



A REVIEW ON THE IMPACT OF HUMAN GENETICS ON CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE

Noor Subhani¹, Fatima Hussain², Talha Ghafoor Sial^{3*}

¹Cardiology department, Allama Iqbal Teaching Hospital, Dera Ghazi Khan - Pakistan

²Medicine Ward Scouts Medical Complex, Gilgit - Pakistan

^{3*}Gastroenterology department, Ghurki Trust Teaching Hospital, Lahore - Pakistan

***Corresponding Author:** Talha Ghafoor Sial

^{*}Gastroenterology department, Ghurki Trust Teaching Hospital, Lahore - Pakistan

Email: talhasial429@gmail.com

Abstract

Globally, cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death. It is a highly heritable condition therefore for a long time; researchers have been attempting to explore the genetic fundamentals of it. Numerous risk factors that have been found to be closely linked to the onset of CVD have been identified. These, however, only account for a small portion of instances; hence, study into the underlying reasons of the unexplainable risk has moved to genomes and then genetics. According to many studies, there is a genetic component to CVD; nevertheless, identifying the specific genomic elements that contribute to the development of CVD has been more difficult than expected, with just a handful of those cases exhibiting Mendelian inheritance. While particular genetic variants linked to illness have been identified by genome-wide association studies (GWAS), the underlying biochemical pathways are just now starting to become clear. We must connect these relationships to the complex, multifaceted regulation of gene expression in order to completely comprehend the biological consequences of these interactions. Comprehending the relationship between the information encoded in DNA and the functioning of these regulating layers would facilitate the creation of more efficacious medicines, as well as improved prognoses for the onset of cardiovascular disease. The human body is made up of 3,100 million haploid base pairs and 6,200 million diploid base pairs. Yet, due to natural selection, typical genetic variations have little impact on diseases, but rare genetic variations likely to display significant effect size. Thus, it makes logical to split genetic differences to two categories according to rate of allele and scopes on diseases. We are aware that uncommon genetic variations in many important genes, particularly those related to fat, are clearly linked to an elevated likelihood of CVD, although an a polygenic menace score made up of public chromosomal distinctions seems to function very good in over-all people. This data can be utilized to identify new pharmaceutical targets in addition to risk categorization. We present the crucial and straightforward theory that human genetics is significant for cardiovascular illness as, it is a greatly transmissible feature in this article of review, and we think it will pave the way for precision therapy in this field.

Introduction

One of the leading causes of death worldwide is cardiovascular disease (CVD) [1]. It is contributed to a total of seventeen million accidental deaths in 2005, or thirty percent of worldwide fatalities [2]. More over nearly four million individuals die from it in Europe alone yearly, making it the primary

cause of mortality (48% in total) and the greatest burden of illness. The outlook for this is still disappointing in spite of significant improvements in medical care, consequently it is still vital to determine the fundamental mechanisms and potential methods for treatment. Although there is an apparent environmental component to cardiovascular disease, only a small portion of incidences are explained by the risk factors identified in epidemiological research (such as tobacco use, elevated cholesterol levels, and high blood pressure) [3]. The promise of genomics and genetics to uncover the molecular processes behind this issue progression and to explain scenarios that are not clearly linked to established risk factors has raised a great deal of hope. Countless large-scale population surveys that assisted in the definition of the traditional set of CVD risk factors have proven the substantial family component of CVD, which has long been acknowledged. Nevertheless, the fact that a number of other risk variables, including blood pressure, cholesterol, and diabetes, are also genetically controlled, complicates research on the heritability of CVD [4]. Numerous research projects have been undertaken in an effort to treat this illness. Epidemiological studies have been particularly helpful in improving our understanding of them. Different backgrounds in cardiovascular disease. For instance, the USA's Framingham Heart Study [2-4] and Japan's Hisayama Study [5,6] showed that smoking, high blood pressure, and cholesterol are just a few of the risk factors for Cardiovascular diseases. Despite the fact that epidemiological studies have identified numerous variables linked to heart and blood vessel malfunction, the relationships between those features and CVD have been inconsistent. Randomized controlled trials have been carried out for the determination of factors that are linked with CVD [7-8]. On the other hand, it is fair to take genetic factors into account in the experimental training of CVD, as recognized that it is an exceedingly inherited characteristic [9]. Studies have demonstrated that personal relic information functions rather well for CVD risk assessment, and it has long been thought of as a means to this end [10–12]. But "personal antiquity" a kind of binary feature that is challenging to determine mathematically. We can now readily determine the sequence of human DNA and identify genetic factor linked to the development of CVD thanks to recent advances in genetics [13].

To completely understand the role of genetics in CVD, we need to look beyond traditional Mendelian genetics and investigate the several interconnected levels that control the genome. We can detect individuals at high risk in their early years, develop protocol on the basis of their root causes, and find novel approaches of therapy by thoroughly studying the linkages between the genome of human and cardiovascular toxicities. Main facts about the role of human genetics in CVD are provided in this review article, along with guidance on how to use gain insight to our everyday medical practice.

If we talk about the human genome it contains 3,100 million haploid base pairs and 6,200 million diploid base pairs. Despite the fact that DNA has definitively established the human genome's sequence sequencing which is still undistinguishable. To better clarify the biotic roles of their protein products or RNA, a great deal of research must be done. What's more, new research indicates that the majority of the enormous amounts of non-coding DNA in the genome may be linked to biological processes such as gene expression regulation, organization of chromosome, and the governing signals epigenetic trait [14–16]. Generally speaking, rare genetic differences have a greater impact on disease than common genetic variations. This theory has a lot of supporting evidence when it comes to bodyweight [18].

The human genome as it exists is incomprehensible. In order to distinguish between uncommon and common genetic changes, an effective approach has been presented to explain the association between the disease and human genetic material [17]. It is easy to believe that one's body weight is an extremely heritable characteristic. In an association research we conducted to look at the genetic backgrounds connected with body weight, we found one exceedingly rare heritable differences among the same species whose influence on a person's mass is as much as seven kilogram / allele, while there are several public hereditary variations whose special effects on body mass are roughly hundred gram / allele. Infrequent genetic differences with an effect of seven kilogram are significant from a therapeutic perspective; while common genetic variations with an effect of approximately

100 g are inconsequential nevertheless such a "score" might be significant enough for our daily medical care if we are able to concatenate the impacts via numerous common genetic variants.

What about CVD? Are researchers and doctors drawn to this heritable trait with genetic information? Yes is the answer. According to epidemiological studies, family history information is strongly correlated with heart disease, indicating that the condition may be inherited. The level of heredity of this looks to be as extraordinary as roughly 50% based on information gathered in Atwin's study [19]. A recent medical recommendation on precautionary atherosclerotic coronary artery disease (ASCVD) in Japan strongly encourages reviewing everyday past details in light of the above information [20]. Inborn gross abnormalities are the most significant to be aware of among the many uncommon genetic diseases linked to elevated CVD risk. Familial elevated cholesterol levels is produced due to mutations in the low-density lipoprotein, or LDL, receptor or its related gene produce which is one of the most significant disorders in this regard [21–26]. Tendon the presence of x severe elevations of LDL cholesterol (LDL-C), and early it is common in patients in familial elevated cholesterol levels. The general population's estimated prevalence of this condition is 1 in 300, despite its classification as a "rare" disease [27, 28]. More critically, if (ABCG5) showed elevated risk for CVD, patients with FH have an exceptionally high risk for the condition [29–31]. Nevertheless, lipoproteins high in triglycerides have been linked to CVD [32, 33]. For instance, eight times augmented odds of CVD are present in 1 in two hundred and fifty persons with triglycerides due to a harmful sudden change in lipoprotein lipase (LPL) [34]. Furthermore, apolipoprotein A5 (APOA5) mutations that cause excessive triglycerides in 1 in 3,000 people also raise the risk of CVD by 4.5 times [35]. Persons with a specific polymorphism in single-nucleotide in lipids (a) (LPA), as (one in fifty individuals) demonstrated elevated serum Lipid (a) levels and increased chances of cardiovascular disease. These are definitive instances of congenital uncommon genetic abnormalities linked to heart failure (CVD) that are not treated, while statins can reduce their risk in the short term [29, 30]. As a result, we need to focus significantly more on FH detection. Apart from FH, it was discovered that people with an uncommon (one in thousand) harmful modification in the cassette ATP-binding subfamily member 5 [36].

Rare genetic variations can provide protection against cardiovascular disease (CVD) in a number of inherited conditions, in addition to "disorders" linked to a higher risk for it. Mutated Apo-lipoprotein C3 (APOC3) has been linked to lower triglyceride levels in 1 in 150 people, who also had compact danger of Cardiovascular diseases (probabilities quotient = zero point sixty) [37]. Crucially, those who had APOC3 knocked out showed a considerable reduction in the postprandial rise in triglycerides [38]. Likewise, a decreased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) was seen in 1 in 300 people with concomitant hyperlipidemia due to a deleterious mutation in angiopoietin-like 3 (ANGPTL3) (odds ratio = 0.61 [39]. Furthermore, we've demonstrated that people with harmful mutations in the cholesterol ester transfer protein (CETP) had lower CVD risks, most likely as a result of lower Low density lipid proteins levels as opposed to higher amounts of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [40]. Previously demonstrated, people have muted APOB have familial hypo-beta-lip proteinuria have significantly decreased CVD risks. This is likely due to lowered levels of dystrophy-containing APOB-containing lipoproteins, such as triglyceride and LDL [41]. Also, it was demonstrated that abnormal low levels LDL-C, patients with MTP deficiency demonstrated a protective effect against a postprandial rise in residual lipoprotein [42]. Lastly, we are currently employing, an inhibitor for Nieman-Pieck C1-Like 1 (NPC1L2), and a pro-protein convertases subtilisin/kalman form 9 (PCSK9) blocking in our everyday clinical practice in line with the discoveries from human knock outs (43–45). It is noteworthy that a strong causal relationship exists between the outcome and the DNA variant. These discoveries have prompted the creation of innovative pharmaceutical treatments aimed at reducing cardiovascular disease (Table 1).

The impact of communal heritable changes on illness is often minimal, in divergence to uncommon genomic dissimilarities. Many routinely inherent variants linked to CVD have been found by genomewide association studies (GWAS) [46–48]. As a result, researchers have proposed that combining public inherent differences into a single score may be diagnostically helpful. 2010 saw

the release of the first attempt to create an apolygenic risk score (PRS). Researchers employed a PRS centered on thirteen single nucleotide variant (SNV) linked to the European GWAS to investigate potential associations between the PRS and ASCV occurrences in separate cohorts. They discovered a strong correlation between the PRS and ASCV occurrences, but at the time they were unable to demonstrate the value of the correlation in receiving operated characters (R-O-C) and network reclassification advance studies [49]. Megaa et al. raised the number of common genetic variations to 27 in 2015 in order to assess whether PRS classification performs better than traditional risk factors. They discovered that a PRS with 27 common genetic variants was higher than its cutoff [50]. Furthermore, we evaluated the predictive PRS power with twenty SNVs to one with 50 SNVs, and we discovered that the higher the number of SNVs, the more predictive power the PRS has, regardless of family history information [51]. In addition, it was demonstrated that a PRS consisting of 12 SNVs linked to atrial fibrillation was strongly connected to ischemic stroke occurrences, most likely cardio embolic stroke [52]. Likewise, Kheraa et al. verified that a PRS that uses almost six million SNV to forecast ASCVD strongly related in a score-dependent manner with actual ASCVD events [53]. **Table 2** highlights the efforts made to use a PRS to forecast ASCV incidents. A few researches have been done thus far to see if a PRS is equally helpful among the people of south Asia. Independent of additional environmental factors, Haachiya et al. revealed that stroke episodes in the over-all people of Japan were linked to PRS (genome-wide), almost thirty five thousand public gene variants [54]. The Hesayaama conducted a prospective population-based study that was started in nineties to look into the prevalence of ASCVD in Kyushu Island which is a famous town in Japan. They used a genome-wide association study summary data from the Health Center-based approaching project and BioBank (Japan project) to assess the stroke-related events in this study. According to Wang et al., cardiovascular disease (CAD) was linked to an age-wide PRS involving more than five million common genetic variants in Bangladeshi and Indianan peoples [55]. It's interesting that they made entire genome-wide PR essentially using GWAS summary results from European ancestry. A set of whole-genome sequencing data from South Asian persons to compensate for ancestry was used by Sanda.

These findings indicate that a major factor contributing to diseases risk, especially in individuals of South Asian history, is the variation in genetic makeup can be measured by PRS. When matching the uppermost to lowermost quintiles across 3 independent study groups, we observed a 3.22–3.91-incorporate increase in risk by enhancing a polygenic score for blood and heart diseases. Furthermore, persons of South Asian descent residing in the United Kingdom, Bangladesh, and Asia showed a very consistent pattern of illness associations, with odds ratios/standard deviation increments varying from 1.58 to 1.66 in all three investigations. These findings imply that it is possible to transfer polygenic levels transversely at different ecological events. Kooyama and coworkers, currently demonstrated that in detecting CAA among Japanese populations, a Tran's ethnic genome wide PRS performed better than an ethnicity-specific risk evaluation [56]. They included Japanese GWAS (mostly through Japanese BioBank, electro Cardiogram, and Bio bank (UK)) in a Trans ethnic meta-analysis, and they created a Trans ethnic genome-wide PRS. Additionally, the most public hereditary variations with unimportant consequence demonstrated a reliable route of influence between European and Japanese ancestries.

PRS has been demonstrated to be a valuable tool for a variety of uses, in adding to threat classification further than conventional variables of clinical risk. First, statins appear to be considerably more beneficial for CVD in those with high PRS than in those with reduced CVD PRS [57]. That statins are equally beneficial across all classic subgroups, including gender, hypertension, and diabetes, is excellent news [58]. Furthermore, a healthy lifestyle is linked to a lower risk of CVD in persons with a high propensity for CVD, indicating that hereditary CVD risk may be mitigated by leading a healthy lifestyle. In [59], it is a continuously distributed, normally distributed number that can be used to estimate risk regardless of the causal pathways. On the other hand, it should matter which factors lead to the PRS's elevation. For instance, anti-inflammatory drugs may be more effective in person A and lipid modification medications may be more beneficial in person B when two people are encountered whose high PRS but the source of the components is diverse

(e.g., person A has many risk genes and individual B has many risk alleles in utilization of lipid). Furthermore, as previously shown, a certain activities may be linked to the balance of PRS. According to the PRS and its components, lifestyle and dietary patterns vary among areas and ethnic groups; hence, a greater quantity of data is required to clarify what constitutes "good" behavior within particular populations.

Furthermore, most GWAS carried out to far have employed logistic models, which are unable to account for the interaction relationships between genetic variations and an outcome and may be the cause of the phenomenon known as "missing heritability." The mean while more complex arithmetical prototypes is required to find these correlations, which might then be utilized as tools to create a PR that is more potent. Remember that there are mental illnesses connected to cardiovascular disease. Then, cascade screenings is a helpful technique to identify afflicted relatives at an early age. In the instance of FH, we have demonstrated that the prognosis of the afflicted relatives was substantially correlated with cascade screening for FH. Additionally, there is an instance where the existence of a specific kind of A pharmaceutical intervention modifies the effect of mutation [61].

Furthermore, we would like to underline that a significant number of patients showed a severe annual myocardial infarction linked to Phytosterolemia [62–66], currently thought to be significantly more common in the whole populace. Subsequent, the elevated PRS for CVD associated with common genetic variants appears to be consistent with inherited CVD risk within their family [67]. This implies that those with high PRS for CVD should be included in "cascade screening" for patients at greater threat. PRS for heart and blood vessels problems is linked to CVD regardless evidence of personal history. Mainly ASCVD was focused In this review study because they are also highly heritable features, the ideas we proved also applied to other cardiac phenotypes, such as aortic disease, the heart beat is abnormal, heart muscles disorder, [68–73].

Conclusion

It was concluded that CVD is a strongly transmissible condition. Common genetic variations and unusual genetic variations are helpful for cascade screening as well as risk stratification. Due to regular diagnosis and suitable interventions, genetic knowledge is not stigmatized. To include this significant component into our therapeutic practice, we must set up randomized controlled studies to ascertain the true use of such genetic information.

Table 1: New pharmacological interferences to lesser Low density lipid stages

| Target | Absence or carriers of PT | Composites | Affected lipids | Mendelian randomization |
|---|---|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Angiotensin like protein | Familial united hypolipo proteinemia | Evkeeza | Lowered LDL-C and Triglycerides | Reference number 42 |
| Apo lipoprotein B | Familial hypo –beta- lipo Pro- teinemia | Kynamro | Lowered LDL-C and Triglycerides | 44 reference no |
| Apolipoprotein C | Insufficiency | Waylivra | Triglycerides lowered | 37 reference no |
| Cholesteryl ester transfer protein | Insufficiency | Obicetrapib | HDL-C increases , LDL-C lowered | 43 reference no |
| Microsomal triglyceride transfer protein | A beta lipoproteinemia | Juxtapid | Low density lipo protein -C lowered | NA |
| Niemann-Pick C1 | Carriers (Heterozygous) (1/650 persons) | Zetia | Low density lipo protein -C dropped | 38 reference no |
| Proprotein convertase subtilisin-kexin type 9 | Familial hypobeta lipo proteinemia | Zetia | Low density lipoprotein -C dropped | 39 reference no |

Table 2: Studies of PRS for the prediction of CVD

| Authors [reference] and year of publication | PRS | People | Results | Key consequences |
|---|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| RipattiSetal. [49] 2011 | 13 SNV | Swedish Finnish and societies | Coronary heart disease | HR1.66 (94% CI1.34–2.04) Top vs. Lowest quintile (PRS) |
| TadaHetal.[52] 2015 | 12 SNV | Swedish societies | Ischemic stroke | H.R 1.23 (94 % CI 1.04–1.46) Upper vs. lowermost quintile (PRS) |
| MegaJL etal.[50] 2015 | 27 SNV | Swedish group | CHD | H.R1.66 (95% CI1.35–2.04) Top vs. Bottom quintile(PRS) |
| TadaH etal.[51] 2016 | 27&50 SNV | Swedish group | CHD | HR 1.23 (94% CI 1.04–1.46) Top vs. bottom quintile (PRS) |
| Khera AVetal.[53] 2019 | 6.6M SNV | UK Bio bank | CHD | H.R1.81 (94% CI1.22–1.47) Top vs. Lowest quintile(PRS) |
| HachiyaTetal.[54] 2020 | >350,000 SNV | Japanese ;(East Asian) | Stroke (Ischemic) | H.R1.70(94% CI1.48–1.94)for 27-SNV-PRSHR1.92(95% CI 1.67–2.20) for 50-SNV-PRSTop vs. Bottom quintile (PRS) |
| Wang Metal.[55] 2020 | MSNV(6.6) | UK Bio bank;(South East Asian) | CHD | H.R 2.45 (94% CI 1.16–5.12) Topmost vs. bottom quintile (PRS) |
| Koyama Setal.[56] 2020 | ~1MSNV | Bio bank Japan; (East Asian)UK | CHD | O.R2.65(94% CI2.30–3.05)Top-10% vs. remaining90% |

PRS (polygenic risk score) US (United States) UK (United Kingdom) HR (hazard ratio) CI (confidence interval) OR, (odds ratio) CVD (cardio vascular disease), SNV (single nucleotide variations) CHD (Coronary heart disease)

References

- Virani SS, Alonso A, Aparicio HJ, Benjamin EJ, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics- :are port from *the American heart association*. *Circulation* 2021;143:e254–743.
- Mahmood, Levy, VasanT, WangTJ. The framingham heart study and the epidemiology of cardiovascular disease:a *historical perspective*. *Lancet* 2014; 383:999–1008
- AnderssonC, Johnson AD, Benjamin EJ, Levy D, Vasan RS. 70-year legacy of the Framingham Heart Study. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2019; 16:687–98
- Ninomiya. Japanese legacy cohort studies: the Hisayama Study. *J Epidemiol* 2018;28:444–51
- HondaT, Chen S, HataJ, Yoshida D,Hirakawa Y,Furuta Y,etal. Development and validation of a risk prediction model for atherosclerotic cardiovascular Disease in Japanese adults :the Hisayama study. *J AtherosclerThromb*2021. doi:10.5551/jat.61960.
- TadaH, UsuiS, SakataK, TakamuraM, Kawashiri MA. Challenges of precision Medicine for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease based on human genome Information. *J Atheroscler Thromb*2021; 28:59.
- TadaH, UsuiS, Sakata K, TakamuraM, KawashiriMA. Low-density lipoprotein Cholesterol level cannot be too low: considerations from clinical trials, human genetics, and biology *AtherosclerThromb*2020; 27:489–98.
- TadaH, FujinoN, NomuraA, NakanishiC, HayashiK, TakamuraM, et al. Personalized medicine for cardiovascular diseases' *HumGenet*2021; 66:67–74.
- WilliamsRR, HuntSC, HeissG, ProvinceMA, BensenJT, HigginsM, et al. Usefulness of cardiovascular family history data for population-based preventive Medicine and medical research (the health family tree study and the NHLBI family heart study).*AmJ Cardiol*2001; 87:129–35.
- Lloyd-Jones DM, NamBH, SrD'AgostinoRB, Levy D, MurabitoJM, WangTJ, et al. Parental cardiovascular diseases a risk factor for cardiovascular disease in middle-aged adults: prospective study of parents and off spring.*JAMA* 2004; 291:2204–11.

11. Yeboah J, McClelland RL, Polonsky TS, Burke GL, Sibley CT, O'Leary D, et al. Comparison of novel risk markers for improvement in cardiovascular risk assessment in intermediate-risk individuals. *JAMA* 2012; 308:788–95.
12. Musunuru K, Kathiresan S. Genetics of common, complex coronary artery disease. *Cell* 2019; 177:132–45.
13. Elkon R, Agami R. Characterization of noncoding regulatory DNA in the human genome. *Nat Biotechnol* 2017; 35:732–46.
14. Portela A, Esteller M. Epigenetic modifications and human disease. *Nat Biotechnol* 2010; 28:1057–68.
15. McPherson R, Tybjaerg-Hansen A. Genetics of coronary artery disease. *Circ Res* 2016; 118:564–78.
16. Kathiresan S, Srivastava D. Genetics of human cardiovascular disease. *Cell* 2012; 148:1242–57.
17. Turcot V, Lu Y, Highl and HM, Schurmann C, Justice AE, Fine RS, et al. Protein-altering variants associated with body mass index implicate pathways that control energy intake and expenditure in obesity. *Nat Genet* 2018; 50:26–41.
18. Zdravkovic S, Wienke A, Pedersen NL, Marenberg ME, Yashin AI, De Faire U. Heritability of death from coronary heart disease: a 36-year follow-up of 20 966 Swedish twins. *J Intern Med* 2002; 252:247–54.
19. Kinoshita M, Yokote K, Arai H, Iida M, Ishigaki Y, Ishibashi S, et al. Japan atherosclerosis society (JAS) guidelines for prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases 2017. *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2018; 25:846–984.
20. Harada-Shiba M, Arai H, Ishigaki Y, Ishibashi S, Okamura T, Ogura M, et al. Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of familial hypercholesterolemia 2017. *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2018; 25:751–70.
21. Tada H, Takamura M, Kawashiri MA. Familial hypercholesterolemia: a narrative review on diagnosis and management strategies for children and adolescents. *Vasc Health Risk Manag* 2021; 17:59–67.
22. Tada H, Hori M, Nomura A, Hosomichi K, Nohara A, Kawashiri MA, et al. A catalog of the pathogenic mutations of LDL receptor gene in Japanese familial hypercholesterolemia. *J Clin Lipidol* 2020; 14:346–51.
23. Tada H, Kawashiri MA, Nohara A, Inazu A, Mabuchi H, Yamagishi M. Impact of clinical signs and genetic diagnosis of familial hypercholesterolemia on the prevalence of coronary artery disease in patients with severe hypercholesterolemia. *Eur Heart J* 2017; 38:1573–9.
24. Tada H, Okada H, Nomura A, Usui S, Sakata K, Nohara A, et al. Clinical diagnostic criteria of familial hypercholesterolemia - a comparison of the Japan atherosclerosis society and Dutch lipid clinic network criteria. *Circ J* 2021; 85:891–7.
25. Tada H, Shibayama J, Nishikawa T, Okada H, Nomura A, Usui S, et al. Prevalence, self-awareness, and LDL cholesterol levels among patients highly suspected as familial hypercholesterolemia in a Japanese community. *Pract Lab Med* 2020; 22:e00181.
26. Beheshti SO, Madsen CM, Varbo A, Nordestgaard BG. Worldwide prevalence of familial hypercholesterolemia: meta-analysis of 11 million subjects. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020; 75:2553–66.
27. Hu P, Dharmayat KI, Stevens CAT, Sharabiani MTA, Jones RS, Watts GF, et al. Prevalence of familial hypercholesterolemia among the general population and patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circulation* 2020; 141:1742–59.
28. Luirink IK, Wiegman A, Kusters DM, Hof MH, Groothoff JW, de Groot E, et al. 20-year follow-up of statins in children with familial hypercholesterolemia. *N Engl J Med* 2019; 381:1547–56.
29. Tada H, Okada H, Nomura A, Nohara A, Yamagishi M, Takamura M, et al. Prognostic impact of cascade screening for familial hypercholesterolemia on cardiovascular events. *Clin Lipidol* 2021; 15:358–65.
30. Nomura A, Emdin CA, Won HH, Peloso GM, Natarajan, Ardissino D, et al. Heterozygous ABCG5 gene deficiency and risk of coronary artery disease. *Circ Genom Precis Med* 2020; 13:417–23.

31. Tada H, Kawashiri MA. Genetic variations, triglycerides, and atherosclerotic disease. *AtherosclerThromb* 2019;26:128–31.
32. Tada H, Takamura M, Kawashiri MA. Genomics of hypertriglyceridemia. *Adv Clin Chem* 2020;97:141–69.
33. Khera AV, Won HH, Peloso GM, O’Dushlaine C, Liu D, Stitzel NO, et al. Association of rare and common variation in the lipoprotein lipase gene with Coronary artery disease. *JAMA* 2017;317:937–46.
34. Do R, Stitzel NO, Won HH, Jørgensen AB, Duga S, Angelica Merlini P, et al. Exomes sequencing identifies rare LDL Rand APOA5 alleles conferring risk for myocardial infarction. *Nature* 2015; 518:102–6.
35. Clarke R, Peden JF, Hopewell JC, Kyriakou T, Goel A, Heath SC, et al. Genetic variants associated with Lp(a) lipoprotein level and coronary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361:2518–28.
36. Crosby J, Peloso GM, Auer PL, Crosslin DR, Stitzel NO, Lange LA, et al. Loss-of-function mutations in APOC3, triglycerides, and coronary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2014;371:22–31.
37. Saleheen D, Natarajan P, Armean IM, Zhao W, Rasheed A, Khetarpal SA, et al. Human knock out sand phenotypic analysis in a cohort with a high rate of consanguinity. *Nature* 2017;544:235–9.
38. Stitzel NO, Khera AV, Wang X, Bierhals AJ, Vourakis AC, Sperry AE, et al. ANGPTL3 deficiency and protection against coronary artery disease. *Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;69:2054–63.
39. Nomura A, Won HH, Khera AV, Takeuchi F, Ito K, McCarthy S, et al. Protein-truncating variant at the cholesteryl ester transfer protein gene and risk for coronary heart disease. *Circ Res* 2017;121:81–8.
40. Peloso GM, Nomura A, Khera AV, Chaffin M, Won HH, Ardisino D, et al. Rare protein-truncating variants in APOB, lower low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and protection against coronary heart disease. *Circ Genom Precis Med* 2019;12:e002376.
41. Kawashiri MA, Tada H, Hashimoto M, Taniyama M, Nakano T, Nakajima K, et al. Extreme contrast to post prandial remnant-like particles for median abetalipoproteinemia and homozygous familial hypobetalipoproteinemia. *JIMD Rep* 2015;22:85–94.
42. Stitzel NO, Won HH, Morrison AC, Peloso GM, Do R, et al., Myocardial Infarction Genetics Consortium Investigators. Inactivating mutations in NPC1L1 and protection from coronary heart disease. *Engl Med* 2014;371:2072–82.
43. Benn M, Nordestgaard BG, Grande P, Schnohr P, Tybjaerg-Hansen A. PCSK9 R46L, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, and risk of ischemic heart disease: 3 independent studies and meta-analyses. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;55:2833–42.
44. Tada H, Okada H, Nomura A, Nohara A, Takamura M, Kawashiri MA. A healthy family of familial hypo beta lipoproteinemia caused by a protein-truncating variant in the PCSK9 gene. *Intern Med* 2020; 59:783–7.
45. Howson JMM, Zhao W, Barnes DR, Ho WK, Young R, Paul DS, et al. Fifteen new risk loci for coronary artery disease highlight arterial-wall-specific mechanisms. *Nat Genet* 2017; 49:1113–19.
46. Klarin D, Zhu QM, Emdin CA, Chaffin M, Horner S, McMillan BJ, et al. Genetic analysis in UK Biobank links insulin resistance and transendothelial migration pathways to coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet* 2017;49:1392–7.
47. van der Harst P, Verweij N. Identification of 64 novel genetic loci provides an expanded view on the genetic architecture of coronary artery disease. *Circ Res* 2018;122:433–43.
48. Ripatti S, Tikkanen E, Orho-Melander M, Havulinna AS, Silander K, Sharma A, et al. A multilocus genetic risk score for coronary heart disease: case-control and prospective cohort analyses. *Lancet* 2010;376:1393–400.
49. Mega JL, Stitzel NO, Smith JG, Chasman DI, Caulfield M, Devlin JJ, et al. Genetic risk, coronary heart disease events, and the clinical benefit of statin therapy: an analysis of primary and secondary prevention trials. *Lancet* 2015; 385:2264–71.

50. Tada H, Melander O, Louie JZ, Catanese JJ, Rowland CM, Devlin JJ, et al. Risk prediction by genetic risk scores for coronary heart disease is independent of self-reported family history. *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:561–7.
51. Tada H, Shiffman D, Smith JG, Sjögren M, Lubitz SA, Ellinor PT, et al. Twelve-single nucleotide polymorphism genetic risk score identifies individuals at increased risk for future atrial fibrillation and stroke. *Stroke* 2014;45:2856–62.
52. Khera AV, Chaffin M, Aragam KG, Haas ME, Roselli C, Choi SH, et al. Genome-wide polygenic scores for common diseases identify individuals with risk equivalent to monogenic mutations. *Nat Genet* 2018;50:1219–24.
53. Hachiya T, Hata J, Hirakawa Y, Yoshida D, Furuta Y, Kitazono T, et al. Genome-wide polygenic score and the risk of ischemic stroke in a prospective cohort: the Hisayama study. *Stroke* 2020;51:759–65.
54. Wang M, Menon R, Mishra S, Patel AP, Chaffin M, Tanneer D, et al. Validation of a genome-wide polygenic score for coronary artery disease in South Asians. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020;76:703–14.
55. Koyama S, Ito K, Terao C, Akiyama M, Horikoshi M, Momozawa Y, et al. Population-specific and trans-ancestry genome-wide analyses identify 238 H. Tada, N. Fujino, K. Hayashi et al. *Journal of Cardiology* 79 (2022) 233–239 distinct and shared genetic risk loci for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet* 2020;52:1169–77.
56. Natarajan P, Young R, Stitzel NO, Padmanabhan S, Baber U, Mehran R, et al. Polygenic risk score identifies subgroup with higher burden of atherosclerosis and greater relative benefit from statin therapy in the primary prevention setting. *Circulation* 2017;135:2091–101.
57. Baigent C, Blackwell L, Emberson J, Holland LE, Reith C, et al., Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaboration. Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: A meta-analysis of data from 170,000 participants in 26 randomised trials. *Lancet* 2010;376:1670–81.
58. Khera AV, Emdin CA, Drake I, Natarajan P, Bick AG, Cook NR, et al. Genetic risk, adherence to a healthy lifestyle, and coronary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375:2349–58.
59. Fahed AC, Wang M, Homburger JR, Patel AP, Bick AG, Neben CL, et al. Polygenic background modifies penetrance of monogenic variants for type 1 genomic conditions. *Nat Commun* 2020;11:3635.
60. Tada H, Okada H, Nomura A, Takamura M, Kawashiri MA. Beneficial effect of ezetimibe-atorvastatin combination therapy in patients with a mutation in ABCG5 or ABCG8 gene. *Lipids Health Dis* 2020;19:3.
61. Kawamura R, Saiki H, Tada H, Hata A. Acute myocardial infarction in a 25-year-old woman with sitosterolemia. *J Clin Lipidol* 2018;12:246–9.
62. Tada H, Nomura A, Nohara A, Inazu A, Mabuchi H, Yamagishi M, et al. Post-prandial remnant lipoprotein metabolism in sitosterolemia. *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2018;25:1188–95.
63. Tada H, Nohara A, Inazu A, Sakuma N, Mabuchi H, Kawashiri MA. Sitosterolemia, hypercholesterolemia, and coronary artery disease. *J Atheroscler*
64. Tada H, Okada H, Nomura A, Yashiro S, Nohara A, Ishigaki Y, et al. Rare and deleterious mutation in ABCG5/ABCG8 genes contribute to mimicking and worsening of familial hypercholesterolemia phenotype. *Circ J* 2019;83:1917–24.
65. Tanaka H, Watanabe Y, Hirano S, Tada H, Nomura A, Kawashiri MA, et al. Sitosterolemia exhibiting severe hypercholesterolemia with tendon xanthomas due to compound heterozygous ABCG5 gene mutations treated with ezetimibe and alirocumab. *Intern Med* 2020;59:3033–7.
66. Reid NJ, Brockman DG, Leonard CE, Pelletier R, Khera AV. Concordance of a high polygenic score among relatives: Implications for genetic counseling and cascade screening. *Circ Genom Precis Med* 2021;14:e003262.
67. Akhtar T, Bandyopadhyay D, Ghosh RK, Mondal S. Left ventricular non-compaction cardiomyopathy: Recent update on genetics, usefulness of biomarker, and speckle imaging. *J*

- Cardiol2019; 73:94–5. [69] Nomura S. Genetic and non-genetic determinants of clinical phenotypes in cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiol*2019; 73:187–90.
68. Wu J, Sakaguchi T, Takenaka K, Toyoda F, Tsuji K, Matsuura H, et al. A trafficking-deficient KCNQ1 mutation, T587M, causes a severe phenotype of long QT syndrome by interfering with intracellular hERG transport. *J Cardiol* 2019; 73:343–50.
69. Aiba T. Recent understanding of clinical sequencing and gene-based risks ratification in inherited primary arrhythmia syndrome *Cardiol*2019; 73:335–42.
70. Takeda N, Komuro I. Genetic basis of hereditary thoracic aortic aneurysms and dissections. *J Cardiol*2019; 74:136–43.
71. Mudd, J. O. and Kass, D. A. (2008). Tackling heart failure in the twenty-first century. *Nature* 451, 919-928
72. Thanassoulis, G. and Vasan, R. S. (2010). Genetic cardiovascular risk prediction: will we get there? *Circulation* 122, 2323-2334.
73. North, K. E., Howard, B. V., Welty, T. K., Best, L. G., Lee, E. T., Yeh, J. L., Fabsitz, R. R., Roman, M. J. and MacCluer, J. W. (2003). Genetic and environmental contributions to cardiovascular disease risk in American Indians: the strong heart family study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 157, 303-314