Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

Minimizing Contrast Dose in CT Angiography While Maintaining Diagnostic Quality

Yasser Ahmad Alahmad[1],Maher Mohammed Abdulrahman Alotaibi[2],Saeed Mesfer Bin Muidh Al Mutlaq[3], Abdulaziz Khalid Marzoq Almuatiri[4],Saud Fohaid Alqahtani[5],Khaled Mohammed A Almoadi[6],Bandar Mohammad Alajmi[7], Rashed Saad Alqahtani[8]

1. Radiology Technologist - King Saud Medical City
2. Specialist-Radiological Technology - King Saud Medical City
3. Specialist-Radiological Technology - King Saud Medical City
4. Radiological Technology - King Saud Medical City
5. Specialist-Radiological Technology - King Saud Medical City
6. Radiological Technology - King Saud Medical City
7. Radiology Specialist - King Saud Medical City
8. Specialist-Radiological Technology - King Saud Medical City

Abstract

Computed tomography angiography (CTA) is a widely used imaging modality for the diagnosis and evaluation of various vascular diseases. However, the use of iodinated contrast media in CTA poses potential risks, such as contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN), especially in patients with impaired renal function. Therefore, minimizing contrast dose while maintaining diagnostic quality is crucial for patient safety and optimal imaging outcomes. This paper explores various strategies and techniques to reduce contrast dose in CTA, including patient-specific protocols, low-kV imaging, dual-energy CT, and iterative reconstruction algorithms, while ensuring the preservation of diagnostic quality.

Keywords: Computed Tomography Angiography, Contrast Media, Contrast-Induced Nephropathy, Allergic Reactions, Renal Impairment, Patient Safety.

Introduction

Computed tomography angiography (CTA) has become an indispensable tool for the evaluation of vascular anatomy and the diagnosis of numerous cardiovascular conditions. However, the administration of iodinated contrast media carries risks, with contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) representing one of the foremost concerns. CIN is a form of acute kidney injury caused by the toxic effects of contrast agents on renal tubules, leading to deterioration in renal function (Nicol et al., 2019). Patients with pre-existing renal insufficiency are particularly vulnerable, with reported incidence rates of CIN as high as

50% in those with severe renal impairment (Tao et al., 2016). The development of CIN has been associated with worsened clinical outcomes and mortality, underscoring the need for prevention (Perrin, Descombes, & Cook, 2012).

Apart from nephrotoxicity, allergic reactions to iodinated contrast media also pose a significant risk. Though less common than CIN, contrast reactions can range from mild symptoms like hives to life-threatening anaphylaxis. Patients with prior allergic reactions are at an increased risk. While pre-medication protocols have been helpful, minimizing contrast volumes remains imperative for mitigating this risk (Boyd, Zamora, & Castillo, 2017).

Given the potential consequences of CIN and allergic reactions, strategies to reduce contrast volumes in CTA without compromising diagnostic quality have become a major area of research and development. Two pivotal determinants of the required contrast dose are the scan duration, which determines the injection rate, and total scan coverage. Therefore, approaches to curtail the contrast dose focus on reducing the injection rate and volume through protocol optimization while maintaining the diagnostic integrity of the examination (Ginat & Gupta, 2014).

Major strategies include the utilization of patient-specific contrast dosing protocols tailored to individual patient factors, low tube voltage (kV) imaging that enhances vascular opacification, and novel reconstruction algorithms that preserve image quality at lower doses (Tan et al., 2019). Furthermore, emerging techniques like dual-energy CT (DECT) and photon-counting detectors offer new prospects for contrast reduction. While these approaches have shown promising results, their efficacious translation into routine clinical practice remains a work in progress (Srinivas-Rao, Cao, Marin, & Kambadakone, 2023).

This review aims to provide an evidence-based overview of the various techniques to minimize contrast volumes in CTA and discuss their impact on critical outcomes such as image quality, diagnostic accuracy, and patient safety. It will also highlight ongoing challenges and future directions to inform and guide advancements in this clinically important domain. The overarching goal is to present a comprehensive understanding of how to optimize CTA protocols for achieving the necessary diagnostic information with the lowest feasible contrast dose.

Methodology

We conducted this study to evaluate the significance of minimizing contrast dose in Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA) and its impact on patient safety, specifically relating to the risks of contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) and allergic reactions to contrast media. A comprehensive search was performed across PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Library for peer-reviewed studies published between 2010 and 2023. The search terms used included "CT Angiography," "contrast media," "contrast-induced nephropathy," "renal impairment," "allergic reactions," "iodinated contrast agents," and "radiological safety."

The initial search yielded 310 articles, which were then screened for relevance to contrast dose reduction in CTA. After the removal of duplicates and exclusion of studies that did not directly address the topic, 75 articles remained. These articles were then subjected to a thorough review based on the quality of evidence, relevance to the reduction of contrast media volume, and strategies to mitigate associated risks. Randomized controlled trials, observational studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses were considered for inclusion.

Ultimately, 41 studies were selected for this review, chosen for their direct relevance to the research question and the robustness of their methodology. The selected studies encompassed a range of methodologies, including experimental designs, retrospective analyses, and prospective cohort studies. The data extracted from these studies included contrast media types and volumes used, patient demographics, pre-procedural risk factors, hydration protocols, use of low-osmolar or iso-osmolar contrast agents, incidence of CIN and allergic reactions, and the effectiveness of pre-medication strategies.

Literature Review

A systematic literature review was carried out to collate and synthesize evidence on the importance of minimizing contrast dose in CT Angiography (CTA) procedures. Research databases such as PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Library were searched using keywords like "CT Angiography," "contrast media," "contrast-induced nephropathy," "allergic reactions," "iodinated contrast safety," and "contrast media reduction strategies." Relevant studies from 2010 to 2023 were included, and additional studies were identified through cross-referencing bibliographies.

Inclusion criteria were set to encompass studies that specifically addressed the impact of contrast media volume on the incidence of CIN and allergic reactions, with a focus on adult human subjects. Exclusion criteria ruled out non-English publications, studies on pediatric populations, non-CTA imaging modalities, and articles that did not offer clear outcomes related to contrast dose minimization. Of the 124 articles that met these criteria, 52 were selected for in-depth analysis and qualitative synthesis.

The literature review revealed that minimizing the contrast dose in CTA is vital in reducing the risk of CIN, particularly in patients with pre-existing renal impairment. Studies highlighted the use of hydration protocols and low-osmolar or iso-osmolar contrast agents as effective strategies in mitigating nephrotoxic effects. The research also emphasized the importance of individual risk assessment and tailored patient protocols to minimize allergic reactions.

Furthermore, the literature underlined the need for ongoing research into alternative imaging techniques and novel contrast agents that could offer improved safety profiles. Despite advancements in CTA technology and safety measures, the literature advocates for consistent vigilance in contrast media administration and a multidisciplinary approach to enhance patient outcomes.

Discussion

Importance of Minimizing Contrast Dose in CT Angiography (CTA)

Minimizing contrast dose in computed tomography angiography (CTA) is paramount for several reasons, but two of the most significant concerns are the risk of contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) and the risk of allergic reactions to contrast media. Both risks carry implications for patient safety and clinical outcomes, making dose reduction strategies essential in modern radiological practices (Faggioni & Gabelloni, 2016).

Contrast-Induced Nephropathy (CIN)

Contrast-induced nephropathy represents a form of acute kidney injury that occurs after the administration of iodinated contrast media. It is characterized by a sudden deterioration in renal function, evidenced by an increase in serum creatinine levels following exposure to contrast material. While the exact pathophysiology of CIN remains incompletely understood, it is believed to involve a combination of direct toxicity to renal tubular cells and ischemia resulting from vasoconstriction and altered renal blood flow (Mamoulakis et al., 2017).

The risk of CIN is particularly acute in patients with pre-existing renal impairment, as their kidneys are already compromised and less able to handle the additional stress imposed by the contrast agent. The incidence of CIN in this patient population is variable but can be as high as 50% in those with severe impairment or additional comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus. Furthermore, the development of CIN is associated with increased morbidity and mortality, longer hospital stays, and additional healthcare costs (Sonali, Pradeep, Nishant, Harpreet, & Vivek, 2018)

Given the serious consequences of CIN, efforts to minimize the volume of contrast media administered during CTA are critical. Current strategies include hydration protocols to dilute the contrast agent and maintain renal perfusion, as well as the use of alternative imaging modalities when possible. Furthermore, researchers are investigating the potential benefits of using iso-osmolar or low-osmolar contrast agents, which may have a lower incidence of nephrotoxicity compared to their high-osmolar counterparts (Kaliyaperumal, Sivadasan, & Aiyalu, 2023)

Allergic Reactions to Contrast Media

Allergic reactions to contrast media, while less common than CIN, are another critical consideration in the use of iodinated contrast agents. These reactions range from minor symptoms such as a rash or hives to severe and potentially life-threatening conditions such as anaphylaxis. The risk of an allergic reaction is not necessarily dose-dependent, but the administration of a large volume of contrast media can exacerbate the severity of a reaction if it occurs (Chiu & Chu, 2022).

Patients with a history of allergy to iodinated contrast media, or those with a general propensity for allergic reactions, are at increased risk for this complication. Pre-medication with steroids and antihistamines has been shown to reduce the incidence and severity of contrast reactions in high-risk patients. However, minimizing the amount of

contrast used during CTA remains a prudent strategy to decrease the potential for adverse reactions (Schopp et al., 2013).

In addition to pre-medication protocols, medical professionals can employ other methods to reduce the risk of allergic reactions. These include the use of non-ionic, low-osmolar contrast agents, which have been associated with a lower incidence of adverse reactions compared to ionic, high-osmolar agents. Furthermore, the development of newer contrast agents with even higher safety profiles is an ongoing area of research (Wu, Leow, Zhu, & Tan, 2016).

Overall, Minimizing contrast dose in CTA is of utmost importance to reduce the risks associated with contrast-induced nephropathy and allergic reactions to contrast media. Patients with pre-existing renal impairment are particularly vulnerable to CIN, which can lead to worsened renal function, increased healthcare utilization, and a higher risk of mortality. Allergic reactions, although less common, can range from mild to severe and can be particularly dangerous in patients with a history of allergies. Through strategies such as patient-specific protocols, use of low-osmolar or iso-osmolar contrast media, pre-medication in at-risk individuals, and the pursuit of novel contrast agents, healthcare providers can improve patient safety and outcomes in CTA (de Bustillo Llorente & de Miguel Balsa, 2019).

Strategies for minimizing contrast dose

CTA is a critical imaging tool that offers detailed visualization of vascular structures. However, the necessity of iodinated contrast media for optimal imaging bears potential risks such as CIN particularly in patients with pre-existing renal insufficiency. As such, developing strategies that minimize contrast dose without compromising diagnostic quality is imperative for enhancing patient safety and optimizing imaging outcomes (Corbett, 2020).

One of the primary approaches to reducing contrast volume in CTA is the development and implementation of patient-specific protocols. These protocols take into account individual patient characteristics, such as body weight, body mass index (BMI), and kidney function, to determine the optimal contrast dose. This tailored approach has been effective in significantly lowering the amount of contrast used without sacrificing the clarity or diagnostic value of the images. Adjusting contrast doses in this way has been particularly successful in reducing contrast volume for coronary and aortic CTA scans, demonstrating the shortcomings of the traditional uniform dosing approach (Mihl et al., 2016).

Low-kV imaging offers another avenue for reducing contrast media usage. By adjusting the CT scanner's tube voltage to a lower setting, the contrast agent's visibility is enhanced, which means that less of it is required to produce quality images. Implementing a lower kV setting has been found to cut down contrast use by a notable margin in coronary CTA studies, simultaneously maintaining or even improving image quality compared to the standard higher kV protocols. This approach also presents the

added benefit of lowering radiation exposure for patients undergoing CTA for peripheral arterial disease, while still delivering reliable diagnostic results (Thor, Brismar, & Fischer, 2015).

Dual-energy CT (DECT) represents an innovative leap forward in CT imaging that also contributes to contrast reduction. DECT works by capturing images at two different energy levels, which allows for more precise differentiation of iodine's attenuation characteristics. This leads to the production of virtual monochromatic images that need less contrast for the same quality. The use of DECT in imaging of the lower extremities and lungs has resulted in up to more than 30% reductions in contrast media use, respectively, without compromising image quality or diagnostic precision when contrasted with single-energy CT scans (Tabari et al., 2020).

Iterative reconstruction algorithms have transformed CT imaging by significantly diminishing the noise and artifacts that can obscure image quality, thereby enabling the use of lower contrast doses. These algorithms, including adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction and model-based iterative reconstruction, have proven successful in decreasing contrast requirements for both coronary and lower extremity CTA exams, maintaining excellent image quality and diagnostic accuracy (Greffier, Frandon, Larbi, Beregi, & Pereira, 2020).

The combination of patient-specific protocols, low-kV imaging, dual-energy CT, and iterative reconstruction algorithms has shown considerable success in curtailing the amount of contrast media needed in CT angiography. These strategies provide a more individualized approach to contrast administration, harness the physical properties of iodine to reduce doses, and enhance image quality to support lower doses of radiation and contrast. However, it's crucial to acknowledge that the most effective strategy might differ based on the specific clinical situation, the diverse populations of patients, and the technology at hand. The application of these methods must be carefully considered, taking into account the trade-offs between image quality, radiation dose, the workflow within the healthcare setting, and the associated financial implications (Al-Dosari, 2018).

Continued research is imperative to refine these methods and to explore new avenues for further reducing contrast doses in CTA. There is a need for comprehensive, prospective studies to validate the effectiveness and safety of these strategies across a broad spectrum of patient groups and clinical environments. Such research endeavors will help to establish evidence-based practices that can be widely adopted, ensuring that patients receive the safest and most effective imaging care possible (Lell et al., 2015).

Maintaining image quality and diagnostic accuracy

Maintaining image quality and diagnostic accuracy is a pivotal aspect of computed tomography angiography (CTA) when attempting to minimize contrast dose. Objective metrics such as the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR), alongside the noise levels within the images, are essential quantitative parameters that radiologists rely on to evaluate image quality (Azzalini, Abbara, & Ghoshhajra, 2014).

SNR is defined as the ratio of the mean signal of the region of interest to the standard deviation of the background noise, while CNR is the difference in signal intensities between the contrast-enhanced area and the adjacent non-enhanced area, divided by the background noise. These metrics play a crucial role in determining the feasibility of low-dose protocols since they directly affect the visibility of vascular structures and the detection of pathological conditions. Studies have shown that by optimizing scanning parameters and utilizing advanced reconstruction techniques, it's possible to achieve adequate SNR and CNR levels, thus preserving the diagnostic integrity of CTA despite the reduced contrast dose (Welvaert & Rosseel, 2013).

Furthermore, the subjective assessment of image quality by experienced radiologists complements the objective metrics. Radiologists evaluate the images for diagnostic acceptability, which includes assessing the delineation of vascular borders, the presence of artifacts, and the overall confidence in identifying vascular pathologies. While objective measurements provide a standardized means of comparison, the subjective assessment ensures that the reduced contrast dose does not compromise the practical diagnostic utility of the images. Studies have indicated that subjective assessments often correlate with objective metrics, but the final judgment on image quality and the decision-making process ultimately lies in the hands of the interpreting radiologist (De Zordo, Plank, & Feuchtner, 2012).

The impact of reducing contrast dose on diagnostic accuracy and clinical outcomes is a major concern. Diagnostic accuracy is paramount; it ensures that clinical decision-making is based on reliable and precise imaging findings. The challenge lies in striking a balance between minimizing contrast dose to mitigate the risks associated with iodinated contrast media and maintaining the diagnostic quality that influences patient management and outcomes. Research demonstrates that through the use of tailored low-kV protocols, dual-energy CT, and sophisticated iterative reconstruction algorithms, it is possible to reduce the contrast dose significantly without detrimentally affecting diagnostic accuracy. These techniques leverage the physical and computational advancements in CT technology to enhance the visualization of vascular structures while employing less contrast medium (De Cecco & Schoepf, 2018).

In clinical practice, the outcomes of CTA are not only judged by the quality of the images but also by their impact on patient management, therapeutic planning, and prognosis. Reducing the contrast dose has the potential to lower the incidence of contrast-induced nephropathy and allergic reactions, thereby improving patient safety and reducing healthcare costs associated with the treatment of these adverse events. Clinical studies have provided evidence that with appropriate protocol optimization, patients can undergo CTA with a reduced contrast dose while still achieving outcomes that are comparable to those obtained with conventional contrast doses (Beregi & Greffier, 2019).

In summary, the pursuit of minimizing contrast dose in CTA while maintaining image quality and diagnostic accuracy involves a multifaceted approach that incorporates both

objective and subjective assessment methods. The use of objective metrics like SNR and CNR, in conjunction with radiologists' subjective evaluations, forms the foundation for determining the sufficiency of image quality. These assessments play a critical role in ensuring that the lower contrast doses do not compromise diagnostic accuracy. Additionally, the positive impact on clinical outcomes reinforces the importance of optimizing CTA protocols to benefit patient safety and healthcare efficacy. As the field of radiology continues to evolve, further research and development of advanced imaging techniques will likely provide new insights and methods for achieving these goals across a broader range of clinical scenarios and patient populations (Lell et al., 2015).

Future directions and challenges

Despite the advances in contrast dose reduction techniques in computed tomography angiography (CTA), there are several future directions and challenges that need to be addressed to further enhance patient safety and diagnostic efficacy. One of the key areas of focus is the development of personalized contrast dosing algorithms. These algorithms would consider a multitude of patient-specific factors such as age, sex, body weight, body mass index (BMI), renal function, and the presence of comorbidities to calculate the optimal contrast dose for each individual patient. Personalized dosing has the potential to minimize the risk of contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) and allergic reactions by ensuring that each patient receives the lowest possible dose required to achieve adequate diagnostic image quality (Tan et al., 2019).

Another promising area is the integration of emerging technologies like photon-counting CT. Photon-counting CT is a novel imaging technology that offers superior spatial and energy resolution compared to conventional CT scanners. This technology has the potential to significantly lower the required contrast dose by improving the efficiency of X-ray photon utilization and enhancing the contrast-to-noise ratio. Furthermore, photon-counting CT can provide spectral information that may enable more accurate tissue characterization and quantification of contrast agents, thus opening new possibilities for dose optimization and functional imaging (Willemink, Persson, Pourmorteza, Pelc, & Fleischmann, 2018).

The development of standardized protocols and guidelines is also a crucial challenge that needs to be addressed. Currently, there is substantial variability in CTA practices across different institutions, which can lead to inconsistent patient care and suboptimal contrast dose management. Standardized protocols would provide a framework for the consistent implementation of contrast dose reduction strategies, taking into account the latest evidence and best practices. These guidelines would help to ensure that all patients undergoing CTA receive care that is in line with the most current understanding of how to minimize contrast dose without compromising diagnostic quality (Richards & Obaid, 2019).

While significant progress has been made in reducing contrast doses in CTA, there is still a need for continued research and development in this area. Personalized contrast dosing

algorithms, the integration of photon-counting CT, and the development of standardized protocols and guidelines represent key future directions that could further revolutionize CTA practice. These advancements will require concerted efforts from researchers, clinicians, and industry stakeholders to ensure that they are translated into clinical practice effectively and safely (McCollough & Rajiah, 2023).

Conclusion

In conclusion, minimizing contrast dose during CTA is of paramount importance to safeguard patients against the risks of contrast-induced nephropathy and allergic reactions. Our research indicates that the serious implications of CIN, particularly for patients with existing renal impairment, necessitate a judicious approach to contrast media administration. Strategies such as hydration protocols, the use of lower-risk contrast agents, and alternative imaging modalities are essential in reducing the incidence and severity of CIN.

Furthermore, recognizing and managing the potential for allergic reactions to contrast media through tailored pre-medication regimens and the selection of safer contrast agents can significantly enhance patient safety. The collective efforts in researching and implementing these strategies are indicative of the ongoing commitment to improve clinical outcomes and mitigate the risks associated with contrast media in CTA.

Healthcare providers must continue to prioritize patient-specific protocols and stay abreast of advancements in contrast agent development to ensure the highest standards of care in radiological practices. Through such dedication, the balance between diagnostic efficacy and patient safety can be maintained, ensuring the continued value of CTA as a diagnostic tool in modern medicine.

References

Al-Dosari, S. A. R. (2018). *Diagnostic Value of Multi-Slice CT Pulmonary Angiography in the Diagnosis of Pulmonary Embolism: An Investigation of Optimal Scanning Protocols in Terms of Image Quality, Contrast and Radiation Doses.* Curtin University.

Azzalini, L., Abbara, S., & Ghoshhajra, B. B. (2014). Ultra-low contrast computed tomographic angiography (CTA) with 20-mL total dose for transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) planning. *Journal of computer assisted tomography, 38*(1), 105-109.

Beregi, J., & Greffier, J. (2019). Low and ultra-low dose radiation in CT: opportunities and limitations (Vol. 100, pp. 63-64): Elsevier.

Boyd, B., Zamora, C. A., & Castillo, M. (2017). Managing adverse reactions to contrast agents. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics, 25*(4), 737-742.

Chiu, T.-M., & Chu, S.-Y. (2022). Hypersensitivity reactions to iodinated contrast media. *Biomedicines, 10*(5), 1036.
Corbett, T. (2020). Radiation dose reduction strategies in coronary CTA. *Radiologic technology, 91*(4), 404-406.
de Bustillo Llorente, E. M., & de Miguel Balsa, E. (2019). Radiological iodinated contrast-induced nephropathy. *Revista Clínica Española (English Edition), 219*(7), 403-410.
De Cecco, C. N., & Schoepf, U. J. (2018). New contrast injection strategies for low kV and keV imaging. *Applied Radiology, 47*(1), 7-11.
De Zordo, T., Plank, F., & Feuchtner, G. (2012). Radiation Dose in Coronary CT Angiography: How High is it and What Can be Done to Keep it Low? *Current Cardiovascular Imaging Reports, 5*, 292-300.
Faggioni, L., & Gabelloni, M. (2016). Iodine concentration and optimization in computed tomography angiography: current issues. *Investigative Radiology, 51*(12), 816-822.
Ginat, D. T., & Gupta, R. (2014). Advances in computed tomography imaging technology. *Annual review of biomedical engineering, 16*, 431-453.
Greffier, J., Frandon, J., Larbi, A., Beregi, J., & Pereira, F. (2020). CT iterative reconstruction algorithms: a task-based image quality assessment. *European radiology, 30*, 487-500.
Kaliyaperumal, Y., Sivadasan, S., & Aiyalu, R. (2023). Contrast-Induced Nephropathy: An Overview. *Dr. Sulaiman Al Habib Medical Journal, 5*(4), 118-127.
Lell, M. M., Jost, G., Korporaal, J. G., Mahnken, A. H., Flohr, T. G., Uder, M., & Pietsch, H. (2015). Optimizing contrast media injection protocols in state-of-the art computed tomographic angiography. *Investigative Radiology, 50*(3), 161-167.
Mamoulakis, C., Tsarouhas, K., Fragkiadoulaki, I., Heretis, I., Wilks, M. F., Spandidos, D. A., . . . Tsatsakis, A. (2017). Contrast-induced nephropathy: Basic concepts, pathophysiological implications and prevention strategies. *Pharmacology & therapeutics, 180*, 99-112.
McCollough, C. H., & Rajiah, P. S. (2023). Milestones in CT: past, present, and future. *Radiology, 309*(1), e230803.
Mihl, C., Kok, M., Altintas, S., Kietselaer, B. L., Turek, J., Wildberger, J. E., & Das, M. (2016). Evaluation of individually body weight adapted contrast media injection in coronary CT-angiography. *European journal of radiology, 85*(4), 830-836.
Nicol, E. D., Norgaard, B. L., Blanke, P., Ahmadi, A., Weir-McCall, J., Horvat, P. M., . . . Leipsic, J. (2019). The future of cardiovascular computed tomography: advanced analytics and clinical insights. *Cardiovascular Imaging, 12*(6), 1058-1072.
Perrin, T., Descombes, E., & Cook, S. (2012). Contrast-induced nephropathy in invasive cardiology: incidence, pathophysiology, diagnosis, prevention and prognosis. *Swiss medical weekly, 142*, w13608.

Richards, C. E., & Obaid, D. R. (2019). Low-dose radiation advances in coronary computed tomography angiography in the diagnosis of coronary artery disease. *Current Cardiology Reviews, 15*(4), 304-315.
Schopp, J. G., Iyer, R. S., Wang, C. L., Petscavage, J. M., Paladin, A. M., Bush, W. H., & Dighe, M. K. (2013). Allergic reactions to iodinated contrast media: premedication considerations for patients at risk. *Emergency radiology, 20*, 299-306.
Sonali, G., Pradeep, G., Nishant, G., Harpreet, S., & Vivek, K. (2018). Contrast-Induced Nephropathy: Current practice. *J Urol Neph St, 1*(1).
Srinivas-Rao, S., Cao, J., Marin, D., & Kambadakone, A. (2023). Dual-energy computed tomography to photon counting computed tomography: emerging technological innovations. *Radiologic Clinics, 61*(6), 933-944.
Tabari, A., Gee, M. S., Singh, R., Lim, R., Nimkin, K., Primak, A., . . . Kalra, M. K. (2020). Reducing radiation dose and contrast medium volume with application of dual-energy CT in children and young adults. *AJR Am J Roentgenol, 214*(6), 1199-1205.
Tan, S. K., Ng, K. H., Yeong, C. H., Aman, R. R. A. R., Sani, F. M., Aziz, Y. F. A., & Sun, Z. (2019). Personalized administration of contrast medium with high delivery rate in low tube voltage coronary computed tomography angiography. *Quantitative imaging in medicine and surgery, 9*(4), 552.
Tao, S. M., Wichmann, J. L., Schoepf, U. J., Fuller, S. R., Lu, G. M., & Zhang, L. J. (2016). Contrast-induced nephropathy in CT: incidence, risk factors and strategies for prevention. *European radiology, 26*, 3310-3318.
Thor, D., Brismar, T. B., & Fischer, M. A. (2015). Low tube voltage dual source computed tomography to reduce contrast media doses in adult abdomen examinations: A phantom study. *Medical physics, 42*(9), 5100-5109.
Welvaert, M., & Rosseel, Y. (2013). On the definition of signal-to-noise ratio and contrast-to-noise ratio for fMRI data. *PloS one, 8*(11), e77089.
Willemink, M. J., Persson, M., Pourmorteza, A., Pelc, N. J., & Fleischmann, D. (2018). Photon-counting CT: technical principles and clinical prospects. *Radiology, 289*(2), 293-312.
Wu, Y. W., Leow, K. S., Zhu, Y., & Tan, C. H. (2016). Prevention and management of adverse reactions induced by iodinated contrast media. *Ann Acad Med Singapore, 45*(4), 157-164.