



DIET AS THERAPY: A CRITICAL REVIEW OF THE INTEGRATION OF MEDICAL NUTRITION IN CLINICAL PRACTICE

Salem Mesfer Alzamanan^{1*}; Masoud Marzouk Ali Zabid²; Faris Mohammed Alyami³; Hadi Hamad Mana Alkulfut⁴; Ali Ibrahim Muhammad Al Hindi⁵; Mohammad Saleh Alshahi⁶

^{1*}Ministry of Health; Email - samalzamanan@moh.gov.sa

²Ministry of Health; Email - Malyami119@moh.gov.sa

³Ministry of Health; Email - falyami28@moh.gov.sa

⁴Ministry of Health; Email - Hhalsalah@moh.gov.sa

⁵Ministry of Health; Email - aialalhindi@moh.gov.sa

⁶Ministry of Health; Email - mosalshahi@moh.gov.sa

***Corresponding Author:** Salem Mesfer Alzamanan

*Ministry of Health; Email - samalzamanan@moh.gov.sa

Abstract

Medical nutrition, an integral component of healthcare, leverages dietary strategies to prevent, manage, and treat diseases. Despite its historical roots, the efficacy and implementation of nutritional therapy in clinical practice remain contentious, necessitating a critical examination. This review scrutinizes the theoretical foundations, practical applications, controversies, and evidence-based outcomes associated with medical nutrition. It highlights the role of healthcare professionals in integrating dietary interventions, examines patient adherence challenges, and discusses the socio-economic factors influencing nutritional therapy. Through an analysis of recent research and clinical trials, the review assesses the scientific support for dietary treatments and identifies research gaps. Additionally, it explores emerging trends like personalized nutrition and the impact of technology on dietary compliance. The review concludes by considering practical strategies for incorporating nutritional therapy into routine care, emphasizing the need for continued research and education to fully realize the potential of diet as therapy in medical practice.

Keywords: Medical Nutrition, Dietary Therapy, Clinical Practice, Nutritional Interventions, Patient Adherence, Personalized Nutrition, Evidence-Based Outcomes, Healthcare Integration

1- Introduction

The integration of medical nutrition into clinical practice represents a crucial intersection between dietary habits and healthcare, underscoring the ancient adage often attributed to Hippocrates: "Let food be thy medicine and medicine be thy food." This philosophy underpins the contemporary field of medical nutrition, which seeks to utilize dietary strategies as a primary or adjunctive therapeutic modality in the prevention, management, and treatment of diseases. Despite its roots in antiquity, the application of nutritional therapy within modern medical settings remains a dynamic and sometimes debated domain, characterized by evolving evidence, interdisciplinary collaboration, and varying degrees of acceptance among healthcare professionals (Katz & Meller, 2014).

Medical nutrition encompasses a broad spectrum of interventions, from dietary modifications and nutrient supplementation to the management of enteral and parenteral nutrition in more severe cases. The premise is that tailored nutritional strategies can significantly influence patient outcomes, particularly in the context of chronic diseases such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and obesity, which are profoundly impacted by dietary factors (Estruch et al., 2018). However, the translation of nutritional science into effective clinical practice involves navigating complex challenges, including the variability of individual responses to dietary interventions, the need for personalized approaches, and barriers to patient adherence.

The role of healthcare professionals, particularly dietitians and nutritionists, is pivotal in this context. They bridge the gap between emerging nutritional science and patient care, ensuring that dietary recommendations are evidence-based, culturally sensitive, and tailored to individual needs (Slavin & Lloyd, 2012). Yet, the integration of medical nutrition into routine clinical practice is not without challenges. Healthcare providers may face barriers related to time constraints, insufficient training in nutritional science, and skepticism about the efficacy of dietary interventions compared to pharmacological treatments (Kris-Etherton et al., 2014).

Controversies also exist within the field of medical nutrition, stemming from debates over the best dietary patterns for disease prevention and management, the efficacy of specific nutrients or supplements, and the interpretation of nutritional research findings. These controversies are often fueled by the complexity of nutritional science, which is influenced by genetic, environmental, and lifestyle factors, making it difficult to establish one-size-fits-all guidelines (Mozaffarian & Forouhi, 2018).

Despite these challenges, the evidence supporting the role of diet in health and disease management is growing. Clinical trials and epidemiological studies have increasingly demonstrated the potential of dietary interventions to improve health outcomes, reduce the risk of chronic diseases, and enhance the quality of life (Rees et al., 2019). As such, medical nutrition is gaining recognition as a vital component of holistic patient care, prompting calls for greater integration of nutritional education in medical training and for healthcare systems to prioritize nutrition as a key aspect of patient management (Adams et al., 2015).

This review critically examines the current landscape of medical nutrition within clinical practice, exploring its theoretical foundations, practical applications, and the challenges and controversies that surround it. It aims to provide a comprehensive overview that not only highlights the importance of dietary therapy in modern healthcare but also addresses the need for ongoing research, education, and policy support to fully harness the therapeutic potential of nutrition.

2- Theoretical Foundations of Medical Nutrition

The theoretical foundations of medical nutrition are anchored in the intricate relationship between diet, health, and disease. This relationship has been the subject of investigation for centuries, evolving from ancient dietary principles to the sophisticated, evidence-based nutritional science of today. Understanding these foundations is critical for appreciating the role of medical nutrition in clinical practice and its potential to influence patient outcomes.

2.1 Nutritional Science Basics

At the core of medical nutrition is the science of nutrients and their impact on bodily functions. Nutrients, including carbohydrates, proteins, fats, vitamins, minerals, and water, are essential for growth, reproduction, health, and disease prevention (Institute of Medicine, 2002). The study of how the human body processes these nutrients, known as nutritional biochemistry, provides insights into the mechanisms through which diet influences health. For instance, the role of dietary fiber in maintaining gastrointestinal health or the impact of antioxidants in preventing oxidative stress are fundamental concepts that underpin nutritional recommendations (Slavin, 2005; Halliwell, 1996).

2.2 Diet-Health Relationship

Epidemiological studies have established strong associations between dietary patterns and the risk of chronic diseases. The Mediterranean diet, characterized by high consumption of fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and healthy fats, has been extensively documented for its cardiovascular benefits (Estruch et al., 2013). Similarly, dietary approaches like the DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension) diet have been shown to reduce blood pressure and lower the risk of cardiovascular disease (Appel et al., 1997). These dietary patterns exemplify how a balanced intake of diverse nutrients can synergistically support health and mitigate disease risk.

2.3 Dietary Guidelines and Recommendations

Public health organizations worldwide have developed dietary guidelines based on scientific evidence to promote health and prevent chronic diseases. These guidelines recommend nutrient intakes, food group servings, and dietary patterns that are associated with reduced disease risk (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2015). They serve as a foundation for medical nutrition therapy, providing a framework for personalized dietary interventions tailored to individual health needs and conditions.

2.4 Personalized Nutrition

Advancements in genomics and biotechnology have ushered in the era of personalized nutrition, which considers genetic, metabolic, and environmental factors to tailor dietary recommendations to individual needs (Ordovas et al., 2018). This approach acknowledges the variability in individual responses to diet and aims to optimize health outcomes by considering genetic predispositions to nutrient metabolism and disease risk.

2.5 Evidence-Based Practice

The integration of medical nutrition into healthcare relies on evidence-based practice, which involves making dietary recommendations based on the best available scientific evidence. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials provide the highest level of evidence for the efficacy of dietary interventions (Higgins & Green, 2011). These evidence-based guidelines ensure that medical nutrition therapy is grounded in rigorous scientific research, enhancing its credibility and effectiveness in clinical settings.

3- Medical Nutrition in Clinical Practice

Medical nutrition in clinical practice represents a crucial aspect of comprehensive patient care, bridging the gap between nutritional science and healthcare delivery. It involves the application of nutritional principles and dietary interventions to prevent, manage, and treat diseases, enhancing patient outcomes and quality of life. This section explores the practical implementation of medical nutrition, highlighting the roles of healthcare professionals, the integration of nutritional therapy into patient care plans, and the impact of successful nutritional interventions.

3.1 Role of Healthcare Professionals

Dietitians and nutritionists play a pivotal role in the clinical application of medical nutrition, utilizing their expertise to assess, diagnose, and treat nutritional problems. These professionals work collaboratively with a multidisciplinary healthcare team, including physicians, nurses, and pharmacists, to develop and implement personalized nutrition care plans based on the patient's medical history, dietary habits, and specific health needs (Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 2017). Their interventions can range from dietary counseling and education to the management of complex feeding regimens in cases requiring enteral or parenteral nutrition.

3.2 Integration into Patient Care Plans

Integrating medical nutrition into patient care plans involves a comprehensive assessment of the patient's nutritional status, followed by the formulation of dietary strategies tailored to address

specific health conditions. For instance, in the management of diabetes, medical nutrition therapy focuses on carbohydrate counting and glycemic index education to control blood glucose levels (Franz et al., 2017). Similarly, in cardiovascular disease management, dietary interventions may emphasize the reduction of saturated fat and sodium intake to lower risk factors such as high blood pressure and cholesterol levels (Eckel et al., 2014).

3.3 Case Studies and Success Stories

Empirical evidence from clinical settings underscores the efficacy of medical nutrition in improving health outcomes. For example, a landmark study in the field of cardiovascular health, the PREDIMED trial, demonstrated that a Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or nuts significantly reduced the incidence of major cardiovascular events among high-risk individuals (Estruch et al., 2018). Another example is the Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) trial, which showed that an intensive lifestyle intervention focusing on weight loss through dietary changes and physical activity led to significant improvements in glycemic control and cardiovascular risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes (Look AHEAD Research Group, 2013).

3.4 Challenges and Considerations

Despite the proven benefits of medical nutrition, its integration into clinical practice faces several challenges. These include limited nutrition education among non-dietetic healthcare professionals, time constraints during patient consultations, and the need for effective communication and coordination within the healthcare team (Kris-Etherton et al., 2014). Furthermore, patient adherence to dietary recommendations can be influenced by factors such as cultural preferences, socioeconomic status, and access to healthy foods (DiMaria-Ghalili & Mirtallo, 2015).

The integration of medical nutrition into clinical practice is essential for the holistic management of patients, offering evidence-based strategies to prevent, manage, and treat a wide range of health conditions. The success of nutritional interventions in clinical settings highlights the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration, patient-centered care, and ongoing research to expand the evidence base supporting the role of diet in health and disease management.

4- Controversies and Challenges

The integration of medical nutrition into healthcare and clinical practice is fraught with controversies and challenges that stem from various scientific, practical, and sociopolitical factors. These issues often complicate the straightforward application of nutritional science to patient care and public health policies.

4.1 Scientific Controversies

One of the primary sources of controversy in medical nutrition is the complexity and variability inherent in nutritional science. Nutritional research can be challenging to conduct and interpret due to factors such as the long latency period for diet-related diseases, difficulties in accurately measuring dietary intake, and the influence of confounding variables like physical activity and genetic predisposition (Ioannidis, 2018). For instance, conflicting evidence on the health impacts of dietary components such as saturated fats, red meat, and dietary cholesterol has led to public confusion and debate within the scientific community (Schwingshackl et al., 2017; Willett et al., 2019).

4.2 Dietary Guidelines Disputes

The formulation and revision of dietary guidelines often provoke debate among researchers, healthcare professionals, and policymakers. Critics argue that some dietary recommendations may be based on insufficient or outdated scientific evidence, potentially leading to public health messages that are either overly simplistic or inconsistent (Mozaffarian & Ludwig, 2010).

Additionally, the influence of food industry lobbying on dietary guidelines raises concerns about potential conflicts of interest and the impartiality of nutritional advice (Nestle, 2016).

4.3 Patient Adherence and Behavioral Challenges

Even with well-established dietary recommendations, patient adherence remains a significant challenge in clinical practice. Factors influencing adherence include personal preferences, cultural dietary norms, socioeconomic status, and access to healthy foods (DiMaria-Ghalili & Mirtallo, 2015). Behavioral change models highlight the complexity of altering long-standing dietary habits, underscoring the need for individualized and culturally sensitive approaches to nutritional counseling (Greaves et al., 2011).

4.4 Socioeconomic Factors and Food Insecurity

Socioeconomic disparities play a critical role in nutritional health, with lower-income populations often facing greater challenges in accessing affordable, nutritious foods. Food insecurity and the availability of highly processed, energy-dense foods in disadvantaged areas contribute to the higher prevalence of diet-related chronic diseases among these populations (Seligman et al., 2010). Addressing these disparities requires comprehensive policies and interventions that go beyond individual-level dietary advice.

4.5 Interdisciplinary Integration

Integrating medical nutrition into the broader healthcare system involves overcoming barriers related to professional education and interdisciplinary collaboration. Many healthcare providers receive limited training in nutrition, which can hinder their ability to effectively incorporate nutritional counseling into patient care (Adams et al., 2015). Furthermore, effective integration of medical nutrition requires seamless collaboration among dietitians, physicians, nurses, and other healthcare professionals, which can be challenging in practice due to differences in professional cultures and priorities (DiMaria-Ghalili & Mirtallo, 2015).

The controversies and challenges surrounding medical nutrition underscore the complexity of translating nutritional science into effective clinical and public health interventions. Addressing these issues requires a multidimensional approach that includes rigorous scientific research, evidence-based policy making, interdisciplinary collaboration, and tailored strategies to enhance patient adherence and address socioeconomic disparities.

5- Evidence-Based Outcomes

The emphasis on evidence-based outcomes in medical nutrition underscores the importance of grounding dietary interventions in robust scientific research to ensure their effectiveness in improving health and managing diseases. This approach relies on high-quality evidence, typically derived from systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and well-conducted clinical trials, to inform clinical practice and policy-making in nutrition.

5.1 Clinical Trials and Meta-Analyses

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are the gold standard for assessing the efficacy of nutritional interventions due to their ability to minimize bias and establish causality. Meta-analyses, which aggregate data from multiple RCTs, provide a higher level of evidence by synthesizing results to draw more generalizable conclusions. For example, a meta-analysis by Hooper et al. (2015) found that reducing saturated fat intake leads to a modest reduction in cardiovascular risk, highlighting the importance of dietary fat quality in heart health.

5.2 Longitudinal Cohort Studies

Longitudinal cohort studies, while observational and not as definitive as RCTs in establishing causality, contribute valuable insights into the long-term impacts of dietary patterns on health outcomes. The Nurses' Health Study and the Health Professionals Follow-up Study have provided

extensive data linking dietary habits to the risk of chronic diseases, such as type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and certain cancers (Hu et al., 2018).

5.3 Dietary Patterns and Health Outcomes

Research on dietary patterns, rather than individual nutrients, offers a more holistic understanding of the relationship between diet and health. The Mediterranean diet, characterized by high consumption of fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and healthy fats, has been associated with a lower risk of cardiovascular disease, neurodegenerative diseases, and overall mortality in numerous studies (Estruch et al., 2013; Sofi et al., 2010).

5.4 Personalized Nutrition

Emerging research in personalized nutrition, which tailors dietary advice based on individual genetic, metabolic, and lifestyle factors, has shown promise in improving health outcomes. A study by Zeevi et al. (2015) demonstrated that personalized nutrition plans based on gut microbiota and metabolic responses could more effectively regulate blood glucose levels than general dietary advice.

5.5 Implementation and Real-world Effectiveness

Translating evidence-based dietary recommendations into clinical practice involves not only understanding the scientific evidence but also considering factors such as patient preferences, cultural backgrounds, and social determinants of health. The success of programs like the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP), which demonstrated that lifestyle interventions including dietary changes could significantly reduce the incidence of diabetes, underscores the potential of evidence-based nutrition interventions in real-world settings (Knowler et al., 2002).

The body of evidence supporting the efficacy of medical nutrition in promoting health and preventing disease is substantial and continues to grow. High-quality research, including RCTs, meta-analyses, and longitudinal cohort studies, provides the foundation for evidence-based dietary recommendations. As the field of nutrition evolves, ongoing research and the integration of personalized approaches will further enhance the effectiveness of dietary interventions in clinical practice.

6- Emerging Trends and Innovations

The field of medical nutrition is continuously evolving, with emerging trends and innovations shaping the future of dietary interventions and their integration into healthcare. These advancements promise to enhance the personalization, effectiveness, and accessibility of nutritional care.

6.1 Personalized Nutrition

Personalized nutrition, tailored to an individual's genetic makeup, lifestyle, and microbiome composition, represents a significant shift from the one-size-fits-all approach to dietary recommendations. Advances in genomics and biotechnology have made it possible to identify genetic variants that influence nutrient metabolism, allowing for more precise nutritional guidance. Studies like the one by Zeevi et al. (2015) demonstrate the potential of personalized nutrition plans based on gut microbiota and metabolic responses to improve health outcomes, such as better blood glucose regulation.

6.2 Digital Health and Nutrition Technologies

Digital health technologies, including mobile health apps, wearable devices, and telehealth, are transforming the delivery of medical nutrition services. These tools enable remote dietary monitoring, personalized feedback, and real-time communication between patients and healthcare providers. A systematic review by Chen et al. (2019) highlights the effectiveness of mobile health interventions in improving dietary behaviors, indicating the potential of technology to support nutritional care.

6.3 Nutrigenomics and Epigenetics

Nutrigenomics and epigenetics explore the interaction between diet, genes, and health, offering insights into how nutrients can influence gene expression and disease risk. This field has the potential to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying the health benefits of specific dietary patterns and individual responses to diet. Research in this area, such as the work by Milagro et al. (2013), suggests that epigenetic modifications induced by diet can play a crucial role in obesity and metabolic health.

6.4 Sustainable Diets

The concept of sustainable diets, which seeks to achieve optimal health outcomes while minimizing environmental impact, is gaining traction. This approach emphasizes the consumption of plant-based foods, reduced meat intake, and the selection of locally sourced and environmentally friendly food options. The EAT-Lancet Commission on Food, Planet, Health (Willett et al., 2019) provides a global framework for sustainable diets that can support both human health and environmental sustainability.

6.5 Gut Microbiome and Health

Emerging research on the gut microbiome is revealing its significant role in health and disease, including its influence on nutrient metabolism, immune function, and even mental health. Dietary interventions that modulate the gut microbiota, such as the use of prebiotics, probiotics, and fiber-rich foods, are being explored for their therapeutic potential in a range of conditions, from gastrointestinal disorders to obesity and cardiovascular disease (Valdes et al., 2018).

The landscape of medical nutrition is rapidly changing, driven by advances in science and technology. Personalized nutrition, digital health interventions, nutrigenomics, sustainable diets, and gut microbiome research are among the key trends shaping the future of nutritional care. As these innovations continue to develop, they hold the promise of more effective, individualized, and sustainable dietary interventions that can contribute to improved health outcomes and quality of life.

7- Practical Considerations

Implementing medical nutrition in clinical practice involves a range of practical considerations to ensure that dietary interventions are effective, patient-centered, and sustainable. These considerations include strategies for integrating nutritional therapy into routine care, educational initiatives for healthcare professionals and patients, and addressing policy implications.

7.1 Integrating Nutritional Therapy into Routine Care

Effective integration of medical nutrition requires a multidisciplinary approach, where dietitians, physicians, nurses, and other healthcare professionals collaborate to incorporate nutritional assessment and counseling as a standard part of patient care. This collaboration can be facilitated through regular team meetings, shared electronic health records, and integrated care pathways that include nutritional interventions. Moreover, incorporating nutritional screening tools and protocols into routine assessments can help identify patients at risk of malnutrition or those who could benefit from dietary interventions (Kushner, 2015).

7.2 Educational Initiatives for Healthcare Professionals

To enhance the integration of medical nutrition, healthcare professionals need adequate training in nutrition science and its application in clinical settings. Continuing education programs, interdisciplinary courses, and inclusion of comprehensive nutrition education in medical and nursing curricula are essential to improve healthcare providers' competency in delivering nutritional care. Initiatives like the Nutrition Education in U.S. Medical Schools project aim to address this gap by advocating for more robust nutrition content in medical education (Adams et al., 2015).

7.3 Patient Education and Engagement

Patient education is critical for the success of nutritional interventions. Educational materials, counseling sessions, and support groups can empower patients to make informed dietary choices and adhere to nutritional plans. Tailoring education to the patient's cultural preferences, literacy level, and individual health needs is crucial for engagement and compliance. Digital tools, such as mobile apps and online platforms, offer innovative ways to provide personalized dietary advice, track progress, and maintain communication between patients and healthcare providers (Chen et al., 2019).

7.4 Addressing Socioeconomic Factors

Socioeconomic factors, including access to healthy foods, economic constraints, and social determinants of health, significantly influence dietary behaviors and outcomes. Healthcare providers need to consider these factors when designing nutritional interventions, providing resources for affordable and accessible healthy eating options, and advocating for community and policy-level changes to improve food environments. Collaborations with community organizations and public health initiatives can support broader efforts to address food insecurity and promote healthy eating (Seligman et al., 2010).

7.5 Policy Implications and Healthcare System Integration

Integrating medical nutrition into healthcare systems requires supportive policies and infrastructure. This includes reimbursement for nutritional counseling and therapy, guidelines for evidence-based nutritional care, and quality metrics for nutritional services. Advocacy efforts by professional organizations, such as the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, play a vital role in shaping policies that recognize the importance of nutrition in health care and provide the necessary resources for its implementation (Schwartz et al., 2016).

Practical considerations for implementing medical nutrition in clinical practice encompass a range of strategies aimed at integrating nutritional therapy into patient care, educating healthcare professionals and patients, addressing socioeconomic barriers, and advocating for supportive policies. A comprehensive approach that combines individualized care, interdisciplinary collaboration, and systemic changes is essential for the effective delivery of medical nutrition services.

Conclusion

The critical examination of medical nutrition within clinical practice reveals a multifaceted domain that is central to modern healthcare. The theoretical foundations of medical nutrition underscore the complex interplay between diet and health, advocating for evidence-based dietary interventions tailored to individual needs. In clinical settings, the practical implementation of medical nutrition involves a collaborative approach among healthcare professionals, emphasizing the importance of integrating nutritional therapy into comprehensive patient care plans.

Despite its potential, the field faces controversies and challenges ranging from scientific debates and dietary guideline disputes to patient adherence issues and socioeconomic barriers. These challenges necessitate a nuanced understanding of nutritional science and a commitment to patient-centered care that considers individual preferences, cultural backgrounds, and social determinants of health.

Emerging trends and innovations, such as personalized nutrition, digital health technologies, and sustainable dietary practices, offer promising avenues for enhancing the effectiveness and accessibility of medical nutrition. These advancements, coupled with ongoing research into the gut microbiome and nutrigenomics, are poised to transform nutritional care by providing more tailored, effective, and holistic dietary interventions.

To fully realize the potential of medical nutrition in improving health outcomes, there is a need for concerted efforts in education, policy-making, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Investing in nutrition education for healthcare professionals, advocating for policies that support nutritional care,

and fostering partnerships across disciplines are essential steps towards integrating medical nutrition into the fabric of healthcare.

In conclusion, medical nutrition represents a vital component of holistic healthcare, offering evidence-based strategies to prevent, manage, and treat a wide array of conditions. As the field continues to evolve, embracing innovations and addressing challenges, the promise of medical nutrition in enhancing patient care and public health remains profound. The future of medical nutrition lies in its ability to adapt, innovate, and integrate within an ever-changing healthcare landscape, with the ultimate goal of improving quality of life and health outcomes for individuals and populations alike.

References:

1. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. (2017). Scope of Practice for the Registered Dietitian Nutritionist. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 117(1), 110-117.
2. Adams, K. M., Butsch, W. S., & Kohlmeier, M. (2015). The state of nutrition education at US medical schools. *Journal of Biomedical Education*, 2015, 357627.
3. Appel, L. J., Moore, T. J., Obarzanek, E., et al. (1997). A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 336(16), 1117-1124.
4. Chen, J., Cade, J. E., & Allman-Farinelli, M. (2019). The most popular smartphone apps for weight loss: A quality assessment. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 3(4), e104.
5. DiMaria-Ghalili, R. A., & Mirtallo, J. M. (2015). Challenges and opportunities for nutrition education and training in the health care professions: intraprofessional and interprofessional call to action. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 101(5), 1004-1013.
6. Eckel, R. H., Jakicic, J. M., Ard, J. D., et al. (2014). 2013 AHA/ACC guideline on lifestyle management to reduce cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 63(25_PA), 2960-2984.
7. Estruch, R., Ros, E., Salas-Salvadó, J., et al. (2018). Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or nuts. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 378(25), e34.
8. Franz, M. J., MacLeod, J., Evert, A., et al. (2017). Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Nutrition Practice Guideline for Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes in Adults: Systematic Review of Evidence for Medical Nutrition Therapy Effectiveness and Recommendations for Integration into the Nutrition Care Process. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 117(10), 1659-1679.
9. Greaves, C. J., Sheppard, K. E., Abraham, C., et al. (2011). Systematic review of reviews of intervention components associated with increased effectiveness in dietary and physical activity interventions. *BMC Public Health*, 11, 119.
10. Hooper, L., Martin, N., Abdelhamid, A., & Davey Smith, G. (2015). Reduction in saturated fat intake for cardiovascular disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (6), CD011737.
11. Hu, F. B., Satija, A., & Manson, J. E. (2018). Curbing the diabetes pandemic: The need for global policy solutions. *JAMA*, 319(23), 2371-2372.
12. Institute of Medicine. (2002). *Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids*. The National Academies Press.
13. Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2018). The challenge of reforming nutritional epidemiologic research. *JAMA*, 320(10), 969-970.
14. Katz, D. L., & Meller, S. (2014). Can we say what diet is best for health? *Annual Review of Public Health*, 35, 83-103.
15. Knowler, W. C., Barrett-Connor, E., Fowler, S. E., et al. (2002). Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 346(6), 393-403.
16. Kushner, R. F. (2015). *Roadmaps for clinical practice: Case studies in disease prevention and health promotion—Assessment and management of adult obesity: A primer for physicians*. American Medical Association.

17. Look AHEAD Research Group. (2013). Cardiovascular effects of intensive lifestyle intervention in type 2 diabetes. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 369(2), 145-154.
18. Milagro, F. I., Campión, J., & Martínez, J. A. (2013). Weight gain induced by high-fat feeding involves increased liver oxidative stress. *Obesity*, 14(7), 1118-1123.
19. Mozaffarian, D., & Forouhi, N. G. (2018). Dietary guidelines and health—is nutrition science up to the task? *BMJ*, 360, k822.
20. Mozaffarian, D., & Ludwig, D. S. (2010). Dietary guidelines in the 21st century—a time for food. *JAMA*, 304(6), 681-682.
21. Nestle, M. (2016). Food industry funding of nutrition research: The relevance of history for current debates. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 176(11), 1685-1686.
22. Ordovas, J. M., Ferguson, L. R., Tai, E. S., & Mathers, J. C. (2018). Personalised nutrition and health. *BMJ*, 361, bmj.k2173.
23. Rees, K., Takeda, A., Martin, N., et al. (2019). Mediterranean-style diet for the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 3, CD009825.
24. Schwartz, D. B., Young, V. B., & Spindler, A. A. (2016). Guidelines for the provision and assessment of nutrition support therapy in the adult critically ill patient: Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) and American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN). *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 40(2), 159-211.
25. Seligman, H. K., Laraia, B. A., & Kushel, M. B. (2010). Food insecurity is associated with chronic disease among low-income NHANES participants. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 140(2), 304-310.
26. Slavin, J. (2005). Dietary fiber and body weight. *Nutrition*, 21(3), 411-418.
27. Sofi, F., Cesari, F., Abbate, R., Gensini, G. F., & Casini, A. (2010). Adherence to Mediterranean diet and health status: Meta-analysis. *BMJ*, 337, a1344.
28. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and U.S. Department of Agriculture. (2015). 2015-2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. 8th Edition.
29. Valdes, A. M., Walter, J., Segal, E., & Spector, T. D. (2018). Role of the gut microbiota in nutrition and health. *BMJ*, 361, k2179.
30. Willett, W., Rockström, J., Loken, B., et al. (2019). Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *The Lancet*, 393(10170), 447-492.
31. Zeevi, D., Korem, T., Zmora, N., et al. (2015). Personalized nutrition by prediction of glycemic responses. *Cell*, 163(5), 1079-1094.