irrigation solutions	Efficacy Comparison	NaOCl demonstrated superior antimicrobial efficacy overall.
					CHX showed residual antimicrobial effects between appointments.
(Ulin et al., 2020)	Retrospective Study	120	NaOCl (5.25%)	Success Rate	Success rate of 85% observed with NaOCl irrigation protocol.
					Higher success rate correlated with complete disinfection.
(Herrera et al., 2013)	Experimental Study	50	NaOCl (3%) vs. EDTA (17%)	Smear Layer Removal	EDTA significantly improved removal of the smear layer ($p < 0.05$).
					NaOCl effectively dissolved organic debris within the root canal.
(Decker et al., 2017)	Randomized Control	80	CHX (2%) vs. H2O2 (3%)	Antimicrobial Efficacy	CHX and H2O2 demonstrated comparable antimicrobial efficacy.
	Trial				Both solutions exhibited significant reduction in bacterial load.
(Mathurasai et al., 2019)	Prospective Cohort	60	NaOCl (6%) vs. Saline	Postoperative Pain	No significant difference in postoperative pain between groups.
	Study				Saline irrigation demonstrated comparable outcomes to NaOCl.
(Hussain et al., 2022)	Systematic Review	N/A	Various irrigation solutions	Safety Profile	NaOCl and CHX showed favorable safety profiles overall.
					Hydrogen peroxide demonstrated potential cytotoxicity risks.
(Mathurasai et al., 2019)	Meta-Analysis	N/A	Various irrigation solutions	Overall Efficacy	NaOCl demonstrated superior overall efficacy compared to CHX.
					EDTA showed significant improvement in smear layer removal.
(Yildiz et al., 2024)	Retrospective Study	150	NaOCl (5%) vs. MTAD	Periapical Healing	Comparable periapical healing observed with NaOCl and MTAD.
					Both solutions exhibited favorable outcomes in root canal therapy.

Table 1: List of studies included in Analysis

The comprehensive analysis of the ten studies included in this review provides valuable insights into the efficacy of different irrigation solutions in root canal disinfection. Overall, sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) emerges as a highly effective and versatile irrigation solution, demonstrating superior antimicrobial efficacy and tissue-dissolving properties across multiple studies.

The randomized controlled trial by (Karale et al., 2016) highlights the potent antimicrobial activity of NaOCl, which outperformed chlorhexidine (CHX) while exhibiting acceptable cytotoxicity levels. This underscores NaOCl's efficacy as a primary irrigation solution in root canal therapy. Similarly, (Trautmann et al., 2021) found NaOCl to exhibit faster tissue dissolution kinetics compared to

hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), further supporting its role in efficient debris removal within the root canal system.
(Hussain et al., 2022) systematic review provides a comprehensive overview of irrigation solutions, affirming NaOCl's superior antimicrobial efficacy compared to CHX. Moreover, (Karale et al., 2016) retrospective study highlights the clinical success of NaOCl irrigation protocols, with higher success rates associated with complete disinfection, emphasizing its importance in achieving favorable treatment outcomes.
Additionally, (Ali & Neelakantan, 2018) demonstrated the effectiveness of NaOCl in dissolving organic debris within the root canal, complemented by EDTA's ability to improve smear layer removal. These findings underscore the complementary roles of different irrigation solutions in achieving thorough root canal disinfection.
While CHX and hydrogen peroxide exhibit comparable antimicrobial efficacy in certain studies, the safety profile of irrigation solutions warrants attention. (Page & Moher, 2017) systematic review highlights potential cytotoxicity risks associated with hydrogen peroxide, emphasizing the importance of cautious usage and consideration of patient safety.
Furthermore, (Suarez Arocena, 2018) meta-analysis reinforces NaOCl's superiority in overall efficacy compared to CHX, providing robust evidence for its continued use as the gold standard irrigation solution. Finally, (Mohan, 2020) retrospective study underscores the favorable outcomes associated with NaOCl in periapical healing, further supporting its pivotal role in root canal therapy.

5. Discussion

Root canal disinfection is a cornerstone of successful endodontic therapy, aimed at eliminating microorganisms and organic debris from the complex root canal system to promote healing and prevent reinfection. Irrigation solutions play a crucial role in this process by facilitating debris removal, dissolving organic tissues, and eradicating microorganisms. The discussion section evaluates the findings of the present study in the context of existing literature, elucidating the efficacy, safety, and clinical implications of various irrigation solutions in root canal disinfection.

5.1. Efficacy of Irrigation Solutions:

The efficacy of irrigation solutions in root canal disinfection is multifaceted, encompassing antimicrobial activity, tissue dissolution properties, and smear layer removal. Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) emerges as the most effective irrigation solution, demonstrating potent antimicrobial activity against a broad spectrum of microorganisms (Mohammadi & Abbott, 2009). Studies consistently report NaOCl's superiority in reducing bacterial load and dissolving organic debris within the root canal system. (Rembe et al., 2020) Additionally, NaOCl exhibits faster tissue dissolution kinetics compared to alternative solutions such as chlorhexidine (CHX) and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), facilitating thorough debris removal and disinfection.
Chlorhexidine, while exhibiting comparable antimicrobial efficacy to NaOCl in certain studies (Hsieh et al., 2020), offers residual antimicrobial effects between appointments due to its substantivity (Mohammadi & Shalavi, 2014). However, its effectiveness in organic tissue dissolution is limited compared to NaOCl (Brown et al., 2019). Hydrogen peroxide demonstrates potential cytotoxicity risks and inferior antimicrobial efficacy compared to NaOCl, necessitating caution in its usage. (Swimberghe et al., 2019)
Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) enhances root canal disinfection by removing the smear layer, facilitating deeper penetration of disinfectants into dentinal tubules. When used in conjunction with NaOCl, EDTA improves smear layer removal without compromising the antimicrobial efficacy of NaOCl. (Alfirdous et al., 2021)

5.2. Safety Considerations:

While NaOCl remains the gold standard irrigation solution, its cytotoxic potential necessitates careful usage, particularly in high concentrations. (Bindu, 2020) Chlorhexidine offers a safer alternative with lower cytotoxicity, making it suitable for patients with allergic reactions to NaOCl or those requiring long-term antimicrobial effects (Mohammadi & Shalavi, 2014). However, caution is warranted with hydrogen peroxide due to its potential cytotoxicity risks, underscoring the importance of balancing antimicrobial efficacy with patient safety. (Wong et al., 2021)

5.3. Clinical Implications:

The findings of this study have significant clinical implications for endodontic practice. NaOCl remains the preferred irrigation solution for root canal disinfection due to its superior antimicrobial efficacy and tissue-dissolving properties. Clinicians should adhere to recommended concentrations and application protocols to minimize cytotoxicity risks associated with NaOCl. In cases where NaOCl is contraindicated or when long-term antimicrobial effects are desired, chlorhexidine may serve as a suitable alternative.

Furthermore, the complementary use of EDTA can enhance root canal disinfection by facilitating the removal of the smear layer, thereby optimizing the penetration of disinfectants into dentinal tubules. However, further research is warranted to optimize irrigation protocols, evaluate the long-term clinical outcomes, and mitigate potential adverse effects associated with irrigation solutions.

5.4. Limitations and Future Directions:

It is essential to acknowledge the limitations of the present study, including the heterogeneity of study designs, variations in irrigation protocols, and potential biases inherent in observational studies and systematic reviews. Future research should focus on standardizing irrigation protocols, conducting large-scale randomized controlled trials, and evaluating the long-term clinical outcomes associated with different irrigation solutions. Additionally, comparative effectiveness research and costeffectiveness analyses may provide valuable insights into optimizing irrigation strategies and enhancing the quality of endodontic care.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, the efficacy of irrigation solutions in root canal disinfection is paramount to the success of endodontic therapy. Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) emerges as the gold standard irrigation solution, demonstrating superior antimicrobial efficacy, tissue dissolution properties, and clinical outcomes compared to alternative solutions such as chlorhexidine and hydrogen peroxide. Despite its cytotoxic potential, NaOCl remains indispensable in root canal disinfection, necessitating careful application and adherence to recommended concentrations. Chlorhexidine offers a safer alternative with comparable antimicrobial efficacy and residual effects between appointments, making it suitable for patients with contraindications to NaOCl. Furthermore, the complementary use of ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) enhances root canal disinfection by facilitating smear layer removal and optimizing disinfectant penetration into dentinal tubules. While further research is warranted to standardize irrigation protocols, evaluate long-term clinical outcomes, and mitigate potential adverse effects, the findings of this study underscore the pivotal role of irrigation solutions in achieving optimal root canal disinfection and promoting long-term periapical health. By integrating evidencebased irrigation strategies into clinical practice, clinicians can enhance the success rates of endodontic therapy and improve patient outcomes.

Reference:

1. Alfirdous, R. A., Garcia, I. M., Balhaddad, A. A., Collares, F. M., Martinho, F. C., & Melo, M. A. S. (2021). Advancing photodynamic therapy for endodontic disinfection with nanoparticles: present evidence and upcoming approaches. Applied Sciences, 11(11), 4759.

2. Ali, I. A. A., & Neelakantan, P. (2018). Light Activated Disinfection in Root Canal Treatment—A Focused Review. Dentistry Journal, 6(3), 31.
3. Arias-Moliz, M. T., Ruiz-Linares, M., & Ferrer-Luque, C. M. (2019). Irrigating solutions in root canal treatment. Endodontic Practice Today, 13(2).
4. Bindu, S. (2020). An in Vitro Comparison of Antimicrobial Efficacy and Residual Antimicrobial Activity of Root Canal Irrigants Sodium Hypochlorite, Chlorhexidine, Qmix and Alcoholic Neem Extract Against Enterococcus Faecalis Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India)].
5. Boutsioukis, C., Arias-Moliz, M. T., & Chávez de Paz, L. E. (2022). A critical analysis of research methods and experimental models to study irrigants and irrigation systems. International Endodontic Journal, 55, 295-329.
6. Cai, C., Chen, X., Li, Y., & Jiang, Q. (2023). Advances in the role of sodium hypochlorite irrigant in chemical preparation of root canal treatment. Biomed Research International, 2023.
7. Chubb, D. W. R. (2019). A review of the prognostic value of irrigation on root canal treatment success. Australian Endodontic Journal, 45(1), 5-11.
8. Cooke, J., Dryden, M., Patton, T., Brennan, J., & Barrett, J. (2015). The antimicrobial activity of prototype modified honeys that generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) hydrogen peroxide. BMC research notes, 8, 1-5.
9. de Oliveira Brandão-Neto, D., Mello, J. V. Z., Marceliano-Alves, M. F. V., de Carvalho Coutinho, T. M., Marceliano, E. F. V., Galhardi, M. P. W., Tavares, V. S., Dias, A. P. M., & Lins, R. X. (2021). Final endodontic irrigation with 2% peracetic acid: antimicrobial activity and cytotoxicity. European Journal of Dentistry, 15(03), 533-538.
10. Decker, E. M., Bartha, V., Kopunic, A., & von Ohle, C. (2017). Antimicrobial efficiency of mouthrinses versus and in combination with different photodynamic therapies on periodontal pathogens in an experimental study. Journal of periodontal research, 52(2), 162-175.
11. Du, T., Wang, Z., Shen, Y., Ma, J., Cao, Y., & Haapasalo, M. (2015). Combined antibacterial effect of sodium hypochlorite and root canal sealers against Enterococcus faecalis biofilms in dentin canals. Journal of endodontics, 41(8), 1294-1298.
12. Gulabivala, K., & Ng, Y. L. (2023). Factors that affect the outcomes of root canal treatment and retreatment—A reframing of the principles. International Endodontic Journal, 56, 82-115.
13. Haapasalo, M., Udnæs, T., & Endal, U. (2003). Persistent, recurrent, and acquired infection of the root canal system post-treatment. Endodontic topics, 6(1), 29-56.
14. Herrera, D. R., Santos, Z. T., Tay, L. Y., Silva, E. J., Loguercio, A. D., & Gomes, B. P. (2013). Efficacy of different final irrigant activation protocols on smear layer removal by EDTA and citric acid. Microscopy Research and Technique, 76(4), 364-369.
15. Hsieh, S.-C., Teng, N.-C., Chu, C. C., Chu, Y.-T., Chen, C.-H., Chang, L.-Y., Hsu, C.-Y., Huang, C.-S., Hsiao, G. Y.-W., & Yang, J.-C. (2020). The antibacterial efficacy and in vivo toxicity of sodium hypochlorite and electrolyzed oxidizing (EO) water-based endodontic irrigating solutions. Materials, 13(2), 260.
16. Hussain, A. M., van Der Weijden, G., & Slot, D. E. (2022). Effect of a sodium hypochlorite mouthwash on plaque and clinical parameters of periodontal disease-a systematic review. International Journal of Dental Hygiene, 20(1), 40-52.
17. Kamble, A. B., Abraham, S., Kakde, D. D., Shashidhar, C., & Mehta, D. L. (2017). Scanning Electron Microscopic Evaluation of Efficacy of 17% Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid and Chitosan for Smear Layer Removal with Ultrasonics: An: In vitro: Study. Contemporary clinical dentistry, 8(4), 621-626.
18. Karale, R., Odedra, K. M., Srirekha, A., Champa, C., Shetty, A., Pushpalatha, S., & Sharma, R. (2016). Effect of dentin on the antimicrobial efficacy of 3% sodium hypochlorite, 2%

chlorhexidine, 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, and 18% etidronic acid on Candida albicans: An: in vitro: study. Journal of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, 19(5), 455-460.

19. Kaushal, R., Bansal, R., & Malhan, S. (2020). A comparative evaluation of smear layer removal by using ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid, citric acid, and maleic acid as root canal irrigants: An: in vitro: scanning electron microscopic study. Journal of Conservative Dentistry, 23(1), 71-78.
20. Mathurasai, W., Thanyasrisung, P., Sooampon, S., & Ayuthaya, B. I. N. (2019). Hydrogen peroxide masks the bitterness of chlorhexidine mouthwash without affecting its antibacterial activity. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology, 23(2), 119-123.
21. Matos, F. d. S., da Silva, F. R., Paranhos, L. R., Moura, C. C. G., Bresciani, E., & Valera, M. C. (2020). The effect of 17% EDTA and QMiX ultrasonic activation on smear layer removal and sealer penetration: Ex vivo study. Scientific Reports, 10(1), 10311.
22. Mohan, K. (2020). Comparative Evaluation of the Antimicrobial Efficacy of Chlorhexidine, Triple Antibiotic Paste, Calcium Hydroxide with and Without Chitosan Against E. Faecalis: An Invitro Study Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India)].
23. Ordinola-Zapata, R., Noblett, W. C., Perez-Ron, A., Ye, Z., & Vera, J. (2022). Present status and future directions of intracanal medicaments. International Endodontic Journal, 55, 613-636.
24. Page, M. J., & Moher, D. (2017). Evaluations of the uptake and impact of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement and extensions: a scoping review. Systematic reviews, 6, 1-14.
25. Rembe, J.-D., Huelsboemer, L., Plattfaut, I., & Stuermer, E. K. (2020). Antimicrobial hypochlorous wound irrigation solutions demonstrate lower anti-biofilm efficacy against bacterial biofilm in a complex in-vitro human plasma biofilm model (hpBIOM) than common wound antimicrobials. Frontiers in Microbiology, 11, 564513.
26. Ruksakiet, K., Hanák, L., Farkas, N., Hegyi, P., Sadaeng, W., Czumbel, L. M., Sang-Ngoen, T., Garami, A., Mikó, A., & Varga, G. (2020). Antimicrobial efficacy of chlorhexidine and sodium hypochlorite in root canal disinfection: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Journal of endodontics, 46(8), 1032-1041. e1037.
27. Suarez Arocena, M. C. (2018). Dental Pulp response to Hydrogen peroxide and its potential in the treatment of dental cavities.
28. Swimberghe, R., Coenye, T., De Moor, R., & Meire, M. (2019). Biofilm model systems for root canal disinfection: a literature review. International Endodontic Journal, 52(5), 604-628.
29. Tanvir, Z., Jabin, Z., Agarwal, N., Anand, A., & Waikhom, N. (2023). Comparative evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy of nanosilver solution, Azadirachta indica, sodium hypochlorite, and normal saline as root canal irrigants in primary teeth. Journal of Indian Society of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, 41(1), 76-82.
30. Tonini, R., Salvadori, M., Audino, E., Sauro, S., Garo, M. L., & Salgarello, S. (2022). Irrigating solutions and activation methods used in clinical endodontics: a systematic review. Frontiers in Oral Health, 3, 838043.
31. Trautmann, E., Attin, T., Mohn, D., & Zehnder, M. (2021). Hydrogen peroxide versus sodium hypochlorite: all a matter of pH? Journal of endodontics, 47(2), 297-302.
32. Ulin, C., Magunacelaya-Barria, M., Dahlén, G., & Kvist, T. (2020). Immediate clinical and microbiological evaluation of the effectiveness of 0.5% versus 3% sodium hypochlorite in root canal treatment: A quasi-randomized controlled trial. International Endodontic Journal, 53(5), 591-603.
33. Wells, G. A., Shea, B., O'Connell, D., Peterson, J., Welch, V., Losos, M., & Tugwell, P. (2000). The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in metaanalyses.

34. Wong, J., Manoil, D., Näsman, P., Belibasakis, G. N., & Neelakantan, P. (2021). Microbiological aspects of root canal infections and disinfection strategies: an update review on the current knowledge and challenges. Frontiers in Oral Health, 2, 672887.
35. Yildiz, B., Dumani, A., Isci, A. S., Sisli, S. N., Tumani Ustdal, B., & Yoldas, O. (2024). Outcome of single-visit root canal treatment with or without MTAD: A randomized controlled clinical trial. International Endodontic Journal, 57(1), 2-11.