



## A COMPARATIVE IN-VIVO STUDY TO EVALUATE CHRONIC BIOCHEMICAL EFFECTS OF SOME EDIBLE AND NON-EDIBLE PARTS OF *CARICA PAPAYA* PLANT REVEALED HEPATOTOXIC AND CARDIOTOXIC NATURE OF PAPAYA SEEDS

Muhammad Osama<sup>1\*</sup>, Rahila Ikram<sup>2</sup>, Calvin R. Wei<sup>3</sup>, Raheela Saleem<sup>4</sup>, Maddalena Zippi<sup>5</sup>, Sumaira Khadim<sup>6</sup>, Mamoona Qureshi<sup>7</sup>, Shoaib Alam<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1\*,2,8</sup>Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan.  
E-mail:- osama\_hum@hotmail.com

<sup>1,6</sup>Department of Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan.

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Salim Habib University, Karachi, Pakistan.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Research and Development, Shing Huei Group, Taipei, Taiwan.

<sup>4</sup>College of Pharmacy, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro, Sindh, Pakistan

<sup>5</sup>Unit of Gastroenterology and Digestive Endoscopy, Sandro Pertini Hospital, Rome 00157, Italy

<sup>7</sup>Department of Pharmacognosy, University of Sindh, Sindh, Pakistan.

**\*Corresponding Author:** Muhammad Osama

\*Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan  
E-mail:- osama\_hum@hotmail.com

### Abstract

**Objective:** Prehistorically, the use of plants and plant-based natural products have often been considered for their medicinal properties in medicinal preparations. This is due to their low cost, accessibility, and minimal side effects. Globally, there is widespread assumption that such products are safe to use. Thus, awareness and reporting of adverse effects of plant-based products is very uncommon all over the world. Papaya plants, known for their nutritional and medicinal uses have often been consumed for numerous domestic and medical purpose. This study aims to investigate the impact of chronic dosing of the papaya's flesh, seeds, unripe fruit, and mature leaves on 3 main organs such as liver, kidneys and heart.

**Method:** The toxicity test was carried out on albino rabbits. Aqueous preparations of 4 parts of papaya i.e. ripe fruit, ripe seeds, unripe fruit and leaves were given for approximately 60 days and blood was withdrawn on day 61 for evaluation of biochemical effects.

**Result:** The study reveals that ripe and unripe papaya fruit and its leaves does not possess any adverse effect on the liver, kidney and heart during chronic daily use. However, ripe seeds elevates both liver and cardiac enzymes exceeding the normal acceptable limit.

**Conclusion:** Thus, our study suggests that among all parts; ripe fruit, unripe fruit and leaves are safe to be used for daily prolong use because of their ability to not affect the liver, kidney and cardiac enzymes.

**Keywords:** - Liver function test, serum creatinine, lactate dehydrogenase, papaya parts

## INTRODUCTION

Consumption of plant-based products as a remedy predates recorded history<sup>1</sup>. Natural remedies plays a significant role in global health<sup>2</sup>. Plant based or derived remedies are often a popular choice in primary health care. They are considered to be low-cost, safe, and easy to access while having a wide variety of therapeutic and biological activities<sup>3,4</sup>. The tradition of consuming plant based remedies is very common all over the globe especially in various states of Pakistan, India, China, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Japan etc.<sup>5,6</sup>. Majority of the population prefers plant based remedies over conventional allopathic drugs to treat minor ailments and disorders<sup>7</sup>. The discovery of novel medicines is still heavily dependent on plant and herbal resources. Many of the existing conventional drugs which are currently used clinically have already been isolated and derived from plant sources<sup>8</sup>.

Natural products offer a unique insight in pharmacological and therapeutic activities<sup>9,10</sup>. While numerous edible plant-based foods are commonly consumed every day, there are various parts of a plant known to provide medicinal potential to treat different health disorders<sup>11,12,13</sup>. *Carica papaya* Linn. Of the Caricaceae family is commonly known as pawpaw or papaya plant is widely cultivated in tropical countries of the world due to its health promoting benefits<sup>11</sup>. The pawpaw plant is a single stemmed large tree like plant approximately 16 – 33 ft. (5 – 10 meter) tall. Leaves are large and spiral shaped about 50 – 70 cm in diameter, palmately lobed with seven prominent lobes and are confined to the trunk. The flowers grow on the axils of the leaves which later mature into the fruit. The fruit is large with soft skin and brown to orange in color when fully riped<sup>14</sup>.

Papaya plant is well recognized all over the world due to its unique nutritional value and biological activity. Different parts of this plant have been applied traditionally for diverse medicinal purposes such as fruit pulp for treating acidic urine and rheumatic disease, leaves for relieving asthma, flowers for treating high blood pressure and jaundice, fruit as immunity booster and as an antioxidant and anti-microbial<sup>15</sup>.

Consumption and utilization of natural products as medicines is not uncommon all over the world. The perception of safety in natural remedies is often based on subjective evidence or tradition knowledge. The underreporting of adverse effects of natural products is a global issue. Papaya plant is famous all over the world due to its nutritional and medicinal uses. This study investigates the impact of chronic daily dosing of four parts of papaya plant that is fruit (ripe), seeds, unripe fruit and leaves on 3 main organs including liver, kidneys and heart in white albino rabbits.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Study animals*

This study was performed on rabbits. Albino rabbits of male gender weighing between 1.8 to 2.2 kg were purchased from local rabbit supplier and kept in the animal house of Pharmacology Department, University of Karachi for the purpose of conditioning and acclimatization for 11 to 15 days. The selected animals were kept under 12 hours light (08:45 a.m. to 08:45 p.m.) and dark (08:45 p.m. to 08:45 a.m.) cycle with maintained room temperature of  $21 \pm 4$  °C and humidity 54 to 64% with 24/7 availability of pure water and standard food. The guidelines of National Research Council (NRC) were followed for animal handling<sup>16</sup>. This study was performed after the approval from ASRB (Advanced Studies and Research Board), University of Karachi (ETHICAL APPROVAL: [BASR/No./02145/Pharm]).

### ***Identification of plant***

All parts of papaya which were used in this study were identified by Herbalist/Pharmacognocist Prof. Dr. Iqbal Azhar (Professor, Department of Pharmacognosy & Ex-Dean, Faculty of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences)

### ***Extract Preparation***

#### ***1) Aqueous Extract of Ripe Fruit (AERF)***

Ripe papaya fruit was obtained from the Karachi local market. The fruit was washed with tap water and peel was removed. All seeds were removed. AERF was prepared in 100mg/ml concentration for which 5 grams of ripe fruit was homogenized and blended with 50 milliliters of purified water using local mixer & grinder. The extract prepared was then stored in a glass bottle and denoted by “AERF”<sup>17</sup>.

#### ***2) Aqueous Extract of Ripe Seed (AERS)***

Ripe papaya fruit was obtained from the Karachi local market. The fruit was washed with tap water and peel was removed. Seeds were separated and washed with purified water and were dried at room temperature. The seeds were then manually crushed using domestic mortar & pestle. This mixture (5 gram) was then added to the grinder along with the purified water (50ml) for the purpose of blending and grinding to produce 100mg/ml concentration of AERS. The extract prepared was stored into a glass bottle and denoted by “AERS”<sup>17</sup>.

#### ***3) Aqueous Extract of Unripe Fruit (AEURF)***

Unripe papaya fruit was obtained from the Karachi local market. The fruit was washed with tap water and peel was removed. All seeds were removed. AEURF was prepared in 100mg/ml concentration for which 5 grams of unripe fruit was homogenized and blended with 50 milliliters of purified water using local mixer & grinder. The extract prepared was then stored in a glass bottle and denoted by “AEURF”<sup>17</sup>.

#### ***4) Aqueous Extract of Mature Leaves (AEML)***

Fresh mature leaves of papaya of size (8 to 9 inch) were plucked from papaya tree. Leaves were washed with tap water thoroughly and air dried. These air-dried leaves (50gm) were added to the local mixer/grinder along with purified water (50ml) where they were properly grinded and blended. AEML prepared was of 1000mg/ml concentration, which was stored in a glass bottle and denoted by “AEML”<sup>18</sup>.

### ***Grouping of study animals and their dosing protocol***

5 groups of 10 animals were set for biochemical testing. Group I was control whereas the rest of the groups i.e. group II, III, IV and V were the treatment groups. Animal groups and their dosing is represented in Table “1”

The dosing was continued for 2 months (60 days) and all dosing was by oral route. The blood was withdrawn on day 61<sup>st</sup> for biochemical testing<sup>19,20,21</sup>.

**TABLE I**

| <b>GROUP</b>     | <b>DOSING MATERIAL</b> | <b>DOSE</b>        |
|------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Group I</b>   | Distilled water        | 2ml daily          |
| <b>Group II</b>  | AERF                   | 250mg per kg daily |
| <b>Group III</b> | AERS                   | 200mg per kg daily |
| <b>Group IV</b>  | AEURF                  | 250mg per kg daily |
| <b>Group V</b>   | AEML                   | 800mg per kg daily |

### ***Biochemical Investigation***

For biochemical testing, blue capped siliconized glass tubes were used in which the blood samples were taken. After blood withdrawal, these tubes were centrifuged for 600 to 900 seconds at 3000 RPM to get the pure plasma which was then analyzed using Humalyzer- 3000 (Human-Germany) for the estimation of hepatic enzymes such as direct and total bilirubin, GGT, ALP, SGPT and SGOT, renal function including serum creatinine and cardiac enzymes including CPK and LDH. For estimation of these tests, standard kits were used which were purchased from the Human company<sup>22,22,24,25,26,27,28,29,27,30,31</sup>.

### ***Statistical Analysis***

The data collected was expressed as Mean  $\pm$  Std.Dev and analyzed using SPSS version-20. ANOVA (one-way) followed by post-hoc Tukey's test is used for evaluation of statistical significance. All P-values of less than 0.05 were considered significant. However P-values **p<0.05** \*#\$, **p<0.01** \*###!\$\$, **p<0.001** \*\*\*###!\$\$\$ represent level of significance i.e. significant, very significant and highly significant difference in comparison to control, AERF, AERS and AEURF respectively.

## **RESULTS**

Table II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX and X represents the effect of different parts of papaya on total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, GGT, SGPT, ALP, SGOT, Serum Creatinine, CPK and LDH respectively. As shown in table II and III, All study groups i.e. AERF, AERS, AEURF and AEML showed no increasing or decreasing effect on total and direct bilirubin in comparison to control. There is no significant difference among the study groups.

According to table IV, AERF and AERS significantly increase serum GGT whereas AEURF and AEML showed no increasing or decreasing effect on serum GGT in comparison to control. In comparison to AERF, AERS significantly increased the serum GGT whereas the other two treatment groups i.e. AEURF and AEML significantly decreased the serum GGT. In comparison to AERS, both AEURF and AEML significantly decreased the serum GGT. There was no significant difference in serum GGT values among AEURF and AEML groups.

According to the results represented in table V, AERF and AERS significantly increase serum SGPT whereas AEURF and AEML showed no increasing or decreasing effect on serum SGPT in comparison to control. In comparison to AERF, AERS significantly increased the serum SGPT whereas the other two treatment groups i.e. AEURF and AEML significantly decreased the serum SGPT. In comparison to AERS, both AEURF and AEML significantly decreased the serum SGPT. There was no significant difference in serum SGPT values among AEURF and AEML groups.

According to the results represented in table VI, all treatment groups i.e. AERF, AEURF and AEML except AERS, significantly decreased serum ALP levels in comparison to control group and AERS group. Both AEURF and AEML group significantly decreased serum ALP in comparison to AERF group. However AEURF also significantly decreased serum ALP in comparison to AEML group as well.

According to the results represented in table VII, all treatment groups i.e. AERF, AEURF and AEML showed similar effects on serum SGOT as that of control group except AERS group which significantly increased the serum SGOT. All treatment groups i.e. AERF, AEURF and AEML significantly decreased serum SGOT in comparison to AERS. There was no significant difference in serum SGOT values among AERF, AEURF and AEML treatment groups.

According to the results represented in table VIII, AERF and AERS significantly raised serum creatinine whereas the other two groups i.e. AEURF and AEML showed no significant effect on

serum creatinine in comparison to control. In comparison to AERF group, AERS slightly raised whereas AEURF and AEML significantly decreased the serum creatinine levels. In comparison to AERS, both AEURF and AEML significantly decreased the serum creatinine levels. There was no significant difference in serum creatinine levels among AEURF and AEML groups.

According to the results represented in table IX, all treatment groups i.e. AERF, AEURF and AEML showed similar effects on serum CPK as that of control group except AERS group which significantly increased the serum CPK. In comparison to AERF group, AERS significantly raised whereas AEURF and AEML significantly decreased the serum CPK levels. In comparison to AERS, both AEURF and AEML significantly decreased the serum CPK levels. There was no significant difference in serum CPK levels among AEURF and AEML groups.

According to the results represented in table X, in comparison to control group, AERS significantly increased serum LDH whereas AEURF and AEML significantly decreased LDH. However AERF showed comparable effects as that of control. In comparison to AERF, AERS significantly increased serum LDH whereas AEURF and AEML significantly decreased LDH. In comparison to AERS both AEURF and AEML significantly decreased LDH. In comparison AEURF, AEML significantly raised LDH levels.

**Table II** Chronic effects of different parts of papaya on total bilirubin

| GROUPS  | Total bilirubin (mg/dL) (MEAN±S.D) |
|---------|------------------------------------|
| Control | 0.05±0.02                          |
| AERF    | 0.08±0.04                          |
| AERS    | 0.05±0.02                          |
| AEURF   | 0.05±0.02                          |
| AEML    | 0.06±0.03                          |

**Table III** Chronic effects of different parts of papaya on direct bilirubin

| GROUPS  | Direct bilirubin (mg/dL) (MEAN±S.D) |
|---------|-------------------------------------|
| Control | 0.03±0.01                           |
| AERF    | 0.02±0.01                           |
| AERS    | 0.04±0.02                           |
| AEURF   | 0.04±0.02                           |
| AEML    | 0.04±0.02                           |

**Table IV** Chronic effects of different parts of papaya on gamma GT

| GROUPS  | Gamma GT (U/L) (MEAN±S.D) |
|---------|---------------------------|
| Control | 4.1±0.88                  |
| AERF    | 9.2±3.01***               |
| AERS    | 12.8±1.81***,##           |
| AEURF   | 3.9±2.13###,!!!           |
| AEML    | 5.1±3.28##,!!!            |

**Table V** Chronic effects of different parts of papaya on SGPT

| GROUPS  | SGPT (U/L) (MEAN±S.D) |
|---------|-----------------------|
| Control | 46.9±7.4              |
| AERF    | 60.8±5.94***          |
| AERS    | 162.4±6.4***,###      |
| AEURF   | 45±5.06###,!!!        |
| AEML    | 44.7±3.02###,!!!      |

**Table VI** Chronic effects of different parts of papaya on ALP

| GROUPS  | ALP (U/L) (MEAN±S.D)      |
|---------|---------------------------|
| Control | 43±6.88                   |
| AERF    | 27.9±2.38***              |
| AERS    | 50.9±3.9###               |
| AEURF   | 15.9±2.69***, ##, !!!     |
| AEML    | 30.1±13.34**, !!!, \$\$\$ |

**Table VII** Chronic effects of different parts of papaya on SGOT

| GROUPS  | SGOT (U/L) (MEAN±S.D)  |
|---------|------------------------|
| Control | 36±2.45                |
| AERF    | 31.5±4.01              |
| AERS    | 51.3±16.16**, ###      |
| AEURF   | 33.8±4.08!!!           |
| AEML    | 40.2±5.27 <sup>1</sup> |

**Table VIII** Chronic effects of different parts of papaya on creatinine

| GROUPS  | Creatinine (mg/dL) (MEAN±S.D) |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| Control | 0.45±0.09                     |
| AERF    | 0.93±0.05***                  |
| AERS    | 1.08±0.06***, #               |
| AEURF   | 0.45±0.07###, !!!             |
| AEML    | 0.39±0.08###, !!!             |

**Table IX** Chronic effects of different parts of papaya on CPK

| GROUPS  | CPK (U/L) (MEAN±S.D)    |
|---------|-------------------------|
| Control | 1146.1±105.12           |
| AERF    | 752.4±50***             |
| AERS    | 1604.2±73.61***, ###    |
| AEURF   | 625.1±42.74***, ##, !!! |
| AEML    | 567.7±53.9***, ###, !!! |

**Table X** Chronic effects of different parts of papaya on LDH

| GROUPS  | LDH (U/L) (MEAN±S.D)           |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| Control | 346.7±42.3                     |
| AERF    | 375.2±31.68                    |
| AERS    | 558.6±26.9***, ###             |
| AEURF   | 240.4±17.11***, ###, !!!       |
| AEML    | 307.6±23.99*, ###, !!!, \$\$\$ |

## DISCUSSION

World Health Organization in 2005 states that safety & efficacy evaluation of herbal remedies is worrisome and needs critical scientific methodologies and research. Safety is considered to be an essential aspect of any drug which is expected to cause no unwanted and harmful effects under the labelled use. The literature available on toxicity, adverse effects and safety of natural therapies is very confined and required more detailed screening which will aid in identifying the safety profile of medicinally active compounds in a plant<sup>32,33,34,35</sup>.

To determine the safety of study parts of papaya plant after chronic daily dosing, biochemical tests were carried out to check their effects on hepatic, renal and cardiac enzymes. Effect on liver was evaluated by serum LFTs. Chronic dosing of all study parts i.e. AERF, AERS, AEURF and AEML have no effect on total and direct bilirubin. Bilirubin is produced from the heme part of the Hgb (hemoglobin) and is produced upon erythrocytes damage. High levels (the condition is known as hyperbilirubinemia) is a hallmark of jaundice. GGT (Gamma-glutamyl transferase) is an effective screening test for evaluating hepatic diseases. AEURF and AEML both didn't effect GGT levels but

AERF and AERS slightly raised GGT levels but not above the normal reported range. Serum glutamic pyruvate transaminase (SGPT) is a hepatic enzyme which is raised in various hepatic disease, inflammatory and infectious states. Serum levels of SGPT are widely used to diagnose hepatic diseases and for monitory of disease progression and effectiveness of treatment. Chronic dosing of AERF, AEURF and AEML showed no significant effects on SGPT whereas AERS significantly raised SGPT even above the normal acceptable range for which clinical correlation is required in future. ALP (Alkaline phosphatase) is a common screening test for the evaluation of bone and liver diseases. Chronic dosing of AERF, AEURF and AEML significantly decreased ALP levels whereas AERS showed no marked effects on ALP. SGOT (Serum glutamic oxaloacetate transaminase) is widely used to diagnose hepatic diseases and for monitory of disease progression and effectiveness of treatment. In numerous liver inflammatory and disease states, very high levels of SGOT are present in the blood. Except AERS, all treatment groups i.e. AERF, AEURF and AEML showed no significant effect on SGOT. AERS markedly elevated SGOT levels even above the normal reported range that in future requires further critical investigation. Thus the results of LFTs suggests that all extracts including AERF, AEURF and AEML can be chronically used since they do not have any negative effects on liver. Seeds should be avoided for chronic used because of its ability to derange the LFTs and for which future further research is required to discover the exact reason of its hepatotoxicity<sup>33</sup>.

Serum creatinine was checked to evaluate the chronic effects of different parts of papaya on kidneys. Chronic dosing of AEURF and AEML showed no effect on serum creatinine whereas chronic dosing of AERF and AERS slightly raised serum creatinine but within the normal reported range. Serum creatinine production depends upon the alterations in muscle mass and physical activity. Chronic dosing of all parts does not negatively affect the renal function and hence is suggestive of its renal friendly nature. In future these parts can be evaluated in conditions of nephrotoxicity<sup>34</sup>.

Serum CPK and LDH levels were checked to evaluate the chronic effect of daily dosing of different parts of papaya on heart. From clinical point of view, CPK (Creatine phosphokinase) is considered to be an essential screening test. High levels are suggestive of myocardial infarction. AERF, AEURF and AEML significantly decreased CPK whereas AERS markedly elevated CPK even above the normal range that in future needs more critical evaluation. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is an important screening test for evaluating any cardiac injury. LDH is over-expressed in various body tissues including blood cells and heart muscle. It is present in high amount after tissue damage, and is a marker of common diseases and injuries such as cardiac failure. AERF has a non-significant effect on LDH. AEURF and AEML markedly decreased LDH whereas AERS elevated LDH even above the normal reported range and needs more detailed investigation. Thus results of serum CPK and LDH suggests that all parts except ripe seeds can be used safely for chronic use and possesses no cardio-toxic effects.

## **CONCLUSION**

In the light of above discuss findings, it is concluded that daily and prolong consumption of ripe fruit, unripe fruit and papaya leaves is safe and has no hepato-toxic, renal-toxic and cardio-toxic effects. However ripe seeds should be very cautiously used in hepatic compromised and cardiac compromised patients due to its potential to raise liver and cardiac enzymes. In future these parts can be further evaluated to be used in different drug and disease induced organ toxicity states.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

There is no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Máthé, Á., Neffati, M., & Najjaa, H. (2017). Introduction to Medicinal and Aromatic Plants in Africa. In *Medicinal and Aromatic Plants of the World-Africa Volume 3* (pp. 1-17). Springer, Dordrecht.
2. Osama M, Ikram R. (2018). Aqua distillation enhances the analgesic and anti-inflammatory properties of *Rosa damascena* Mill.; A pilot study. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, 9(12):5344-9.
3. Musa DD, Hafiz SS, Garba SM. (2019). Plants Used In Traditional Herbal Medicine In Dutsin-Ma Local Government Area Katsina, Nigeria. *International Journal of Pure & Applied Sciences*, 23(1).
4. Abbas A, Ikram R, Khan SS, Ahmed S, Osama M. (2019). The Fennel, *Foeniculum vulgare* incorporated diet shows anxiolytic potential: A pre-clinical study. *Pakistan journal of pharmaceutical sciences*, 32(4):1813-9.
5. Dar RA, Shahnawaz M, Qazi PH. (2017). General overview of medicinal plants: A review. *The Journal of Phytopharmacology*, 6(6):349-51.
6. Osama, M. U. H. A. M. M. A. D., Ikram, R. A. H. I. L. A., & Sarfaraz, S. A. N. A. (2020). Evaluation Of Cytotoxic Potential of Aqua Distillate of *Rosa damascena* Mill Using Brine Shrimp Lethality Assay. *Evaluation*, 37(1), 9-12.
7. Ahmadipour S, Ahmadipour S, Mohsenzadeh A, Asadi-Samani M. (2016). The importance of some native medicinal plants of Iran effective on gastrointestinal disorders in children: A review. *Der Pharmacia Lettre*, 8(1):61-6.
8. Osama M, Ikram R, Siddiq A, Baig SG. Evaluation of diuretic potential of Gul-e-Muhammadi: A pilot study.
9. Sarfaraz S, Ikram R, Osama M, Anser H. (2020). Effect of different doses of lyophilized beetroot on fertility and reproductive hormones. *Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 33(6).
10. Sarfaraz, S., Rahela, I., Rabia, M., Muhammad, O., Sabiha, G., & Muhammad, S. (2021). Rising trend of Nutraceuticals: Evaluation of lyophilized beetroot powder at different doses for its hypolipidemic effects. *Pak. J. Pharm. Sci*, 4, 1315-1322.
11. Younus, I., Siddiq, A., Baig, S. G., Khan, S. S., Ahmed, S., & Osama, M. (2019). Evaluation of pharmacological and toxic effects of ethanolic extract of radish pods in albino rabbits: A biochemical and histopathological study. *Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 32.
12. Osama, M., Ikram, R., Sarfaraz, S., Ahmed, S., & Iqbal, A. (2020). Screening of water distilled *Rosa damascena* Mill. Flowers as hematopoietic agent in an animal model. *Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 33(1).
13. Shan S, Huang X, Shah MH, Abbasi AM. (2019). Evaluation of polyphenolics content and antioxidant activity in edible wild fruits. *BioMed research international*, 2019.
14. Pandey S, Walpole C, Cabot PJ, Shaw PN, Batra J, Hewavitharana AK. (2017). Selective anti-proliferative activities of *Carica papaya* leaf juice extracts against prostate cancer. *Biomedicine & pharmacotherapy*, 89:515-23.
15. Gunde MC, Amnerkar ND. (2016). Nutritional, medicinal and pharmacological properties of papaya (*Carica papaya* linn.): A review. *Journal of Innovations in Pharmaceuticals and Biological Sciences*, 3(1):162-9.
16. National Research Council. (1997). *Occupational health and safety in the care and use of research animals*. National Academies Press.
17. Jain D, Daima HK, Kachhwaha S, Kothari SL. (2009). Synthesis of plant-mediated silver nanoparticles using papaya fruit extract and evaluation of their anti microbial activities. *Digest journal of nanomaterials and biostructures*, 4(3):557-63.
18. Maqdoom F, Sabeen H, Zarina S. (2013). Papaya fruit extract: a potent source for synthesis of bionanoparticle. *Journal of Environmental Research and Development*, 7(4A):1518.
19. Udoh P, Essien I, Udoh F. (2005). Effects of *Carica papaya* (paw paw) seeds extract on the morphology of pituitary–gonadal axis of male Wistar rats. *Phytotherapy Research: An*



- International Journal Devoted to Pharmacological and Toxicological Evaluation of Natural Product Derivatives*, 19(12):1065-8.
20. Sadek KM. (2012). Antioxidant and immunostimulant effect of *Carica papaya* Linn. aqueous extract in acrylamide intoxicated rats. *Acta Informatica Medica* 20(3):180.
  21. Patil S, Shetty S, Bhide R, Narayanan S. (2013). Evaluation of platelet augmentation activity of *Carica papaya* leaf aqueous extract in rats. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and phytochemistry*, 1(5).
  22. Jendrassik, L., and Grof, P. (1938). Quantitative determination of total and direct bilirubin in serum and plasma. *Biochem Z*, 297(8).
  23. Breaudiere, J. P., Vassault, A., Amsellem, L., Pourci, M. L., Thieu-Phung, H., & Bailly, M. (1977). Criteria for establishing a standardized method for determining alkaline phosphatase activity in human serum. *Clinical chemistry*, 23(12), 2263-2274.
  24. Bergmeyer, H. U., & Hørder, M. (1980). International federation of clinical chemistry. Scientific committee. Expert panel on enzymes. IFCC document stage 2, draft 1; 1979-11-19 with a view to an IFCC recommendation. IFCC methods for the measurement of catalytic concentration of enzymes. Part 3. IFCC method for alanine aminotransferase. *Journal of clinical chemistry and clinical biochemistry. Zeitschrift fur klinische Chemie und klinische Biochemie*, 18(8), 521.
  25. Szasz, G., & Persijn, J. P. (1974). A kinetic colorimetric method for determination of G-glutamyl transpeptidase in serum. *Zeitschrift fur klinische chemie und klinische biochemie*, 12, 228-232.
  26. Persijn, J. P., & Van der Slik, W. (1976). A new method for the determination of  $\gamma$ -glutamyltransferase in serum. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*, 14(1-12), 421-428.
  27. Husdan, H., & Rapoport, A. (1968). Estimation of creatinine by the Jaffe reaction: a comparison of three methods. *Clinical chemistry*, 14(3), 222-238.
  28. Bergmeyer, H. U., & Bernt, E. (1974). Colorimetric Assay with L-Lactate, NAD Phenazine Methosulphate and INT. In *Methods of Enzymatic Analysis* (Second Edition), Volume 2 (pp. 579-582).
  29. Szasz, G., Gruber, W., & Bernt, E. (1976). Creatine kinase in serum: 1. Determination of optimum reaction conditions. *Clinical Chemistry*, 22(5), 650-656.
  30. World Health Organization. (2005). National policy on traditional medicine and regulation of herbal medicines: Report of a WHO global survey.
  31. Moreira, D. D. L., Teixeira, S. S., Monteiro, M. H. D., De-Oliveira, A. C. A., & Paumgarten, F. J. (2014). Traditional use and safety of herbal medicines. *Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia*, 24(2), 248-257.
  32. Muhammad Osama, Rahila Ikram, Calvin R. Wei, Nargis Saharan, Sumaira Khadim, Adnan Iqbal, & Shoaib Alam. (2023). ALTERATIONS IN SERUM ELECTROLYTES FOLLOWING ACUTE AND CHRONIC DOSING OF SOME PARTS OF PAPAYA TREE UNLASHED THEIR POTENTIAL TOXIC EFFECTS. A THOUGHT PROVOKING FINDING FROM NUTRITIONAL AND HEALTH PERSPECTIVE. *Journal of Population Therapeutics and Clinical Pharmacology*, 30(1), 546–552.
  33. Fischbach, F. T., and Dunning, M. B. (2009). *A manual of laboratory and diagnostic tests*. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
  34. Kanagasabapathy, A. S., and Kumari, S. (2000). Guidelines on standard operating procedures for clinical chemistry. *WHO, New Delhi*.